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USE OF NAMES

As used in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, unless the context otherwise requires, the terms “we,” “us,” “our,” “Western” and “WUC”, or the “Company” refer to Western Uranium Corporation, an Ontario Canadian corporation, and its subsidiaries.

CURRENCY

The accounts of the Company are reported in U.S. dollars. All dollar amounts referenced in this Annual Report on Form 10-K and the consolidated financial statements are stated in U.S. dollars.

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS AND INTRODUCTION

The statements contained in this document that are not purely historical are “forward-looking statements.” Although we believe that the expectations reflected in such forward-looking statements, including those regarding future operations, are reasonable, we can give no assurance that such expectations will prove to be correct. Forward-looking statements are not guarantees of future performance and they involve various risks and uncertainties. Forward-looking statements contained in this document include statements regarding our proposed services, market opportunities and acceptance, expectations for revenues, cash flows and financial performance, and intentions for the future. Such forward-looking statements are included under Item 1. “Business” and Item 7. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations”. All forward-looking statements included in this document are made as of the date hereof, based on information available to us as of such date, and we assume no obligation to update any forward-looking statement. It is important to note that such statements may not prove to be accurate and that our actual results and future events could differ materially from those anticipated in such statements. Among the factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from our expectations are those described under Item 1. “Business,” Item 1A. “Risk Factors” and Item 7. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations”. All subsequent written and oral forward-looking statements attributable to us or persons acting on our behalf are expressly qualified in their entirety by this section and other factors included elsewhere in this document.
PART I

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

CORPORATE HISTORY

Western Uranium Corporation was incorporated in December 2006 under the Ontario Business Corporations Act and was formerly a non-listed reporting issuer subject to the rules and regulations of the Ontario Securities Commission. On November 20, 2014, the Company completed a listing process on the Canadian Securities Exchange ("CSE"). As part of that process, the Company acquired 100% of the issued and outstanding shares of Pinon Ridge Mining LLC ("PRM"), a Delaware limited liability company. The transaction constituted a reverse takeover of Western by PRM. After obtaining appropriate shareholder approvals, the Company subsequently reconstituted its Board of Directors and senior management team.

On August 18, 2014, the Company closed on the purchase of certain mining properties in Colorado and Utah from Energy Fuels Holding Corp. Assets purchased included both owned and leased lands in Utah and Colorado and all represent properties that have been previously mined for uranium to varying degrees in the past. The acquisition included the purchase of the Sunday Mine Complex. The Sunday Mine Complex is located in western San Miguel County, Colorado. The complex consists of the following five individual mines: the Sunday mine, the Carnation mine, the Saint Jude mine, the West Sunday mine and the Topaz mine. The operation of each of these mines requires a separate permit and all such permits have been obtained by Western and are currently valid. In addition, each of the mines has good access to a paved highway, electric power to existing declines, office/storage/shop and change buildings, and extensive underground haulage development with several vent shafts complete with exhaust fans. The Sunday Mine Complex is where the Company anticipates it would start mining and Ablation operation, since the complex is ready to be mined.

On September 16, 2015, Western completed its acquisition of Black Range, an Australian company that was listed on the Australian Securities Exchange until the acquisition was completed. The acquisition terms were pursuant to a definitive Merger Implementation Agreement entered into between Western and Black Range. Pursuant to the agreement, Western acquired all of the issued shares of Black Range by way of Scheme of Arrangement ("the Scheme") under the Australian Corporation Act 2001 (Cth) (the "Black Range Transaction"), with Black Range shareholders being issued common shares of Western on a 1 for 750 basis. On August 25, 2015, the Scheme was approved by the shareholders of Black Range and on September 4, 2015, Black Range received approval by the Federal Court of Australia. In addition, Western issued to certain employees, directors and consultants options to purchase Western common shares. Such stock options were intended to replace Black Range stock options outstanding prior to the Black Range Transaction on the same 1 for 750 basis.

In connection with the Black Range Transaction, Western acquired the net assets of Black Range. These net assets consist principally of interests in a uranium complex of mines located in Colorado (the “Hansen-Taylor Complex”) and a 100% interest in a 25 year license for ablation mining technologies (“Ablation”) and related patents from Ablation Technologies, LLC. The Hansen-Taylor Complex is principally a sandstone-hosted deposit that was discovered in 1977 which was permitted for mining in 1981. Ablation is a low cost, purely physical method of uranium and vanadium ore extraction.

Furthermore, related to Ablation in connection with the acquisition of Black Range Minerals Ltd. ("Black Range"), the Company assumed a call option agreement between Black Range and Mr. George Glasier. Prior to the Black Range Transaction, George Glasier, the Company’s CEO, who is also a director ("Seller"), transferred his interest in a former joint venture with Ablation Technologies, LLC to Black Range. In connection with the transfer, Black Range issued 25 million shares of Black Range common stock to Seller and committed to pay $500,000 AUD ($372,000 USD) to Seller within 60 days of the first commercial application of the Ablation technology. Western assumed this contingent payment obligation in connection with the Black Range Transaction.
The Ablation mining process is dramatically different from conventional mining techniques. Subject to regulatory approvals, the benefits of Ablation are as follows:

- Mining, crushing, and separation of uranium and vanadium, used most effectively, occurs underground (inside the mine). Under this approach the costs of moving material to the surface are less as 85%-90% of the mined material remains underground and is never brought outside the mine.
- Less radiometric exposure throughout the process due to reduced waste rock on the surface and after the milling process less tailings. Overall surface waste material is reduced and the time duration of material handling is reduced.
- Lower costs for transportation of post-ablated material from the mine site to the mill site because 85-90% of the mined material would not be taken to the mill.
- Once the ablated material reaches the mill, the acid consumption at the mill and power is much less due to the lower quantity but more concentrated material moving through the milling process.

Ablation mining technology can be used on legacy uranium stockpiles in the Western United States. WUC would ablate these stockpiles, removing 85-90% of the uranium. This is an application through which ablation mining technology could positively contribute to the 'greening of the environment'. According to a study there are approximately 4,225 legacy uranium mines from the 1940-1970 period throughout the Western United States, most of which have waste stockpiles.

In the estimation of management, Ablation mining allows the cost of production of uranium to be reduced by 33-44%.

Our common shares are listed on the Canadian Securities Exchange, also known as the “CSE,” under the symbol “WUC”, and are also quoted in the United States on the OTCQX Best Market under the symbol “WSTRF.” We are headquartered in Ontario, Canada with mining operations in the two U.S. states of Utah and Colorado. We have two full-time employees. The mailing address of our headquarters is 330 Bay Street, Suite 1400, Toronto, Ontario, M5H 2S8, Canada, and the telephone number at that location is (970) 864-2125. Our corporate website is located at http://www.western-uranium.com/.

We are an “emerging growth company” as that term is defined in the Jumpstart Our Business Startups Act (the “JOBS Act”). The JOBS Act defines an “emerging growth company” as one that had total annual gross revenues of less than $1,000,000,000 during the last fiscal year. Section 102(b) (1) of the JOBS Act exempts emerging growth companies from being required to comply with new or revised financial accounting standards until private companies (that is, those that have not had a Securities Act registration statement declared effective or do not have a class of securities registered under the Securities Exchange Act) are required to comply with the new or revised financial accounting standard. The JOBS Act also provides that a company can elect to opt out of the extended transition period provided by Section 102(b)(1) of the JOBS Act and comply with the requirements that apply to non-emerging growth companies but any such election to opt out is irrevocable.


**OUR COMPANY**

Western is in the business of exploring, developing, mining and production of its uranium and vanadium resource properties.

Western is an exploration stage company for purposes of Industry Guide 7 of the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”). Industry Guide 7 states that mining companies like ours can be classified into three stages: exploration, development, or production. Exploration stage includes all companies engaged in the search for mineral deposits, which are not in either the development or production stage. In order to be classified as a development or production stage company, the Company must have already established reserves. The Company has not established reserves for purposes of Industry Guide 7.

Our mineral properties are located on the western Colorado and Utah plateau of southwestern Colorado and adjacent areas of the western United States. Our primary focus is bringing the fully permitted Sunday Mine Complex into production using the Ablation technology, developing the Hansen Project and the commercialization of the Ablation mineral concentration technology.

The Sunday Mine Complex is located in western San Miguel County, Colorado. The complex consists of the following five individual mines: the Sunday mine, the Carnation mine, the Saint Jude mine, the West Sunday mine and the Topaz mine. The operation of each of these mines requires a separate permit and all such permits have been obtained by Western and are currently valid. In addition, each of the mines has good access to a paved highway, electric power to existing declines, office/storage/shop and change buildings, and extensive underground haulage development with several vent shafts complete with exhaust fans.
We have acquired a license ("Ablation"), which provides a low cost, purely physical, method of uranium and vanadium ore extraction. No chemicals are added in the process, yet very high mineral recoveries can be achieved with considerable mass reduction; facilitating the separation of a high-value, high-grade ore product from a coarse-grained barren “clean sand” product.

Application of Ablation is expected to have a very positive effect on the development of not only our Sunday Mine Complex, but also most of our and others’ uranium deposits, because it significantly reduces both capital and operating costs. Extensive test work has shown that from amenable sandstone-hosted uranium ore types, typically more than 90% of the uranium mineralization can be separated into 10-20% of the initial sample mass.

As discussed below, there remain certain regulatory hurdles to overcome in order to deploy Ablation under the most economical configuration possible. This would involve pursuing additional regulatory determinations from the Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment ("CDPHE") and/or the Nuclear Regulatory Commission ("NRC").

**OUR STRATEGY**

Our vision is to become a leading uranium and vanadium developer and producer. Our strategy is to build value for stockholders by advancing our projects towards production. The recent increase in the price of vanadium has increased the relative importance of this resource to the Company. Hence, Western is increasingly able to baseload mine production on the basis of price improvement in uranium markets and/or the increase the duration of its vanadium contracts. The Company holds an exclusive 25 year license to use Ablation, a proven technology that we anticipate will improve the efficiency of the sandstone-hosted uranium mining process. The license agreement was entered into on March 17, 2015 and expires on March 16, 2040. There are no remaining license fee obligations and there are no future royalties due under the agreement. The Company has an exclusive right to the Ablation, including the right to sub-license the technology to other third parties. The Company may not sell or assign the Ablation license.

At any time we may have acquisition or partnering opportunities in various stages of active review, including, for example, our engagement of consultants and advisors to analyze particular opportunities, analysis of technical, financial and other confidential information, submission of indications of interest, participation in preliminary discussions and negotiations and involvement as a bidder in competitive processes.

**Capital Raising**

On March 31, 2017, the Company completed a private placement of 634,424 units at a price of CAD $1.75 (USD $1.35) per unit for gross proceeds of CAD $1,110,263 (USD $835,805) and net proceeds of CAD $1,066,223 (USD $801,160). Each unit consisted of one share of the Company’s common stock and a warrant for the purchase of one share of the Company’s common stock. Each warrant is immediately exercisable at a price of CAD $3.25 and expires five years from the date of issuance.

On September 15, 2017, the Company completed a private placement of 509,763 units at a price of CAD $0.90 (USD $0.74) per unit for gross proceeds of CAD $458,787 (USD $376,022) and net proceeds of CAD $418,880 (USD $343,105). Each unit consisted of one share of common stock and one warrant. Each warrant is immediately exercisable at a price of CAD $1.40 and expires five years from the date of issuance. The Company also issued broker warrants to purchase 21,751 shares of common stock at a price of CAD $3.25 and expires five years from the date of issuance.

On December 29, 2017, the Company completed a private placement of 426,334 units at a price of CAD $0.90 (USD $0.72) per unit for gross proceeds of CAD $383,071 (USD $305,918) and net proceeds of CAD $367,059 (USD $293,131). Each unit consisted of one share of common stock and a warrant to purchase one half of one share of common stock. Each warrant is immediately exercisable at a price of CAD $1.50 and expires two years from the date of issuance. The Company also issued broker warrants to purchase 9,310 shares of common stock at a price of CAD $1.50 per common share, which expire two years from the date of issuance.

During the year ended December 31, 2017, the Company issued an aggregate of 1,570,521 shares of common stock in connection with these private placements.

**Uranium Production**

The timing for commencement of uranium production is uncertain. Western continues to position itself for flexibility with the goal of beginning production as expeditiously as possible once market conditions for production of U3O8 and/or vanadium are favorable. There are multiple variables that will drive the Company’s production strategy which will most likely be dependent upon the intersection of contracted price levels and Ablation regulation and application factors. In order to minimize costs, Western plans to commence production at the Sunday Mine Complex due to the substantial existing infrastructure from years of previous production. However, permitting and preparation costs will be driven by the approach to the application of Ablation and relevant regulatory requirements.
Company management believes the key production determinant will be the application of Ablation. In December 2016, the issuance of a decision letter by the Colorado CDPHE enabled the use of Ablation at the Sunday Mine Complex in the state of Colorado under milling license regulations which also recognized the appropriateness of exemptions to certain milling regulatory requirements. The Company’s attorneys are not fully in agreement with aspects of the decision letter, thus the Company expects to pursue additional regulatory clarifications which would make the application of Ablation potentially more economically advantageous for the Company. While resource prices are below target levels, the Company is focusing on improving the regulatory regime which governs the application of Ablation with the goal of minimizing future production costs.

Western Uranium Corporation believes that its mineral resources have a reasonable prospect for economic extraction, either with the utilization of Ablation or without it. However, the Company has not yet been able to perform a Preliminary Economic Assessment (“PEA”). The inputs to the PEA are dependent on the Ablation implementation approach. Under the CDPHE’s current determination, Ablation can be utilized under a milling license, but the regulatory clarifications and a potential exemption create uncertainty. Accordingly, the Company has not pursued an economic assessment.

The Company has planned for three potential scenarios for the utilization of its Ablation technology: (a) utilization of Ablation at a mill site; (b) utilization of Ablation above ground at the mine site; and (c) utilization of Ablation underground in the mine.

**URANIUM MARKET OUTLOOK**

World demand for clean, reliable, and affordable electricity is growing. Given the expected construction of nuclear reactors and the expected growth of nuclear energy, we believe that the future for uranium is positive. Further, recently announced production cuts by the largest uranium producer in world and the largest uranium producer in North America have signaled a decrease in future supply. The section 232 petition that was filed in with the United States Department of Commerce further has the potential to increase U.S. domestic production and likely creating economic pricing levels for U.S. domestic producers. We believe these factors will provide the price level increases needed to support the additional production and supply that will be required. Currently, excess (secondary) supplies are being drawn down, and additional primary production will be needed to meet long-term demand.

Once prices do rise, it still may be difficult for most suppliers to respond in a timely manner, as it can require many years of permitting and development to bring new mines into production. These lead times will put further upward pressure on prices.

We are at a competitive advantage, as our mining properties are permitted and ready to be brought to production. Ablation gives us a further advantage, as we are able to begin to profitably mine at much lower prices than our competitors.

Despite current market uncertainty and depressed prices, we believe we have begun to see certain early signs of a market recovery. Japanese utilities have restarted 5 nuclear reactors and have a further 21 in the process of restarting (according to the World Nuclear Association (“WNA”)). According to data from the WNA, Chinese utilities continue to aggressively build new reactors and buy uranium, with the goal of having more nuclear capacity than any country except the USA and France by 2020. In total, according to the WNA, there are about 50 new reactors under construction in 13 countries and in all there are about 160 reactors on order or being planned, and over 300 more are proposed.

However in the short- and medium-terms, market challenges remain. The world continues to be oversupplied with uranium, mainly due to large quantities of secondary uranium supplies, high levels of excess inventories, premature reactor shutdowns, delays in new reactor construction, and decreased demand due to Japanese reactors remaining offline longer than expected. In addition, there is a great deal of uncertainty in uranium prices regarding the timing and level of the recovery, as fundamental, political, technical, and other factors could cause prices to be significantly above or below currently expected ranges.

**OVERVIEW OF THE URANIUM INDUSTRY**

Spot prices rose from $21.00 per pound in January 2005 to a high of $136.00 per pound in June 2007 in anticipation of sharply higher projected demand as a result of a resurgence in nuclear power and the depletion or unavailability of secondary supplies. Secondary supplies are inventories of uranium not publicly available for sale, they are primarily held by utility companies and governments. The sharp price increase was driven in part by high levels of buying by utility companies, which resulted in most utilities covering their requirements through 2009. A decrease in near-term utility demand coupled with rising levels of supplies from producers and traders led to downward pressure on uranium prices since the third quarter of 2007. A rebound in uranium prices in conjunction with a recovery in commodities in 2010 was curtailed by the Fukushima disaster in Japan.

Since the Fukushima disaster in 2011, uranium prices entered a steady decline until June 2014, when they rebounded slightly and peaked again in March 2015. After that peak, prices again began to fall steadily reaching their lowest point in November 2016 before moving upward in 2017 and completing the year at higher year over year levels.

The only significant commercial use for uranium is as a fuel for nuclear power plants for the generation of electricity. According to the WNA, at the end of February 2018, there were 448 nuclear reactors operable worldwide, with annual requirements of about 143.3 million pounds of uranium.
From the reports of leading investment banks, the macroeconomic conditions driving uranium prices are as follows:

- WNA projects 14 reactor starts in 2018 in China, South Korea, Russia, UAE, India, and Slovakia.
- The restart of Japan’s nuclear reactors – 21 nuclear reactors are in the process
- Decrease in primary supply due to leading producers shutting down mining operations that aren’t profitable at current pricing levels (Kazatomprom and Cameco)
- Decreasing secondary supplies (excess reserves and supplies generally held by governments and utilities).

Across the nine banks and analysts most active in the sector, a term structure of rising uranium spot prices which are significantly above today’s prices are forecast almost across the board from 2018 to 2022. A potential gradual rising in uranium prices is forecast from 2018 to 2022 primarily due to two factors. The first is expected reduction in uranium production due to the currently low prices. The second is continued growth in nuclear energy, fueled primarily by new plants with largest quantities over the next 5 years located in China, Russia, and India. Further recommissioning of nuclear power plants in Japan will further add to the total. This should lead to excess reserves and inventories drying up, causing multiple analysts to project a shortage, in the coming years, as historical contracts roll-off.

Based upon recent uranium pricing forecasts from leading bankers, we believe that uranium prices will improve enough over the coming years for Western to initiate production.

Vanadium

With the exception of the Hansen/Taylor Deposit, most of the Company’s mining assets, including the Sunday Mine Complex, contain vanadium as a co-product to uranium. The Company plans to utilize this co-product to offset the cost of mining uranium through the sales of vanadium to third parties.

Conventional and new vanadium applications include steelmaking, grid scale renewable energy storage, high performance batteries, and chemicals.

When a very small amount of vanadium is added to steel, high-strength low-alloy vanadium steel is created while greatly reducing energy, shipping and production costs. And while steelmaking accounts for roughly 90% of all vanadium currently consumed, it's estimated that vanadium is only used in about 9% of all steels today.

In a research piece, BMO Capital Markets has identified a structural change in the vanadium markets. China, the largest vanadium producer in the world, has seen supply disrupted by environmental monitoring and rules when domestic demand was increasing. During 2017, BMO observed ferrovanadium exports falling by 30% year over year and projects that China will become a net importer of ferrovanadium. This potentially could be very positive for vanadium prices.

After steelmaking, the second largest market for vanadium is that of catalysts and chemical applications. Significant new sources of demand for vanadium are also expected to originate from vanadium redox flow batteries.

The current vanadium market price is $15.10 per pound as of March 27, 2018 which is an increase from the December 31, 2017 price when the price was $9.70 per pound. Both are significantly higher than the December 31, 2016 price of $5.00 per pound. As a result of structural changes in the market, several market participants are reporting that vanadium demand exceeds vanadium supply and the market is in deficit.

Assuming the Company is able to monetize its vanadium resource at current vanadium price levels, the Company would receive a proportionate increase in its co-credit thus effectively lowering the processing of its uranium of approximately $108 per ton of uranium ore. This effective “credit” comes from the ability for Western to mine vanadium as a by-product of uranium ore for no additional cost of the mining operation.

COMPETITION

There is global competition for uranium properties, capital, customers and the employment and retention of qualified personnel. We compete with multiple exploration companies for both properties as well as skilled personnel. In the production and marketing of uranium, there are a number of producing entities globally, some of which are government controlled and several of which are significantly larger and better capitalized than we are. Several of these organizations also have substantially greater financial, technical, manufacturing and distribution resources than we have.

Our future uranium production will also compete with uranium from secondary supplies, including the sale of uranium inventory held by the U.S. Department of Energy. In addition, there are numerous entities in the market that compete with us for properties and operate in situ recovery (“ISR”) facilities. If we are unable to successfully compete for properties, capital, customers or employees or with alternative uranium sources, it could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations.
With respect to sales of uranium, the Company competes primarily based on price. We will market uranium to utilities and commodity brokers. We are in direct competition with supplies available from various sources worldwide. We believe we compete with multiple operating uranium companies.

**ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATIONS AND PERMITTING**

**United States**

Uranium extraction is regulated by the federal government, states and, in some cases, by Indian tribes. Compliance with such regulation has a material effect on the economics of our operations and the timing of project development. Our primary regulatory costs have been related to obtaining licenses and permits from federal and state agencies before the commencement of production activities. The current environmental regulatory requirements for the ISR industry are well established. Many ISR projects have gone a full life cycle without any significant environmental impact. However, the process can make environmental permitting difficult and timing unpredictable. Western does not plan to utilize an ISR mining process on its properties.

U.S. regulations pertaining to climate change continue to evolve in both the U.S. and internationally. Other than our ongoing permitting process in regards to Ablation, we do not anticipate any potential adverse impact from these regulations that would be unique to our operations.

Mining Permits are disclosed on a per mine basis in the “Properties” section, below.

**Reclamation and Restoration Costs and Bonding Requirements**

At the conclusion of conventional mining, a site is decommissioned and reclaimed. Reclamation involves removing evidence of surface disturbance. The reclamation liabilities of the US mines are subject to legal and regulatory requirements, and estimates of the costs of reclamation are reviewed periodically by the applicable regulatory authorities. The reclamation liability represents the Company’s best estimate of the present value of future reclamation costs in connection with the mineral properties. The Company determined the gross reclamation liabilities at December 31, 2017 of the mineral properties to be approximately $1,036,333.

The Company is required by State regulatory agencies to obtain financial surety relating to certain of its future restoration and reclamation obligations. The Company has provided performance bonds issued for the benefit of the Company in the amount of $1,036,410 to satisfy such regulatory requirements.

**Ablation permitting**

During 2016, Western submitted documentation to the CDPHE for a determination ruling regarding the type of license which may be required for the application of Ablation at the Sunday Mine Complex within the state of Colorado. During May and June of 2016, CDPHE held four public meetings in several cities in Colorado as part of the process. On July 22, 2016 CDPHE closed the comment period. In connection with this matter, the CDPHE consulted with the United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission ("NRC"). In response, the CDPHE received an advisory opinion dated October 16, 2016, which did not contain support for the NRC’s opinion and with which Western’s regulatory counsel does not agree. NRC’s advisory opinion recommends that Ablation should be regulated as a milling operation, but did recognize that there may be exemptions to certain milling regulatory requirements due to the benign nature of the non-uranium bearing sands produced after Ablation is completed on uranium-bearing ores. On December 1, 2016, the CDPHE issued a determination that the proposed ablation operations at the Sunday Mine must be regulated by the CDPHE through a milling license. The 2017 increase in the blended uranium/vanadium price has brought the Company closer to production. Consequently in 2018, Western plans to continue to advance Ablation by seeking a further regulatory determination from the CDPHE and/or the NRC. During 2017, the Company’s regulatory counsel prepared significant documentation in preparation for a prospective submission.
ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

Risks Related to Our Business

Our business activities are subject to significant risks, including those described below. Every investor or potential investor in our securities should carefully consider these risks. If any of the described risks actually occurs, our business, financial position and results of operations could be materially adversely affected. Such risks are not the only ones we face and additional risks and uncertainties not presently known to us or that we currently deem immaterial may also affect our business.

We are not producing uranium at this time. As a result, we currently have no sources of operating cash. If we cannot access additional sources of private or public capital, partner with another company that has cash resources and/or find other means of generating revenue other than uranium production, we may not be able to remain in business.

Until we begin uranium production, we have no way to generate cash inflows unless we monetize certain of our assets or obtain additional financing. We can provide no assurance that our properties will be placed into production or that we will be able to continue to find, develop, acquire and finance additional reserves. If we cannot monetize certain existing assets, partner with another company that has cash resources, find other means of generating revenue other than uranium production and/or access additional sources of private or public capital, we may not be able to remain in business and our stockholders may lose their entire investment.

Our ability to function as an operating mining company will be dependent on our ability to mine our properties at a profit sufficient to finance further mining activities and for the acquisition and development of additional properties. The volatility of uranium prices makes long-range planning uncertain and raising capital difficult.

Our ability to operate on a positive cash flow basis will be dependent on mining sufficient quantities of uranium at a profit sufficient to finance our operations and for the acquisition and development of additional mining properties. Any profit will necessarily be dependent upon, and affected by, the long and short term market prices of uranium, which are subject to significant fluctuation. Uranium prices have been and will continue to be affected by numerous factors beyond our control. These factors include the demand for nuclear power, political and economic conditions in uranium producing and consuming countries, uranium supply from secondary sources and uranium production levels and costs of production. A significant, sustained drop in uranium prices may make it impossible to operate our business at a level that will permit us to cover our fixed costs or to remain in operation.

Evaluating our future performance may be difficult since we have a limited financial and operating history, with significant negative cash flow and an accumulated deficit to date. Furthermore, there is no assurance that we will be successful in securing any form of additional financing in the future, therefore substantial doubt exists as to whether our cash resources and working capital will be sufficient to enable the Company to continue its operations over the next twelve months. Our long-term success will depend ultimately on our ability to achieve and maintain profitability and to develop positive cash flow from our mining activities.

As more fully described within this annual report, we acquired our first mineral properties in November of 2014. To date, we have been acquiring additional mineral properties and raising capital. We hold uranium projects in various stages of exploration in the States of Colorado and Utah.

As more fully described under “Liquidity and Capital Resources” of Item 7. “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Result of Operations”, we have a history of significant negative cash flow and net losses, with an accumulated deficit balance of $5.8 million and $4.1 million at December 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively. We have been reliant on equity financings from the sale of our common shares and on debt financing in order to fund our operations. We do not expect to achieve profitability or develop positive cash flow from operations in the near term. As a result of our limited financial and operating history, including our significant negative cash flow and net losses to date, it may be difficult to evaluate our future performance.

At December 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, we had a working capital deficit of $444,125 and $55,416, respectively. The continuation of the Company as a going concern is dependent upon our ability to obtain adequate additional financing which we have successfully secured since inception. However, there is no assurance that we will be successful in securing any form of additional financing in the future, therefore substantial doubt exists as to whether our cash resources and working capital will be sufficient to enable the Company to continue its operations over the next twelve months. The Company’s independent auditor has stated in its report that the consolidated financial statements for the two years ended December 31, 2017 were prepared assuming that the Company would continue as a going concern. The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared assuming that the Company will continue as a going concern. The Company has incurred continuing losses from operations and is dependent upon future sources of equity or debt financing in order to fund its operations. These conditions raise substantial doubt about the Company’s ability to continue as a going concern. The consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

Our reliance on equity and debt financings is expected to continue for the foreseeable future, and their availability whenever such additional financing is required, will be dependent on many factors beyond our control including, but not limited to, the market price of uranium, the continuing public support of nuclear power as a viable source of electricity generation, the volatility in the global financial markets affecting our stock price and the status of the worldwide economy, any one of which may cause significant challenges in our ability to access additional financing, including access to the equity and credit markets. We may also be required to seek other forms of financing, such as asset divestitures or joint venture arrangements to continue advancing our uranium projects, which would depend entirely on finding a suitable third party willing to enter into such an arrangement, typically involving an assignment of a percentage interest in the mineral project.
Our long-term success, including the recoverability of the carrying values of our assets and our ability to acquire additional uranium projects and continue with exploration and pre-extraction activities and mining activities on our existing uranium projects, will depend ultimately on our ability to achieve and maintain profitability and positive cash flow from our operations by establishing ore bodies that contain commercially recoverable uranium and to develop these into profitable mining activities. The economic viability of our mining activities has many risks and uncertainties. These include, but are not limited to: (i) a significant, prolonged decrease in the market price of uranium; (ii) difficulty in marketing and/or selling uranium concentrates; (iii) significantly higher than expected capital costs to construct the mine and/or processing plant; (iv) significantly higher than expected extraction costs; (v) significantly lower than expected uranium extraction; (vi) significant delays, reductions or stoppages of uranium extraction activities; and (vi) the introduction of significantly more stringent regulatory laws and regulations. Our mining activities may change as a result of any one or more of these risks and uncertainties and there is no assurance that any ore body that we extract mineralized materials from will result in achieving and maintaining profitability and developing positive cash flow.

**Our operations are capital intensive, and we will require significant additional financing to acquire additional uranium projects, continue with our exploration and begin pre-extraction activities on our existing uranium projects.**

Our operations are capital intensive and future capital expenditures are expected to be substantial. We will require significant additional financing to fund our operations, including acquiring additional uranium projects, continuing with our exploration and beginning pre-extraction activities which include assaying, drilling, geological and geochemical analysis and mine construction costs. In the absence of such additional financing, we would not be able to fund our operations, including continuing with our exploration and pre-extraction activities, which may result in delays, curtailment or abandonment of any one or all of our uranium projects.

**Uranium exploration and pre-extraction programs and mining activities are inherently subject to numerous significant risks and uncertainties, and actual results may differ significantly from expectations or anticipated amounts. Furthermore, exploration programs conducted on our uranium projects may not result in the establishment of ore bodies that contain commercially recoverable uranium.**

Uranium exploration and pre-extraction programs and mining activities are inherently subject to numerous significant risks and uncertainties, many beyond our control, including, but not limited to: (i) unanticipated ground and water conditions and adverse claims to water rights; (ii) unusual or unexpected geological formations; (iii) metallurgical and other processing problems; (iv) the occurrence of unusual weather or operating conditions and other force majeure events; (v) lower than expected ore grades; (vi) industrial accidents; (vii) delays in the receipt of or failure to receive necessary government permits; (viii) delays in transportation; (ix) availability of contractors and labor; (x) government permit restrictions and regulation restrictions; (xi) unavailability of materials and equipment; and (xii) the failure of equipment or processes to operate in accordance with specifications or expectations. These risks and uncertainties could result in: delays, reductions or stoppages in our mining activities; increased capital and/or extraction costs; damage to, or destruction of, our mineral projects, extraction facilities or other properties; personal injuries; environmental damage; monetary losses; and legal claims.

Success in uranium exploration is dependent on many factors, including, without limitation, the experience and capabilities of a company’s management, the availability of geological expertise and the availability of sufficient funds to conduct the exploration program. Even if an exploration program is successful and commercially recoverable uranium is established, it may take a number of years from the initial phases of drilling and identification of the mineralization until extraction is possible, during which time the economic feasibility of extraction may change such that the uranium ceases to be economically recoverable. Uranium exploration is frequently non-productive due, for example, to poor exploration results or the inability to establish ore bodies that contain commercially recoverable uranium, in which case the uranium project may be abandoned and written-off. Furthermore, we will not be able to benefit from our exploration efforts and recover the expenditures that we incur on our exploration programs if we do not establish ore bodies that contain commercially recoverable uranium and develop these uranium projects into profitable mining activities, and there is no assurance that we will be successful in doing so for any of our uranium projects.

Whether an ore body contains commercially recoverable uranium depends on many factors including, without limitation: (i) the particular attributes, including material changes to those attributes, of the ore body such as size, grade, recovery rates and proximity to infrastructure; (ii) the market price of uranium, which may be volatile; and (iii) government regulations and regulatory requirements including, without limitation, those relating to environmental protection, permitting and land use, taxes, land tenure and transportation.

We have established the existence of mineralized materials for uranium properties. We have not established proven or probable reserves, as defined by the SEC under Industry Guide 7, through the completion of a “final” or “bankable” feasibility study for any of our uranium properties. Furthermore, we have no current plans to establish proven or probable reserves for any of our uranium properties as it doesn’t serve a business purpose at the present time.
We may not be able to realize anticipated benefits of the Ablation process due to uncertainties associated with that process.

In order to utilize Ablation technology to process uranium/vanadium bearing ore there are uncertainties that must be overcome which include the uncertainty as to the evolution of the regulatory framework and technological considerations. Either may cause delays in start-up, and/or increase costs, and may preclude the realization of the anticipated benefits of the Ablation process. Use of Ablation represents an additional processing step, requiring additional equipment, support, material handling and a potential increase in water usage requirements.

We do not insure against all of the risks we face in our operations.

In general, where coverage is available and not prohibitively expensive relative to the perceived risk, we will maintain insurance against such risk, subject to exclusions and limitations. We currently maintain insurance against certain risks including securities and general commercial liability claims and certain physical assets used in our operations, subject to exclusions and limitations; however, we do not maintain insurance to cover all of the potential risks and hazards associated with our operations. We may be subject to liability for environmental, pollution or other hazards associated with our exploration, pre-extraction and extraction activities, which we may not be insured against, which may exceed the limits of our insurance coverage or which we may elect not to insure against because of high premiums or other reasons. Furthermore, we cannot provide assurance that any insurance coverage we currently have will continue to be available at reasonable premiums or that such insurance will adequately cover any resulting liability.

Our inability to obtain financial surety would threaten our ability to continue in business.

Future financial surety requirements to comply with federal and state environmental and remediation requirements and to secure necessary licenses and approvals will increase significantly as future development and production occurs at certain of our sites in the United States. The amount of the financial surety for each producing property is subject to annual review and revision by regulators. We expect that the issuer of the financial surety instruments will require us to provide cash collateral for a significant amount of the face amount of the bond to secure the obligation. In the event we are not able to raise, secure or generate sufficient funds necessary to satisfy these requirements, we will be unable to develop our sites and bring them into production, which inability will have a material adverse impact on our business and may negatively affect our ability to continue to operate.

Acquisitions that we may make from time to time could have an adverse impact on us.

From time to time, we examine opportunities to acquire additional mining assets and businesses. Any acquisition that we may choose to complete may be of a significant size, may change the scale of our business and operations, and may expose us to new geographic, political, operating, financial and geological risks. Our success in our acquisition activities depends on our ability to identify suitable acquisition candidates, negotiate acceptable terms for any such acquisition, and integrate the acquired operations successfully with those of our Company. Any acquisitions would be accompanied by risks which could have a material adverse effect on our business. For example, there may be a significant change in commodity prices after we have committed to complete the transaction and established the purchase price or exchange ratio; a material ore body may prove to be below expectations; we may have difficulty integrating and assimilating the operations and personnel of any acquired companies, realizing anticipated synergies and maximizing the financial and strategic position of the combined enterprise, and maintaining uniform standards, policies and controls across the organization; the integration of the acquired business or assets may disrupt our ongoing business and our relationships with employees, customers, suppliers and contractors; and the acquired business or assets may have unknown liabilities which may be significant. In the event that we choose to raise debt capital to finance any such acquisition, our leverage will be increased. If we choose to use equity as consideration for such acquisition, existing shareholders may suffer dilution. Alternatively, we may choose to finance any such acquisition with our existing resources. There can be no assurance that we would be successful in overcoming these risks or any other problems encountered in connection with such acquisitions.

The uranium industry is subject to numerous stringent laws, regulations and standards, including environmental protection laws and regulations. If any changes occur that would make these laws, regulations and standards more stringent, it may require capital outlays in excess of those anticipated or cause substantial delays, which would have a material adverse effect on our operations.

Uranium exploration and pre-extraction programs and mining activities are subject to numerous stringent laws, regulations and standards at the federal, state, and local levels governing permitting, pre-extraction, extraction, exports, taxes, labor standards, occupational health, waste disposal, protection and reclamation of the environment, protection of endangered and protected species, mine safety, hazardous substances and other matters. Our compliance with these requirements requires significant financial and personnel resources.
The laws, regulations, policies or current administrative practices of any government body, organization or regulatory agency in the United States or any other applicable jurisdiction, may change or be applied or interpreted in a manner which may also have a material adverse effect on our operations. The actions, policies or regulations, or changes thereto, of any government body or regulatory agency or special interest group, may also have a material adverse effect on our operations.

Uranium exploration and pre-extraction programs and mining activities are subject to stringent environmental protection laws and regulations at the federal, state, and local levels. These laws and regulations, which include permitting and reclamation requirements, regulate emissions, water storage and discharges and disposal of hazardous wastes. Uranium mining activities are also subject to laws and regulations which seek to maintain health and safety standards by regulating the design and use of mining methods. Various permits from governmental and regulatory bodies are required for mining to commence or continue, and no assurance can be provided that required permits will be received in a timely manner.

Our compliance costs including the posting of surety bonds associated with environmental protection laws and regulations and health and safety standards have been significant to date, and are expected to increase in scale and scope as we expand our operations in the future. Furthermore, environmental protection laws and regulations may become more stringent in the future, and compliance with such changes may require capital outlays in excess of those anticipated or cause substantial delays, which would have a material adverse effect on our operations.

To the best of our knowledge, our operations are in compliance, in all material respects, with all applicable laws, regulations and standards. We may not be able or may elect not to insure against the risk of liability for violations of such laws, regulations and standards, due to high insurance premiums or other reasons. Where coverage is available and not prohibitively expensive relative to the perceived risk, we will maintain insurance against such risk, subject to exclusions and limitations. However, we cannot provide any assurance that such insurance will continue to be available at reasonable premiums or that such insurance will be adequate to cover any resulting liability.

We may not be able to obtain, maintain or amend rights, authorizations, licenses, permits or consents required for our operations.

Our exploration and mining activities are dependent upon the grant of appropriate rights, authorizations, licenses, permits and consents, as well as continuation and amendment of these rights, authorizations, licenses, permits and consents already granted, which may be granted for a defined period of time, or may not be granted or may be withdrawn or made subject to limitations. There can be no assurance that all necessary rights, authorizations, licenses, permits and consents will be granted to us, or that authorizations, licenses, permits and consents already granted will not be withdrawn or made subject to limitations.

In July 2015, the Company began a licensing process for its Ablation technology. Western continued to advance through the process in 2016, as the Company participated in public hearings and provided additional technical data to the CDPHE. On October 16, 2016, the NRC issued an advisory opinion letter to CDPHE recommending that Ablation should be regulated as a milling operation. On December 1, 2016, the CDPHE issued a determination that the proposed ablation operations at the Sunday Mine must be regulated by the CDPHE through a milling license. Ultimately, this determination provided a framework which allows the utilization of this new technology, however the current regulatory framework doesn’t currently allow application under the optimal cost saving configuration and the regulatory framework could change in the future in ways not anticipated by the Company.

Closure and remediation costs for environmental liabilities may exceed the provisions we have made.

Natural resource companies are required to close their operations and rehabilitate the lands in accordance with a variety of environmental laws and regulations. Estimates of the total ultimate closure and rehabilitation costs for uranium operations are significant and based principally on current legal and regulatory requirements and closure plans that may change materially. Any underestimated or unanticipated rehabilitation costs could materially affect our financial position, results of operations and cash flows. Environmental liabilities are accrued when they become known, are probable and can be reasonably estimated. Whenever a previously unrecognized remediation liability becomes known, or a previously estimated reclamation cost is increased, the amount of that liability and additional cost will be recorded at that time and could materially reduce our consolidated net income in the related period.

The laws and regulations governing closure and remediation in a particular jurisdiction are subject to review at any time and may be amended to impose additional requirements and conditions which may cause our provisions for environmental liabilities to be underestimated and could materially affect our financial position or results of operations.
Major nuclear incidents may have adverse effects on the nuclear and uranium industries.

The nuclear incident that occurred in Japan in March 2011 had significant and adverse effects on both the nuclear and uranium industries. If another nuclear incident were to occur, it may have further adverse effects for both industries. Public opinion of nuclear power as a source of electricity generation may be adversely affected, which may cause governments of certain countries to further increase regulation for the nuclear industry, reduce or abandon current reliance on nuclear power or reduce or abandon existing plans for nuclear power expansion. Any one of these occurrences has the potential to reduce current and/or future demand for nuclear power, resulting in lower demand for uranium and lower market prices for uranium, adversely affecting the Company’s operations and prospects. Furthermore, the growth of the nuclear and uranium industries is dependent on continuing and growing public support of nuclear power as a viable source of electricity generation.

The marketability of uranium concentrates will be affected by numerous factors beyond our control which may result in our inability to receive an adequate return on our invested capital.

The marketability of uranium concentrates extracted by us will be affected by numerous factors beyond our control. These factors include macroeconomic factors, fluctuations in the market price of uranium, governmental regulations, land tenure and use, regulations concerning the importing and exporting of uranium and environmental protection regulations. The future effects of these factors cannot be accurately predicted, but any one or a combination of these factors may result in our inability to receive an adequate return on our invested capital.

The only significant market for uranium is nuclear power plants world-wide, and there are a limited number of customers.

We are dependent on a limited number of electric utilities that buy uranium for nuclear power plants. Because of the limited market for uranium, a reduction in purchases of newly produced uranium by electric utilities for any reason (such as plant closings) would adversely affect the viability of our business.

The price of alternative energy sources affects the demand for and price of uranium.

The attractiveness of uranium as an alternative fuel to generate electricity may be dependent on the relative prices of oil, gas, coal and hydro-electricity and the possibility of developing other low-cost sources of energy. If the prices of alternative energy sources decrease or new low-cost alternative energy sources are developed, the demand for uranium could decrease, which may result in a decrease in the price of uranium.

The title to our mineral property interests may be challenged.

Although we have taken reasonable measures to ensure proper title to our interests in mineral properties and other assets, there is no guarantee that the title to any of such interests will not be challenged. No assurance can be given that we will be able to secure the grant or the renewal of existing mineral rights and tenures on terms satisfactory to us, or that governments in the jurisdictions in which we operate will not revoke or significantly alter such rights or tenures or that such rights or tenures will not be challenged or impugned by third parties, including local governments, aboriginal peoples or other claimants. Our mineral properties may be subject to prior unregistered agreements, transfers or claims, and title may be affected by, among other things, undetected defects. A successful challenge to the precise area and location of our claims could result in us being unable to operate on our properties as permitted or being unable to enforce our rights with respect to our properties.

Due to the nature of our business, we may be subject to legal proceedings which may divert management’s time and attention from our business and result in substantial damage awards.

Due to the nature of our business, we may be subject to numerous regulatory investigations, securities claims, civil claims, lawsuits and other proceedings in the ordinary course of our business. The outcome of these lawsuits is uncertain and subject to inherent uncertainties, and the actual costs to be incurred will depend upon many unknown factors. We may be forced to expend significant resources in the defense of these suits, and we may not prevail. Defending against these and other lawsuits in the future may not only require us to incur significant legal fees and expenses, but may become time-consuming for us and detract from our ability to fully focus our internal resources on our business activities. The results of any legal proceeding cannot be predicted with certainty due to the uncertainty inherent in litigation, the difficulty of predicting decisions of regulators, judges and juries and the possibility that decisions may be reversed on appeal. There can be no assurances that these matters will not have a material adverse effect on our business, financial position or operating results.

Competition from better-capitalized companies affects prices and our ability to acquire both properties and personnel.

There is global competition for uranium properties, capital, customers and the employment and retention of qualified personnel. In the production and marketing of uranium, there are a number of producing entities, some of which are government controlled and all of which are significantly larger and better capitalized than we are. Many of these organizations also have substantially greater financial, technical, manufacturing and distribution resources than we have.
Our future uranium production will also compete with uranium recovered from the de-enrichment of highly enriched uranium obtained from the dismantlement of United States and Russian nuclear weapons and imports to the United States of uranium from the former Soviet Union and from the sale of uranium inventory held by the United States Department of Energy. In addition, there are numerous entities in the market that compete with us for properties and are attempting to become licensed to operate ISR and/or underground mining facilities. If we are unable to successfully compete for properties, capital, customers or employees or with alternative uranium sources, it could have a materially adverse effect on our results of operations.

**Because we have limited capital, inherent mining risks pose a significant threat to us compared with our larger competitors.**

Because we have limited capital we may be unable to withstand significant losses that can result from inherent risks associated with mining, including environmental hazards, industrial accidents, flooding, earthquake, interruptions due to weather conditions and other acts of nature which larger competitors could withstand. Such risks could result in damage to or destruction of our infrastructure and production facilities, as well as to adjacent properties, personal injury, environmental damage and processing and production delays, causing monetary losses and possible legal liability. Our business could be harmed if we lose the services of our key personnel.

Our business and mineral exploration programs depend upon our ability to employ the services of geologists, engineers and other experts. In operating our business and in order to continue our programs, we compete for the services of professionals with other mineral exploration companies and businesses. In addition, several entities have expressed an interest in hiring certain of our employees. Our ability to maintain and expand our business and continue our exploration programs may be impaired if we are unable to continue to employ or engage those parties currently providing services and expertise to us or identify and engage other qualified personnel to do so in their place. To retain key employees, we may face increased compensation costs, including potential new stock incentive grants and there can be no assurance that the incentive measures we implement will be successful in helping us retain our key personnel.

**If we fail to maintain proper and effective internal controls, our ability to produce accurate and timely consolidated financial statements could be impaired, which could harm our operating results, our ability to operate our business and investors’ views of us.**

Ensuring that we have adequate internal financial and accounting controls and procedures in place so that we can produce accurate consolidated financial statements on a timely basis is a costly and time-consuming effort that will need to be evaluated frequently. Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act requires public companies to conduct an annual review and evaluation of their internal controls. The Company is in the process of reviewing its internal control over financial reporting in the interest of complying with Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act. Our failure to maintain the effectiveness of our internal controls in accordance with the requirements of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act could have a material adverse effect on our business. We could lose investor confidence in the accuracy and completeness of our financial reports, which could have an adverse effect on the price of our common shares.

**The Company may be subject to certain tax consequences in its business, which may increase the cost of doing business.**

The Company may not be able to structure its acquisitions to result in tax-free treatment for the companies or their stockholders, which could deter third parties from entering into certain business combinations with the Company or result in being taxed on consideration received in a transaction.

**Risks Related to Our Stock**

**If we are unable to raise additional capital, our business may fail and stockholders may lose their entire investment.**

We had $427,020 and $791,814 in cash at December 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively. There can be no assurance that we will be able to obtain additional capital after we exhaust our current cash. To the extent that we raise additional capital through the sale of equity or convertible debt securities, the issuance of such securities would likely result in substantial dilution to existing stockholders. If we borrow money, we will have to pay interest and may also have to agree to restrictions that limit our operating flexibility.

If additional capital is not available in sufficient amounts or on a timely basis, we will experience liquidity problems, and we could face the need to significantly curtail current operations, change our planned business strategies and pursue other remedial measures. Any curtailment of business operations would have a material negative effect on operating results, the value of our outstanding stock is likely to fall, and our business may fail, causing our stockholders to lose their entire investment.
Shareholders could be diluted if we were to use common shares to raise capital.

We may need to seek additional capital to carry our business plan. This financing could involve one or more types of securities including common shares, convertible debt or warrants to acquire common shares. These securities could be issued at or below the then prevailing market price for our common shares. Any issuance of additional common shares could be dilutive to existing stockholders and could adversely affect the market price of our common shares.

The Company’s common shares may be traded infrequently and in low volumes, which may negatively affect the ability to sell shares.

The Company’s common shares may trade infrequently and in low volumes on both the CSE and OTCQX, meaning that the number of persons interested in purchasing our common shares at or near bid prices at any given time may be relatively small or non-existent. This situation may be attributable to a number of factors, including the fact that we are a small company that is relatively unknown to stock analysts, stock brokers, institutional investors and others in the investment community who can generate or influence sales volume, and that even if we came to the attention of such institutionally oriented persons, they tend to be risk-averse in this environment and would be reluctant to follow an early stage company such as ours or purchase or recommend the purchase of our shares until such time as we became more seasoned and viable. As a consequence, there may be periods of several days or more when trading activity in the Company’s shares is minimal or non-existent, as compared to a seasoned issuer which has a large and steady volume of trading activity that will generally support continuous sales without an adverse effect on share price. The Company cannot give you any assurance that a broader or more active public trading market for our common shares will develop or be sustained. Due to these conditions, we can give you no assurance that you will be able to sell your shares at or near bid prices or at all if you need money or otherwise desire to liquidate your shares. Further, institutional and other investors may have investment guidelines that restrict or prohibit investing in securities traded in the over-the-counter market. These factors may have an adverse impact on the trading and price of our securities, and could even result in the loss by investors of all or part of their investment.

The Company’s common share price may be volatile.

The future trading price of the Company’s common shares may be volatile and may fluctuate substantially. The price of the common shares may be higher or lower than the price you pay for your shares, depending on many factors, some of which are beyond the Company’s control and may not be directly related to its operating performance. These factors include the following:

- price and volume fluctuations in the overall stock market from time to time;
- significant volatility in the market price and trading volume of securities of mineral exploration and mining companies;
- changes in government regulations or regulatory policies with respect to mineral exploration and mining companies or in the status of our regulatory approvals;
- actual or anticipated changes in earnings or fluctuations in operating results;
- announcements by us or by our competitors of acquisitions or of new products, commercial relationships or capital commitments;
- disruption to our operations or those of other sources critical to our operations;
- the emergence of new competitors;
- commencement of, or our involvement in, litigation;
- dilutive issuances of our common shares or the incurrence of additional debt;
- adoption of new or different accounting standards;
- general economic conditions and trends and slow or negative growth of related markets;
- loss of a major funding source; or
- departures of key personnel.

Due to the continued potential volatility of the stock price, the Company may be the target of securities litigation in the future. Securities litigation could result in substantial costs and divert management’s attention and resources from the business.
The sale of shares by our directors and officers may adversely affect the market price for our shares.

Sales of significant amounts of common shares held by our officers and directors, or the prospect of these sales, could adversely affect the market price of our common shares. Management’s stock ownership may discourage a potential acquirer from making a tender offer or otherwise attempting to obtain control of us, which in turn could reduce our stock price or prevent our stockholders from realizing a premium over our stock price.

We have never paid or declared any dividends on our common shares.

We have never paid or declared any dividends on our common shares or preferred stock. Likewise, we do not anticipate paying, in the near future, dividends or distributions on our common shares. Any future dividends on common shares will be declared at the discretion of our board of directors and will depend, among other things, on our earnings, our financial requirements for future operations and growth, and other facts as we may then deem appropriate.

Our Chief Executive Officer and one of our directors are also our two largest stockholders, and as a result they can exert control over us and have actual or potential interests that may diverge from yours.

George Glasier, our CEO, and Russell Fryer, one of our Directors, beneficially own, in the aggregate, about 42% of our common shares. As a result, these stockholders, acting together, will be able to influence many matters requiring stockholder approval, including the election of directors and approval of mergers and other significant corporate transactions. This concentration of ownership may have the effect of delaying, preventing or deterring a change in control, and could deprive our stockholders of an opportunity to receive a premium for their common shares as part of a sale of our company and may affect the market price of our stock.

Furthermore, Mr. Glasier and Mr. Fryer may have interests that diverge from those of other holders of our common shares. As a result, Mr. Glasier and Mr. Fryer may vote the shares they own or control or otherwise cause us to take actions that may conflict with your best interests as a stockholder, which could adversely affect our results of operations and the trading price of our common shares. Through this control, Mr. Glasier and Mr. Fryer can control our management, affairs and all matters requiring stockholder approval, including the approval of significant corporate transactions, a sale of our company, decisions about our capital structure and the composition of our Board of Directors.

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None
ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

Company headquarters is maintained through a lease at 330 Bay Street, Suite 1400, Toronto, Ontario, Canada M5H 2S8.

An operations facility is rented at 31617 Hwy 90 Road, Nucla, Colorado, USA 81424 which houses the ablation units and an office.

1. Sunday Mine Complex
2. San Rafael
3. Sage
4. Dunn
5. Pinon Ridge Mill*
6. Hansen/Taylor Ranch
7. Van #4
8. Yellow Cat***
9. White Mesa Mill **

* Owned by Pinon Ridge Corporation (not owned by Western Uranium Corporation)
** Owned by Energy Fuels Resources Corporation (not owned by Western Uranium Corporation)
*** Effective September 1, 2017, the state lease fees were due and Western elected not to pay those fees thereby surrendering the resource to the state of Utah.

PROPERTIES

We have no proven or probable reserves. However, as a company incorporated in Canada we have provided below resources qualifying under National Instrument 43-101, for our Sunday Mines Complex and our San Rafael Uranium Project.

On September 16, 2015, in connection with the Black Range Transaction, the Company acquired additional mineral properties. The mining assets acquired through Black Range included assets in the states of Colorado, Wyoming and Alaska. None of these mining assets are operational at this time. As these properties have not formally established proven or probable reserves, there may be greater inherent uncertainty as to whether or not any mineralized material can be economically extracted as originally planned and anticipated.

The Company’s mining properties acquired on August 18, 2014 that the Company retains as of December 31, 2017, include: San Rafael Uranium Project located in Emery County, Utah; The Sunday Mine Complex located in western San Miguel County, Colorado; The Van 4 Mine located in western Montrose County, Colorado; The Sage Mine project located in San Juan County, Utah, and San Miguel County, Colorado USA, and the Dunn Project located in San Juan County, Utah.
The Company’s mining properties acquired on September 16, 2015 that the Company retains as of December 31, 2017, include Hansen, North Hansen, High Park, Hansen Picnic Tree, and Taylor Ranch, located in Fremont and Teller Counties, Colorado. The Company also acquired the Keota project located in Weld County, Colorado, and Ferris Haggerty located in Carbon County, Wyoming.

The near term plan for the Company’s resources is to mine initially at the Sunday Complex. The Sunday Mine Complex is an advanced stage property with a significant drilling and production history. Mining and drilling occurred contemporaneously from the 1950’s through the mid 1980’s. From the 1980’s to the present, mining and drilling occurred only sporadically, typically when uranium or vanadium prices were high. The last mining interval was from 2006 to 2009, and based on the available records, only in 2009 did any drilling take place since mid-1980. Past operators have generated abundant geologic and mining data and there are open faces underground that show mineralized zones.

Near term exploration is not needed because the underground infrastructure has been already developed.

1. **Sunday Mines Complex**

The Property

The Sunday Mine Complex is located in western San Miguel County and is part of the Uravan Mineral Belt. The property is situated 25 miles north of Dove Creek, Colorado, on the north flank of Disappointment Valley and portions of Big Gypsum Valley. Energy Fuels Resources (USA) Inc. (“EFR”) acquired the property in June 2012 from Denison Mines Corp. The complex consists of five individual mines with declines located along a two mile stretch of the southern side of Big Gypsum Valley, with underground workings extending generally south, with associated vents and surface facilities. The mines are, from east to west: Sunday, Carnation, Saint Jude, West Sunday, and Topaz. The mines were last actively mined from 2007 to 2009.

The property consists of 221 unpatented claims on public land managed by the U.S. Bureau of Land Management (“BLM”) Tres Rios Field Office, covering approximately 3,800 acres. The area covers parts of sections 10, 13, 14, 15, 23, 24, and 26 T44N R18W, and sections 18, 19, 20, and 30 T44N R17W. Total annual BLM claim maintenance fee are approximately $34,255 due September 1st each year. The Sunday Complex is approximately 75 miles from the White Mesa Mill and 50 miles from the proposed Piñon Ridge Mill in Paradox Valley. The property has access to grid power and has a natural underground source of water due to an aquifer. As a mine that has produced in the recent past, the Sunday Mine Complex has a robust infrastructure. The roads are all-weather, electric power is grid-tied, surface facility structures that meet Colorado State standards exist, and water is present. Neither exploration plans nor a mining plan have been prepared for the project and each of the five associated mining permits are in Temporary Cessation status.

GMG, Sunshine, and Patsun claims (totaling twenty claims in the northeast portion of the property) carry a 12.5% royalty on all ore produced.

Accessibility

The property is best accessed from Colorado. Access from Colorado is via State Highway 141 east out of Naturita, CO for about 3.7 mi (6 km) until the 141/145 Highway junction, then about 22.4 mi (36 km) south on Hwy 141, then about 6.2 mi (10 km) northwest on County Road 20R (Gypsum Valley Road). The State Highway 141 is a paved all-weather road and the County Road 20R is a gravel road passable in all but the worst weather.

History

The Sunday Mine Complex consists of six different mines. These are the Topaz, West Sunday, Sunday, St. Jude, Carnation, and the GMG. The mines have had a number of owners and operators. Maps and documents made available to the author show that the following companies have been involved in all or parts of the property prior to WUC acquisition of the SMC in April 2014: Matterhorn Mining (1950’s-1960’s, Climax Uranium 1960’s, Union Carbide Corporation (UCC) 1970’s-1980’s, Atlas Minerals (1980’s), Energy Fuels Nuclear (early 1990’s), International Uranium Corp. (1990’s-2000’s), Denison Mines (USA) (2000’s), and Energy Fuels (2010’s). The documents are incomplete as so this list may be as well. Since UCC days, the ownership has been clear. In 1983 Union Carbide transferred its mineral interests to UMETCO, a wholly-owned subsidiary. For the sake of consistency, the name Union Carbide will be used even if technically the ownership was UMETCO at the time.

Records made available to the author by WUC and a search of public documents on-line indicates exploration drilling starting on the property in the early 1950’s. Two Defense Minerals Exploration Administration (DMEA) reports, one on the Sunday area and the other on the Topaz area, indicated some drilling and minor surface extraction had occurred by the mid 1950’s (DMEA, 1953 & 1956). Additionally, historic maps of the area show the Sunday mines in operation in the 1950’s (Denison Mines, 2008).
The records & anecdotal evidence indicate that from the mid-1960’s until the early 1980’s, the SMC produced material from relatively steady ongoing mining operations. These ceased in 1984 when Union Carbide closed their Uravan mill. Since then, the property has been idle, with the exception of brief periods in the late 1980’s when UCC mined for a short time during a spike in vanadium prices, in the mid-1990’s with International Uranium Corporation and another one in 2006-2009 when Denison Mines extracted ore from the mine. During all three periods, the ore was processed at the White Mesa Mill located just south of Blanding, UT.

Exploration and development drilling on the property was contemporaneous with the mining. The available database records show that at least 1,419 holes have been drilled on the property. This is an incomplete list, as an examination of the available maps and cross-sections show a number of holes that are not in the database. A best estimate for total distance drilled is about 850,100 ft (259,175 m). Anecdotal evidence and some maps also give evidence that underground long holes (test holes drilled from the mine workings anywhere from 50 ft (15 m) to 300 ft (91 m) long) were used extensively throughout the mined areas.

The 2-D digitized mine workings, done by Denison Mines show extensive stopping and drifting within parts of the SMC. Generational mine maps indicate that more mine workings exist than are shown in the digital database. A very conservative rough estimate of the linear mine workings based on the digital database is in excess of 50,000 ft (15,244 m) with many stopes. Figure 6.2.1 shows the known drill hole and mine working locations.

Based on the records and on field inspection, it is evident that the Property has a significant history of drill exploration and mine development.

Anthony R. Adkins, P. Geol., LLC was commissioned by Western Uranium to prepare an Independent Technical Report compliant with the Canadian National Instrument 43-101 on the Sunday Mine Complex Uranium (SMC) Project, an advanced-stage uranium property. The report was finalized on July 7, 2015 and filed on sedar.com on July 16, 2015.

The report states that the Sunday Mine Complex has Measured and Indicated Resources of 203,217 tons grading at 0.25% U3O8 containing 1,007,803 lbs U3O8 and Inferred Resources of 264,604 tons grading at 0.36% containing 1,906,081 lbs U3O8. This Technical Report resource is an historic estimate under NI 43-101. The historic mineral resource estimate was calculated by the area of influence method, which is a common way for resources in the Uravan Mineral Belt to be estimated.

The Sunday Mine Complex Technical Report filed by Western Uranium estimates mineral resources and not reserves. That report does not use categories other than “mineral resources” and “mineral reserves”, and the Sunday Mine Complex property was reported as having no reserve quality mineralization. There is no more recent or available data on the Sunday Mine Complex project resource than that of the Western Uranium Technical Report from 2015. In order to disclose the historic resource as current, the Company needs to have completed and filed an NI 43-101 technical report on sedar.com which includes discussion on the reasonable prospect for economic extraction of the mineral resource. A qualified person (as understood under NI 43-101) has not done sufficient work to classify the historical estimate as current mineral resources or mineral reserves, and the Company is not treating the historical estimate as current mineral resources or mineral reserves. In order to upgrade or verify the historical estimate provided by the Western Uranium Technical Report, the Company would have to engage a qualified person to, among other things, take account of any exploration or other work on the Sunday Mine Complex since the date of the historical estimate and otherwise produce a report under NI 43-101.

**Project Geology**

Geologically, the main hosts for uranium-vanadium mineralization in the Sunday Mine Complex are fluvial sandstone beds assigned to the upper part of the Salt Wash Member of the Jurassic Morrison Formation, with minor production coming from conglomeratic sandstones assigned to the lower portion of the Brushy Basin Member of the Morrison Formation. Mineralization from both members is present at the property, with the mine production coming from the Salt Wash Member. Beds generally strike NW-SE and dip SW, with some exceptions within fault bounded blocks adjacent to Big Gypsum Valley.
Restoration and Reclamation

Each of the mines are permitted separately with the DRMS and are considered to be in temporary cessation status. The mines and their permitted acres and reclamation bonds are, from east to west, the Sunday (60 acres, $297,926), Carnation (9.8 acres, $22,468), Saint Jude (9.8 acres, $47,700), West Sunday (12.1 acres, $85,036), and Topaz (30 acres, $94,791).

Permitting Status

The air permits for the site are currently being renewed with APCD. A Stormwater permit is in place with the WQCD and a Stormwater Management Plan is in effect. However, a mine water treatment plant will need to be permitted for treating mine water, as there is currently 55 million gallons of water in the lower portion of the mine where most of the remaining resource is located. This will require a discharge permit with the DWQC and revisions to the Plan of Operations, EPP, and one of the DRMS mine permits. Special Use Permits are also in place with San Miguel County, which mainly address road maintenance and transportation issues with some limitations in effect on when and how many trucks may be used for ore haulage to the mill.

Major permits currently in place at the Sunday Complex include:

- Sunday 112d Mine Permit M-1977-285 (DRMS)
- St. Jude 110d Mine Permit M-1978-039-HR (DRMS)
- West Sunday 112d Mine Permit M-1981-021 (DRMS)
- Carnation 110d Mine Permit M-1977-416 (DRMS)
- Topaz 112d Mine Permit M-1980-055-HR (DRMS)
- West Sunday Plan of Operations COC 52049 (BLM)
- Sunday, St. Jude and Carnation Plan of Operations COC-53227 (BLM)
- Resolution #1997-18 Mine Permit (San Miguel County)
- Resolution 2007-34 Topaz and Sunday Expansion (San Miguel County)
- Resolution 2008-41 Increased Ore Haulage (San Miguel County)
- Road & Bridge Special Construction Permit (SCP) 06-14 (San Miguel County)
2. San Rafael

The Property

The San Rafael Uranium Project land position is comprised of a contiguous claim block covered by 136 BM unpatented federal lode mining claims and 10 Hollie unpatented federal lode mining claims.

The San Rafael Project is located in the historic Tidwell District about 10 miles west of Green River, Utah. Most of the property is north of Interstate Highway 70 at the Hanks ville exit.

Energy Fuels became operator of the San Rafael Project when it acquired Magnum Minerals in June 2009. It consisted of two core uranium deposits, the Deep Gold and the Down Yonder. In January 2011, EFR acquired the 10 Hollie claims from Titan Uranium. These claims covered the eastern portion of the Deep Gold deposit, greatly increasing resources. WUC acquired the property from Energy Fuels and currently holds the 146 claims in the project area.

The San Rafael Uranium Project is currently being held as a property that is exploratory in nature with no identified reserves. Exploration and mining plans have not been prepared for the project. Western Uranium Corporation has not yet undertaken any development work at the property. Power and water sources have not yet been formally assessed.

Magnum’s acquisition of the claims and some of the data Magnum purchased encumbers the claims. This includes a 2% Net Smelter Return royalty to Uranium One, successor to Energy Metals for claims acquired by Magnum as earn-in to a JV, and a 2% net sales price royalty to Kelly Dearth on the BM claims. There is no royalty on the Hollie claims.

The unpatented claims are located on approximately 2,900 acres of land administered by the U.S. Bureau of Land Management in sections 13, 14, 23, 24, 25, 26, and 35, T21S, R14E, SLPM, Emery County, Utah. Holding cost $22,630 due to BLM for claim maintenance fees prior to September 1 each year. The San Rafael Project is located approximately 152 miles from the White Mesa Mill at Blanding, Utah. It would be about 139 miles to the Pinon Ridge mill proposed by EFRC near Naturita, Colorado.
Accessibility

The property is located on the eastern side of the San Rafael Swell in east-central Utah, approximately 140 air miles southeast of Salt Lake City. The little desert community of Green River, Utah is located about ten miles to the east. In a general sense the San Rafael Uranium Project property position lies within a wedge shaped area, roughly bound along its northeast edge by US Highway 6-50 and along its southeast edge by Interstate 70.

Concerning additional local access features, U.S. Highway 6-50 crosses just north of the greater San Rafael Uranium Project area in a northwesterly direction and is roughly paralleled by the regional railroad line. Access to the property is generally good year around, except for periods of heavy snowstorms during December through February and increased monsoon rains and summer cloudburst storms during August through October. Access for drilling and other exploration activity is excellent, except during occasional heavy rainy periods which can create heavy flash flooding and roads mud-up and becoming impassable.

History

The Deep Gold deposit was originally discovered by Continental Oil Company (Conoco) and Pioneer Uranium geologists in the late 1960s and 1970s to early 1980s, respectively. Exploration drilling was conducted just east of the core of the Tidwell Mineral Belt and north-northeast of the Acerson Mineral Belt. The area containing the deposits was considered to contain highly prospective paleo trunk stream channel trends. Some of the larger historic producing mines in the area were Atlas Minerals’ Snow, Probe, and Lucky Mines. The deposit in the San Rafael Project is an open concordant, channel-controlled, sandstone-hosted, trend type, with mineralization hosted in the upper sandstone sequence of the Salt Wash Member of the Upper Jurassic Morrison Formation.

In addition to Conoco, Pioneer Uranavan, and Atlas Minerals, the US Atomic Energy Commission (AEC) and other companies (Union Carbide, Energy Fuels Nuclear, and others) conducted exploration drilling and mining in the area. Some of these companies performed historic resource estimates on the Deep Gold deposits, but, they are not considered compliant with NI 43-101 standards. These resource estimates are of historical importance, were generated by senior mining companies with significant uranium exploration and production experience and are considered as relevant checks to this updated Technical Report.

Depth to mineralization at the Deep Gold deposit in Section 23 averages 800 feet, with hole depths averaging approximately 1,000 feet. Magnum purchased and otherwise acquired most of the available historic exploration data produced by the previous operators. A 100 hole, 100,000 foot drilling program is warranted to discover and define additional uranium resources. Total cost for this work would be $US 1.3 million to $US 1.5 million, based on an all-inclusive cost of $US 15/foot.

The Tidwell Mineral Belt and the San Rafael Uranium District have been the sites of considerable historic exploration drilling and production, with over 4 million pounds of uranium and 5.4 million pounds of vanadium produced. Production from the Snow, immediately up dip of the Deep Gold deposit, which produced for nine years, starting in March 1973 and ending in January, 1982 consisted of 650,292 pounds of U3O8 contained in 173,330 tons of material at an average grade of 0.188% U3O8 (Wilbanks, 1982).

O. Jay Gatten, P. Geol., LLC was commissioned by Western Uranium to prepare an Independent Technical Report compliant with the Canadian National Instrument 43-101 on the San Rafael Uranium Project (including the Deep Gold Uranium Deposit and the Down Yonder Uranium Deposit), an advanced-stage uranium property. The report was finalized on November 19, 2014 and filed on sedar.com on November 20, 2015.

The filed Technical Report states that the Deep Gold deposit of the San Rafael Project comprises a historic indicated uranium resource of 475,000 tons grading at 0.25% U3O8 containing 2,415,300 lbs U3O8 and a historic inferred Mineral Resource of 92,350 tons grading at 0.32% U3O8 containing 587,800 lbs U3O8. This Technical Report resource is an historic estimate under NI 43-101. The historic mineral resource estimate was calculated by using polygonal and statistical methods. Both methods have been successfully applied in the evaluation of resources at many prospects and operating mines within the Salt Wash sandstone uranium deposits.

The San Rafael Uranium Project Technical Report filed by Western Uranium estimates mineral resources and not reserves. That report does not use categories other than “mineral resources” and “mineral reserves”, and the San Rafael Uranium Project property was reported as having no reserve quality mineralization. There is no more recent or available data on the San Rafael Uranium Project resource than that of the Western Uranium Technical Report from 2014. In order to disclose the historic resource as current, the Company needs to have completed and filed an NI 43-101 technical report on sedar.com which includes discussion on the reasonable prospect for economic extraction of the mineral resource. A qualified person (as understood under NI 43-101) has not done sufficient work to classify the historical estimate as current mineral resources or mineral reserves, and the Company is not treating the historical estimate as current mineral resources or mineral reserves. In order to upgrade or verify the historical estimate provided by the Western Uranium Technical Report, the Company would have to engage a qualified person to, among other things, take account of any exploration or other work on the San Rafael Uranium Project since the date of the historical estimate and otherwise produce a report under NI 43-101.
Project Geology

Geologically, the main hosts for uranium-vanadium mineralization in the San Rafael Project are the fluvial sandstone beds assigned to the upper part of the Salt Wash Member of the Jurassic Morrison Formation.

Restoration and Reclamation

All exploration permits have been terminated and all bonds released. An EA was completed by BLM in 2008 for drilling up to 150 holes. A large area has been surveyed for cultural and paleontological resources which would expedite future exploration permits. No mine permitting activities have yet occurred.

Permitting Status

All exploration permits have been terminated and all bonds released. An EA was completed by BLM in 2008 for drilling up to 150 holes. A large area has been surveyed for cultural and paleontological resources which would expedite future exploration permits. No mine permitting activities have yet occurred.
3. Sage

The Property

On July 1, 2014 PRM concluded a deal with EFR to acquire 44 contiguous unpatented mining claims on the Utah side of the Colorado-Utah state line at the head of Summit Canyon at the south end of the Uravan Mineral Belt.

The 94 unpatented claims are located on approximately 1,942 acres land administered by the U.S. Bureau of Land Management in sections 34 and 35, T32S, R26E, SLP, San Juan County, Utah and sections 25 and 26, T43N, R20W, NMPM, and sections 19, 29, 30, 31, and 32, T43N, R19W, NMPM San Miguel County, Colorado. Holding cost is $14370 due to BLM for claim maintenance fees prior to September 1 each year. The Sage Plain Project is located approximately 56 miles from the White Mesa Mill at Blanding, Utah. It would be about 78 miles to the Piñon Ridge mill proposed by Pinon Ridge Corporation near Naturita, Colorado.

The property has access to grid power, however, no source of industrial water has yet been identified. The Sage Mine Project is currently being held as a property that is exploratory in nature with no identified reserves. Exploration and mining plans have not been prepared for the project. Western Uranium Corporation has not yet undertaken any development work at the property.

Accessibility

The Sage Plain Project property can be accessed from the north, south, and east on paved, all-weather county roads. The nearest towns with stores, restaurants, lodging, and small industrial supply retailers are Monticello, Utah, 26 road miles to the west, and Dove Creek, Colorado, 20 road miles to the southeast. Larger population centers with more supplies and services are available farther away at Moab, Utah (61 road miles to the north) and Cortez, Colorado (54 road miles to the southeast).

U.S. Highway 491 connects Monticello, Utah to Dove Creek and Cortez, Colorado. There are two routes north from this highway to the project. At one mile west of the Colorado/Utah state line (16 miles east of Monticello or 10 miles west of Dove Creek), San Juan County Road 370 goes north for 10 miles to the Calliham Mine portal site drive way. The mine portal is one-half mile east of Road 370, on a private road. An alternate route is to turn north on Colorado Highway 141(2 miles west of Dove Creek) for 9.5 miles to Egnar, Colorado, then turn west on San Miguel County, Road H1 for 1.2 miles before intersecting San Juan County Road 370. Road 370 would be taken north for 4 miles to the Calliham Mine portal site driveway. Road H1 from Egnar would also be used if one was traveling to the project on Highway 141 from farther north in Colorado, such as Naturita, Colorado (a total of 62 miles away).

History

The property includes the historic producing Sage Mine and boarders the famous Deremo Mine and the Calliham Mine (combined historic production of over 8 million lbs. U3O8 and 70 million lbs. V2O5). The uranium-vanadium deposits occur in the upper and middle sandstones of the Salt Wash Member of the Morrison Formation.

WUC is in possession of historic mine and drill maps. About 200 historic holes were drilled on the claims at the Sage Mine. A considerable, but unknown amount of drilling occurred historically on the eastern (Colorado) part of the claims along the benches of Summit and Bishop Canyons. Historic production from several small mines occurred on the Colorado claims (Red Ant, Black Spider, etc.).

The Sage Mine was developed, operated, and permitted by Atlas Minerals in the 1970s. It closed in 1982 and was ultimately sold and the permit transferred to Butt Mining Company under a Small Mine NOI. Jim Butt operated the mine for a short time in the early 1990s when vanadium prices were high; however, the mine has been idle since that time.

In the fall of 2011, Colorado Plateau Partners drilled seven holes totaling 4,873 feet at the Sage Mine property to confirm historic map data and explore for a possible east-west channel connecting the mine to a mineralized body to the west. The drilling was successful in meeting the objectives of confirming the accuracy of the historic data and verifying a historically defined mineralized body. One hole exploring a possible mineralized trend connecting the mine to the western mineralized body intercepted 2.0 feet of 0.407% eU3O8. Another hole intercepted mineralization greater than 1.0 foot of 0.16% eU3O8.


The report stated that the Sage Mine portion of the Colorado Plateau Partners properties has resources in the combined Measured and Indicated category of ~100,000 tons containing 459,640 lbs U3O8 grading at 0.23%, plus Inferred Resources of 41,280 tons containing 122,265 lbs U3O8 grading at 0.15%. The Sage Mine Energy Fuels Technical Report resource is an historic estimate under NI 43-101.
The historic mineral resource estimate was calculated by a modified polygonal method. The Sage Mine area had drill spacing of 50-150 feet. At locations where drifting or stopping has removed portions of polygons, appropriate reductions to the resources assigned in those polygons were made. Mining assumptions were used in determining a cutoff grade for the resource estimates. The minimum mining thickness for this type of deposit is considered to be 2 feet. Uranium resource grades of 0.00% were used to dilute any intercept less than 1 foot of to meet the 2 feet minimum.

The Sage Mine Energy Fuels Technical Report filed by Colorado Plateau Partners estimates mineral resources and not reserves. That report does not use categories other than “mineral resources” and “mineral reserves”, and the Sage Mine property was reported as having no reserve quality mineralization. There is no more recent or available data on the Sage Mine project resource than that of the Energy Fuels Technical Report from Colorado Plateau Partners. In order to disclose the historic resource as current, the Company needs to have completed and filed an NI 43-101 technical report to sedar.com. A qualified person (as understood under NI 43-101) has not done sufficient work to classify the historical estimate as current mineral resources or mineral reserves, and the Company is not treating the historical estimate as current mineral resources or mineral reserves. In order to upgrade or verify the historical estimate provided by the Sage Mine Energy Fuels Technical Report, the Company would have to engage a qualified person to, among other things, take account of any exploration or other work on the Sage Mine since the date of the historical estimate and otherwise produce a report under NI 43-101.

Energy Fuels submitted an Exploration NOI to the BLM in March 2013 for the site thereby establishing a nominal permit for the facility. Permitting for mine expansion was started in 2012, but was discontinued due to other priorities. This work included installing 3 monitoring wells around a proposed portable water treatment plant (exploration permit E/037/0188; bond $16,020) and conducting baseline studies (archaeology, biology, groundwater). Eight baseline groundwater sampling events have been completed, which will allow for submittal of a complete groundwater discharge permit application to DWQ. The Sage Mine Energy Fuels Technical Report resource provides an historic estimate. The Company has a high degree of confidence in the referenced NI 43-101 Technical Report which references the Company’s Sage Project.

Other than offsetting some of the historic drill holes and use of gamma logs where available, no verification of the historical data has been conducted. No core is available at the present time from the earlier exploration or production work.

It was Douglas C. Peter’s (author of the referenced NI 43-101 Technical Report) opinion that the uranium and vanadium data from drilling in 2011 and from historical information on analyses and down hole probing were adequate for the purposes of that technical report and for basic resource estimation using those data.

Project Geology

The Sage Plain and nearby Slick Rock and Dry Valley/East Canyon districts uranium vanadium deposits are a similar type to those elsewhere in the Uravan Mineral Belt. The location and shape of mineralized deposits are largely controlled by the permeability of the host sandstone. Most mineralization is in trends where Top Rim sandstones are thick, usually 40 feet or greater.

The Sage Plain District appears to be a large channel of Top Rim sandstone which trends northeast, as one of the major trunk channels that is fanning into distributaries in the southern portion of the Uravan Mineral Belt. The Callihan/Crain/Skidmore (Callihan Mine) and Sage Mine deposits, as well as nearby Deremo and Wilson/Silverbell mines appear to be controlled by meandering within this main channel.

The Morrison sediments accumulated as oxidized detritus in the fluvial environment. During early burial and diagenesis, the through-flowing ground water within the large, saturated pile of Salt Wash and Brushy Basin material remained oxidized, thereby transporting uranium in solution. When the uranium-rich waters encountered the zones of trapped reduced waters, the uranium precipitated. Vanadium may have been leached from the detrital iron-titanium mineral grains and subsequently deposited along with or prior to the uranium.

The thickness, the gray color, and pyrite and carbon contents of sandstones, along with gray or green mudstone, were recognized by early workers as significant and still serve as exploration guides. Much of the Top Rim sandstone in the Sage Plain Project area exhibits these favorable features; therefore, portions of the property with only widely spaced drill holes hold potential. However, without the historic drill data, it cannot be determined where sedimentary facies are located (e.g., channel sandstones thin and pinch-out, or sandstone grades and interfingers into pink and red oxidized sandstone and overbank mudstones). Furthermore, locations of interface zones of the oxidized and reduced environments are hard to predict. Until more historic data are obtained and/or more drilling occurs on the property away from the historic mines, these outlying areas remain exploration targets.

Restoration and Reclamation

An exploration bond is posted with the Utah Division of Oil Gas and Mining for the amount of $30,993.
Permitting Status

Although the mine is permitted (S/037/0058) and bonded ($30,993) for reclamation with the Utah Division of Oil Gas and Mining, it is not permitted for mining. Because of its location on BLM managed land, an Environmental Assessment will need to be prepared for the site by a third-party contractor once a Plan of Operations is submitted for the mine operation. An amendment to the Small Mine Reclamation NOI will also be needed with Utah Division of Oil Gas and Mining to allow for mine expansion.

Existing permits include:

Small Mine Reclamation permit with the Utah Division of Oil Gas and Mining.

Basis of Disclosure

The scientific and technical information provided in this Form 10-K on the Sage Mine, as well all data and exploration information reported in this Form 10-K on the Sage Mine, is based on the information reported in the Sage Mine Energy Fuels Technical Report.

4. Dunn

The Property

The 11 unpatented claims are located on approximately 220 acres of land administered by the BLM in sections 14 and 15, T32S, R25E, SLPM, San Juan County, Utah. The Dunn is located approximately 55 miles from the White Mesa Mill at Blanding, Utah. It would be about 85 miles to the Piñon Ridge mill proposed near Naturita, Colorado. Holding costs of the 11 claims will be $1,705 due to BLM before September 1 each year.

The Dunn Project is currently being held as a property that is exploratory in nature with no identified reserves. Exploration and mining plans have not been prepared for the project. Western Uranium Corporation has not yet undertaken any development work at the property. Power and water sources have not yet been formally assessed.

Accessibility

The property lies in Bear Trap Canyon, a tributary at the head of East Canyon. This is midway between the EFR Rim Mine and the Calliham/Sage mine area. Access to the Dunn project is from West Summit Road (San Juan County Road 313), 10.8 miles north of the junction with U.S. Highway 491. West Summit Road is a two-lane paved road that is well maintained year round. At 10.8 miles, a graveled Class D County Road (unnamed), spurs off of West Summit Road, passes through the leased lands and terminates at the Dunn Portal at approximately 2.1 miles from the spur. The nearest town to the Dunn project is Monticello, Utah which is approximately 65 miles away. The closest commercial airport facilities are located in Cortez, Colorado, approximately 65 miles to the southeast, and Moab, Utah approximately 65 miles to the northwest; both airports have daily commercial flights to-and-from Denver International Airport.

History

The first discovery of uranium-vanadium mineralization within close proximity to the Dunn project was by Homestake Mining Company in the late 1960s at what would eventually become the Wilson Mine 4 miles to the east. Mineralization associated with the Dunn mine was discovered by Gulf Oil Corporation in the late 1960s, which was subsequently acquired by Homestake, followed by Atlas Minerals in the 1970’s. Between 1975 and 1983 Atlas completed 243 drill holes at the Dunn project with an average total depth of 724 feet. By 1981, Atlas had delineated a resource that could justify the construction of a 3,825 foot decline. The decline successfully reached the perimeter of delineated mineralization, but before any production-mining, Atlas ceased operations in 1983 when faced with financial setbacks that required them to divert funds.


Project Geology

The Dunn project occurs on structurally unaffected terrain between the gently folded Boulder Knoll anticline to the southwest and the more prominent salt-cored Lisbon Valley anticline to the northeast. The strata beneath the project are relatively flat, and no major faults or folds are expected to disrupt bedding or unit contacts.

Uranium-vanadium mineralization at the Dunn is hosted in the Salt Wash Member of the Jurassic Morrison formation which occurs at approximately 500 to 750 feet below the surface. The average depth to the mineralized sandstones within the Salt Wash Member is 650 feet from the surface.
The primary uranium mineral is uraninite with minor amounts of coffinite. The primary vanadium mineral is Montroseite.

 Restoration and Reclamation.

No liabilities currently exist.

 Permitting Status

No permits currently exist.

 Basis of Disclosure

The scientific and technical information provided in this Form 10-K on the Dunn Project American Strategic Mineral Corporation is based on information provided in a NI 43-101 Technical Report prepared by American Strategic Minerals Corporation (the previous owner of the Dunn Project) entitled Technical Report on American Strategic Minerals Corporation’s Dunn Project, San Juan County, Utah by Dr. David A. Gonzales, PhD, PG, Durango, Colorado March 23, 2012. Mr. Gonzales is a qualified person for purposes of NI 43-101. However, none of the data, other exploration information or other results reported in that report are being incorporated into this Form 10-K.

 6. Hansen/Taylor

The Property

Within the Project area, Black Range has mining agreements, owns fee minerals, holds options to purchase fee mineral rights, holds federal unpatented mining claims and mineral leases with the State of Colorado, and has in place surface access agreements, including:

- 1 x private Mineral Lease
- 1 x State Mineral Lease (UR3324)
- 2 x options to purchase 100% of the Hansen and Picnic Tree Deposits
- 108 Federal unpatented mining claims

The Hansen/Taylor Ranch Project is currently being held as a property that is exploratory in nature with no identified reserves. Neither exploration plans nor a mining plan exist for the project. Black Range Minerals has not undertaken development work at the property since groundwater well installation in 2013. Power and water sources have not yet been formally assessed.

Notably a portion of the Hansen/Taylor deposit was in dispute during 2017. On September 16, 2015, in connection with the Company’s acquisition of Black Range, the Company assumed an option and exploration agreement (the “Option and Exploration Agreement”) with STB Minerals, LLC, a Colorado limited liability company (“STB”). The Option and Exploration Agreement gives the Company the right to purchase 51% of the mineral rights of specific areas of the Hansen and Picnic Tree deposits (for which the Company already holds 49% of the rights). If the Company were to exercise its option under the Option and Exploration Agreement, it would require the Company to (a) make a cash payment of $2,500,000 immediately upon exercise; (b) issue shares of common stock to STB amounting to a value of $3,750,000 immediately upon exercise; and (c) issue shares of common stock to STB amounting to a value of $3,750,000 on the date that is 180 days following exercise. The Option and Exploration Agreement was scheduled to expire by its terms on July 28, 2017 if not exercised.
The Option and Exploration Agreement provided an extension for an “event of force majeure”. Under this clause, the Company would receive an extension of the period during which it could exercise its option if it experiences an unreasonable delay outside its control that prevents it from exercising the option. On May 10, 2017, the Company provided to STB a notice that it was exercising the force majeure clause due to the delay by government regulators in licensing the Company’s ablation technology and permitting mining at the Hansen property. STB has contested the Company’s finding that an event of force majeure has occurred. Ongoing negotiations continued until September 21, 2017 when the Company and STB agreed to settle the matter through the pre-established arbitration mechanism. Prior to the commencement of arbitration, a settlement was agreed to on February 28, 2018 through the execution of an Amendment of Option and Exploration Agreement. As consideration, the Company paid STB a $20,000 extension payment and granted STB the right to seek a bona fide written offer over the remaining term, and agreed to the removal of the force majeure clause from the agreement. The Company received an extension until July 28, 2019 and a right of first refusal to match any bona fide written offer. Hence the Company already owns 49% of the resource property and retains an option to purchase the 51% of the resource property that the Company does not already own for the duration of the agreement. Further the Company believes the execution of this agreement is without financial implications, and as such, the Company has not made any adjustment to these consolidated financials related to this matter.

Accessibility

The Project is located in Fremont County, in South Central Colorado approximately 30 miles northwest of the city of Canon City. Canon City is the closest population center, and had a population of 16,400 in 2010. The largest metropolitan area in close proximity to the Project is Colorado Springs which is located approximately 46 miles northeast of Canon City and has a population of approximately 416,000. Figure 1 shows the locations of these population centers with respect to the Project.

For ground travel, Canon City is best accessed from Denver/Colorado Springs via I-25 south to State Highway 115 which intersects Highway 50 just east of Canon City. For air travel, alternatives include the Colorado Springs Municipal Airport (COS), which is a 16-gate facility served by 14 airlines and Denver’s International Airport (DEN), which is 149 miles from Canon City. There is a small airport, Fremont County Airport (CNE), located in Canon City, which is open to private flights. The property has access to grid power; however, no source of industrial water has been identified yet.

History

Uranium mineralization was discovered in the Tallahassee Creek District in 1954 by two groups of prospectors. Between 1954 and 1972, 16 small open pit and underground mines were operated in the district. Discoveries, and most producing mines and production were in the Tallahassee Creek Conglomerate, with one mine, the Smaller Mine, producing from the Echo Park Formation. Exploration efforts were minimal until Rampart Exploration Company (Rampart), under contract to Cyprus, explored the Taylor Ranch area beginning in 1974 and discovered the Hansen Uranium Deposit along with other uranium deposits in the district. Cyprus took the Hansen and Picnic Tree deposits through a positive final feasibility analysis in 1980 for an open-pit mining and conventional uranium milling operation, and secured all necessary operating permits in 1981. The collapse of the uranium market led to Cyprus abandoning the project which lay dormant until Black Range Minerals began activities in late 2006.

Black Range Mineral’s Taylor Ranch Project, CO, consists of a combination of private, BLM and State Section minerals, and private, BLM and State Section surface rights. Ownership of the private minerals and surface has mainly been by local ranchers. Western Nuclear held a portion of the property briefly in 1968. Cyprus gained control of mineral and surface rights during the period 1975-1978.

In 1993, Cyprus sold their Tallahassee Creek holdings to Noah (Buddy) and Diane Taylor who had managed ranching activities on the property. The Taylors were not able to make the final payment to Cyprus and sold the southern portion of their holdings which included the Hansen and Picnic Tree deposits to New Mexico and Arizona (now NZ Minerals) in 1996 who, in 1998, sold the property to South T-Bar Ranch, a subsidiary of Colorado developer Land Properties, while reserving a 49% interest in the minerals.

This part of Cyprus’ prior holdings was subdivided, mainly into 35-acre parcels. Beginning in December 2006, through various purchases, leases and option agreements, Black Range Minerals has obtained mineral rights to most of the original Cyprus holdings.

Prior to the Hansen/Taylor Project being acquired by WUC, a mineral resource for the Hansen/Taylor Ranch Project for Black Range Minerals Limited. Black Range Reported a JORC compliant indicated uranium resource of 29,730,000 tons grading at 0.063% U3O8 containing 37,480,000 lbs U3O8 and an inferred uranium resource of 43,681,000 tons grading at 0.058% U3O8 containing 50,443,000 lbs U3O8. This historic resource estimate was originally reported to Black Range Minerals Limited by Tetra Tech in four resource memos (collectively, the Tetra Tech Reports): 1) High Park Kriging Resources – Taylor Ranch Uranium Project, April 25, 2008; 2) North Hansen, Boyer Kriging Resources – Taylor Ranch Uranium Project, April 29, 2009; 3) Technical Memorandum – Boyer, Hansen and Picnic Tree Area Kriging Resources – Taylor Ranch Uranium Project, August 24, 2009; and 4) Technical Memorandum – Boyer, Hansen and Picnic Tree Area Kriging Resources – Taylor Ranch Uranium Project (Updated 2010), August 12, 2010. These memos were originally prepared by Rex Bryan of Tetra Tech, a qualified person under NI 43-101. The results reported in the Tetra Tech Reports are historical estimates under NI 43-101.
There is high confidence in the geologic interpretation of the historic Black Range Minerals resource provided in the Tetra Tech Reports. The deposit is stratified and laterally consistent drill hole logging and surface mapping supports this conclusion. The data source for geologic interpretation is primarily drill hole logs and surface mapping. The model currently assumes minimal post mineralization faulting. Deposit domains were confined by corresponding geologic units. Continuity of geology is on a regional sedimentary scale and is regular. Grade continuity is subject to deposition of carbonaceous material and oxidation reduction interfaces of palaeo-groundwater carrying mobilized uranium. Commonly accepted multi-pass kriging methods were used to estimate the mineral resources. Uranium domains were modeled using wireframe solids, resources were quantified outside the solids with drastically reduced search ranges. Estimates were checked and compared to historic estimates. Blocks were sized as a tradeoff between mineralized shapes and general mining selectivity. The block heights are four to six times the half foot sample collection but block lengths and widths are several times smaller than the drill spacing in order to adequately fit the mineralized shapes. It is assumed that due to the soft sedimentary nature of the mineral zone, good selectivity can be achieved.

The historic Black Range Minerals resource reported in the Tetra Tech Reports uses JORC indicated and inferred resource categories and does not contain reserves. That report does not use categories other than “mineral resources” and “mineral reserves”. There are no more recent estimates or data available to WUC or Black Range Minerals. In order to verify this estimate, the Company would need to prepare a NI 43-101 Technical Report to disclose the mineral resources as current. This would involve, among other things verifying the results under NI 43-101 standards and potentially conducted new or additional analyses under NI 43-101 standards, as well as taking into account any exploration or other work conducted on this property since the latest of the Tetra Tech Reports. A qualified person (as defined under NI 43-101) has not completed sufficient work to classify this historical estimate as current under that rule, and the Company is not treating this historical estimate as current.

Project Geology

The deposits that make up the Project are tabular sandstone deposits associated with redox interfaces. The mineralisation is hosted in Tertiary sandstones and/or clay bearing conglomerates within an extinct braided stream, fluvial system or palaeo channel. Mineralisation occurred post sediment deposition when oxygenated uraniferous groundwater moving through the host rocks came into contact with redox interfaces, the resultant chemical change caused the precipitation of uranium oxides. The most common cause of redox interfaces is the presence of carbonaceous material that was deposited simultaneously with the host sediments. In parts of the Project the palaeochannel has been covered by Tertiary volcanic rocks and throughout the Project basement consists of Pre-Cambrian plutonics and metamorphic rocks. The volcanic and Pre-Cambrian rocks are believed to be the source of the uranium.

Restoration and Reclamation.

BRM has a bond of $154,927 with the DRMS covering exploration activities for the project.

Permitting Status

The project currently has an exploration permit through the Colorado Division of Reclamation, Mining and Safety as well as a Conditional Use Permit with the Fremont County Planning and Zoning Department.

Basis of Disclosure

The scientific and technical information provided in this Form 10-K on the Hansen/Taylor Ranch Project, as well all data and exploration information reported in this Form 10-K on the Hansen/Taylor Ranch Project, is based on the information reported in the Tetra Tech Reports.
Non-Material Properties

7. Van #4

The Property

The Van#4 is located in the Uravan Mineral Belt on Monogram Mesa in Montrose County, Colorado. The property had been held by Denison and its predecessors for many years. The property consists of 80 unpatented mining claims covering the mine site and long-known deposit to the east, plus two large claim groups to the north, east, and south with exploration potential.

The 80 unpatented claims are located on approximately 1,900 acres land administered by the U.S. Bureau of Land Management in sections 27, 28, 29, 33, and 34, T48N, R17W, NMPM, and some in section 3, T47N, R17W, Montrose County, Colorado. The Van#4 Mine is located approximately 112 miles from the White Mesa Mill at Blanding, Utah. It would be about 10 miles to the Piñon Ridge mill near Naturita, Colorado. The Holding costs of the 80 claims will be $12,400 due to BLM before September 1 each year. There are no royalties encumbering these claims.

The property includes the Van#4 shaft and associated surface facilities, which need renovation. The mine is connected to the Ura decline on claims in Bull Canyon to the southwest, not owned by WUC. It has been on standby for many years. Denison completed reclamation of two of the ventilation holes in 2008 and 2010. The property has access to grid power; however, no source of industrial water has been identified yet. The Van 4 mine is currently being held as a property that is exploratory in nature. Exploration and mining plans have not been prepared for the project and the mine permit is in Temporary Cessation status. Western Uranium Corporation has not yet undertaken any development work at the property. Power and water sources have not yet been assessed.

Accessibility

The Van#4 mine is accessible via Montrose County Roads year round.
History

The Van#4 was initially permitted in the late 1970s and early 1980s by Union Carbide as part of a number of small mines named the Thunderbolt Group. Energy Fuels Nuclear, Inc. (EFN) acquired the mine in 1984 and then transferred the mine and permits to International Uranium Corporation (IUC) in 1997. IUC re-permitted the mine with DRMS (then known as the Division of Minerals and Geology) in 1999 because the previous permit had included other mines in the area that were not acquired by IUC. Mine Permit M-1997-032 with DRMS is currently in good standing and bonded for $75,057. Amendment AM-1, which incorporated the approved EPP, was issued on May 30, 2012. The permit has been transferred over the years from IUC to Denison Mines (USA) Corp. to Energy Fuels Resources (USA) Inc. and now to WUC by way of PRM.

Project Geology

The uranium-vanadium deposits occur in the upper and middle sandstones of the Salt Wash Member of the Morrison Formation. Deposits in this part of the Uravan Mineral Belt have a moderate V2O5:U3O8 ratio. WUC is in possession of much historic mine and drill data (former Union Carbide/Umetco property), as well as up-to-date mine maps. Denison drilled most recently (summer 2008) 21 wide-spaced exploration holes in sections 27 and 34. All have been reclaimed and the permit terminated.

Restoration and Reclamation.

There is a reclamation bond held by the Colorado DRMS for $75,057.

Permitting Status

Permit compliance is currently limited to an annual stormwater inspection; stormwater improvement work was completed in 2010 and 2012. The air permit with APCD was recently allowed to lapse, as the company does not have any immediate development or operation plans for the mine. The mine does not have EPA approval for radon emissions; however, this approval may not be needed to restart mining, as the life-of-mine production will likely be less than 100,000 tons. The DRMS mining permit was put into Temporary Cessation in February, 2014. Existing major permits at the mine include:

- BLM Plan of Operations COC-62522 (same as DRMS Permit M-97-032)
- DRMS 110d (Small Mine, DMO) Mine Permit M-97-032

A prior owner of the Van 4 Mine had been granted a first Temporary Cessation from reclamation of the mine by the Colorado Mined Land Reclamation Board (“MLRB”) which was set to expire June 23, 2017. Prior to its expiration, PRM formally requested an extension through a second Temporary Cessation. PRM subsequently, participated in a public process which culminated in a hearing on July 26, 2017. Prior to the hearing, three non-profit organizations who pursue environmental and conservation objectives filed a brief objecting to the extension. The MLRB board members voted to grant a second five-year Temporary Cessation for the Van 4 Mine. Thereafter the three objecting parties filed a lawsuit on September 18, 2017. The MLRB was named as the defendant and PRM was named as a party to the case due to the Colorado law requirement that any lawsuit filed after a hearing include all of the parties to the proceeding. The plaintiff organizations are seeking for the court to set aside the board order granting a second five-year Temporary Cessation period to PRM for the Van 4 Mine. The Colorado state Attorney General is defending this action which is pending in the Denver Colorado District Court. As a party to this action neither the Company, nor its wholly owned subsidiary, PRM has taken any action and is monitoring this lawsuit.
8. **Yellow Cat**

*The Property*

On September 1, 2017, the Yellow Cat Project reached its state lease renewal date and the Company elected not to pay the claim fees thereby surrendering the property to the state of Utah. The Yellow Cat Project was held as a property that was exploratory in nature as it had no identified reserves nor a mining plan.

**OTHER**

*Ferris Haggerty*

*The Property*

A reclamation liability remains at this Wyoming copper project. No leases or land use remain. The Ferris Haggarty project is a reclamation-only project.

*Accessibility*

The reclamation project is accessible 4 to 6 months out of the year due to snow and closed access. Take Wyoming Highway west from Encampment, Wyoming for approximately 11 miles. Once across the divide, to the northeast there is a pullout for Medicine Bow National Forest recreation. Follow 4 wheel drive route 412 (Continental Divide Trail) for approximately 5 miles to the Haggerty creek watershed. Turn southwest onto a steep 4 wheel drive rout and travel for approximately 1.5 miles until you are at the property.
History

The Ferris-Haggerty Mine Site was one of the richest components of the Grand Encampment Mining District in Carbon County, Wyoming. The site was first exploited by Ed Haggerty, a prospector from Whitehaven, England, in 1897, when he established the Rudefeha Mine on a rich deposit of copper ore. Haggerty was backed by George Ferris and other investors, of whom all but Ferris dropped out. The partners sold an interest to Willis George Emerson, who raised investment funding for improvements to the mine. These facilities included a 16-mile (26 km) aerial tramway from Grand Encampment over the Continental Divide to the smelter in Encampment and a 4-mile (6.4 km) pipeline to the mine. The mine's assets were eventually acquired by the North American Copper Company for $1 million. By 1904 the mine had produced $1.4 million in copper ore, and was sold to the Penn-Wyoming Copper Company. However, even with copper prices peaking in 1907, the company had difficulty making a profit from the remove mine site. The company was over-capitalized and under-insured, and was suffered devastating fires at the mine site in March 1906 and May 1907 which halted production. Business disputes and a fall in copper prices prevented re-opening of the mine even after it was rebuilt. Machinery was salvaged after a foreclosure in 1913. A total of $2 million in copper ore was extracted from the mine during its life.

Project Geology

The Deposit is a tabular injection of magmatic metal differentiation product at the margins of an ultramafic intrusive of early Archean age (2.2 billion years ago). This intrusive was injected into pre-existing high silicic sandstones and shales of massive thickness (+2,000 ft). Mineralization at the Ferris-Haggarty mine consists of disseminated pyrite and chalcopyrite grains that occur along bedding planes of the host quartzite. However, the massive ore body mined at the Ferris-Haggarty was described by Spencer (1904) to lie along quartzite-Schist contacts and to cross cut foliation. Based on the historic description, the ore may have been remobilized from the host quartzite during regional metamorphism and emplaced along the quartzite-schist contact by way of permeable fractures. The impermeable hanging wall schist may have formed a natural barrier to the ore solutions and produced an unusually rich ore body.

Restoration and Reclamation

We must get grass to grow on the drill pad disturbance areas from drilling which took place in 2007. These drill pads are located at 10,000 feet above sea level on the north face of a mountain on the Continental Divide.

A $10,000 reclamation bond remains with the Wyoming DEQ. Upon completing reclamation, the Company will receive the bond money back.

INFRASTRUCTURE

The Company’s carrying value of property, plant and equipment is as follows:

IP – Ablation - $9,488,051 The Company holds a license to use Ablation, a proven technology that we anticipate will improve the efficiency of the sandstone hosted uranium mining process, although there are some uncertainties about whether the anticipated benefits will be realized. See Item 1, “Business – The Ablation Process.” Ablation is a low cost, purely physical method of uranium and vanadium ore extraction. Ablation has been initially tested in order to understand the hydro and mechanical separation processes. The Company used a prototype Ablation test system to test several different samples of uranium ore from the Sunday Mine Complex and the Hansen/Taylor Ranch properties. In all cases, uranium ore that was entered into the Ablation pilot test system appeared to concentrate most of the uranium into the post ablated material consisting of a fraction of the original mass, leaving most of the post ablated materials which did not contain any uranium. The results of these tests have not yet been validated by a Competent Person.

During 2016, Western submitted documentation to the CDPHE for a determination ruling regarding the type of license which may be required for the application of Ablation at the Sunday Mine Complex within the state of Colorado. During May and June of 2016, CDPHE held four public meetings in several cities in Colorado as part of the process. On July 22, 2016 CDPHE closed the comment period. In connection with this matter, the CDPHE consulted with the United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission (“NRC”). In response, the CDPHE received an advisory opinion dated October 16, 2016, which did not contain support for the NRC’s opinion and with which Western’s regulatory counsel does not agree. NRC’s advisory opinion recommends that Ablation should be regulated as a milling operation, but did recognize that there may be exemptions to certain milling regulatory requirements due to the benign nature of the non-uranium bearing sands produced after Ablation is completed on uranium-bearing ores. On December 1, 2016, the CDPHE issued a determination that the proposed ablation operations at the Sunday Mine must be regulated by the CDPHE through a milling license. The 2017 increase in the blended uranium/vanadium price has brought the Company closer to production. Consequently in 2018, Western plans to continue to advance Ablation by seeking a further regulatory determination from the CDPHE and/or the NRC. During 2017, the Company’s regulatory counsel prepared significant documentation in preparation for a prospective submission.

**Pinon Ridge Properties**

On August 18, 2014, the Company purchased mining assets from Energy Fuels Holding Corp. in an arm's length transaction. The mining assets include both owned and leased land in the states of Utah and Colorado. All of the mining assets represent properties which have previously been mined to different degrees for uranium. As some of the properties have not formally established proven or probable reserves, there may be greater inherent uncertainty as to whether or not any mineralized material can be economically extracted as originally planned and anticipated.

The Company’s mining properties acquired on August 18, 2014 which the Company still retains as of December 31, 2017, include: San Rafael Uranium Project located in Emery County, Utah; The Sunday Mine Complex located in western San Miguel County, Colorado; The Van 4 Mine located in western Montrose County, Colorado; The Sage Mine project located in San Juan County, Utah; and the Dunn project located in San Juan and San Miguel counties, Colorado.

**Black Range Properties**

On September 16, 2015, in connection with the Black Range Transaction, the Company acquired additional mineral properties. The mining assets acquired through Black Range include leased land in the states of Colorado, Wyoming and Alaska. None of these mining assets were operational at the date of acquisition. As these properties have not formally established proven or probable reserves, there may be greater inherent uncertainty as to whether or not any mineralized material can be economically extracted as originally planned and anticipated.

The Company’s mining properties acquired on September 16, 2015 which the Company still retains as of December 31, 2017, include Hansen, North Hansen, High Park, Hansen Picnic Tree, Taylor Ranch, located in Fremont County, Colorado. The Company also acquired Keota located in Weld County, Wyoming.

In connection with the Black Range Transaction, Western assumed a mortgage secured by land, building and improvements at 1450 North 7 Mile Road, Casper, Wyoming, with interest payable at 8.00% and payable in monthly payments of $11,085 with the final balance of $1,044,015 due as a balloon payment on January 16, 2016. The Company did not pay the mortgage on its due date. On May 26, 2016, the Company executed agreements with the mortgage holder whereby in an equal exchange the mortgage was exchanged for the land, building and improvements on which it was secured, pursuant to which no further financial consideration is required.

During the second quarter of 2016, the Company initiated actions to cancel its coal mining leases in Alaska. In connection therewith, the Company notified the state of Alaska of its intent to forfeit the posted bond in satisfaction of the reclamation liabilities at the site. In response to the Company’s notification, the Company received notice that the state of Alaska was initiating forfeiture of the Company’s performance bond for reclamation. However, the notice indicated an additional surety bond of $150,000 in excess of the $210,500 cash bond, which had been posted by the Company upon purchase of the property. The Company and its advisors do not believe that it is obligated for this additional amount of claimed reclamation obligation. The Company is working with its legal counsel and the State of Alaska to resolve this matter. The Company has not recorded an additional $150,000 obligation as the Company does not expect, based on the advice of legal counsel, to be obligated to an amount greater than that presently reflected in the reclamation liability. During the year ended December 31, 2016, the Company adjusted the fair value of its reclamation obligation and for the Alaska mine, accreted $183,510 to bring its reclamation liability to face value. The portion of the reclamation liability related to the Alaska mine, and its related restricted cash are included in current liabilities, and current assets, respectively, at a value of $215,976 and $215,976. On January 20, 2017, the State of Alaska notified the Company that its reclamation bond had been forfeited to be used to satisfy the reclamation obligation. However, no amount had yet been determined in respect to the final cost of the reclamation obligation.

As the properties are not in production, they are not covered by various types of insurance including property and casualty, liability and umbrella coverage. We have not experienced any material uninsured or under insured losses related to our properties in the past and believe our approach sufficient given the inactivity.

On September 16, 2015, in connection with the Company’s acquisition of Black Range, the Company assumed an option and exploration agreement (the “Option and Exploration Agreement”) with STB Minerals, LLC, a Colorado limited liability company (“STB”). The Option and Exploration Agreement gives the Company the right to purchase 51% of the mineral rights of specific areas of the Hansen and Picnic Tree deposits (for which the Company already holds 49% of the rights). If the Company were to exercise its option under the Option and Exploration Agreement, it would require the Company to (a) make a cash payment of $2,500,000 immediately upon exercise; (b) issue shares of common stock to STB amounting to a value of $3,750,000 immediately upon exercise; and (c) issue shares of common stock to STB amounting to a value of $3,750,000 on the date that is 180 days following exercise. The Option and Exploration Agreement was scheduled to expire by its terms on July 28, 2017 if not exercised.
The Option and Exploration Agreement provided an extension for an “event of force majeure”. Under this clause, the Company would receive an extension of the period during which it could exercise its option if it experiences an unreasonable delay outside its control that prevents it from exercising the option. On May 10, 2017, the Company provided to STB a notice that it was exercising the force majeure clause due to the delay by government regulators in licensing the Company’s ablation technology and permitting mining at the Hansen property. STB has contested the Company’s finding that an event of force majeure has occurred. Ongoing negotiations continued until September 21, 2017 when the Company and STB agreed to settle the matter through the pre-established arbitration mechanism. Prior to the commencement of arbitration, a settlement was agreed to on February 28, 2018 through the execution of an Amendment of Option and Exploration Agreement. As consideration, the Company paid STB a $20,000 extension payment and granted STB the right to seek a bona fide written offer over the remaining term, and agreed to the removal of the force majeure clause from the agreement. The Company received an extension until July 28, 2019 and a right of first refusal to match any bona fide written offer. Hence the Company already owns 49% of the resource property and retains an option to purchase the 51% of the resource property that the Company does not already own for the duration of the agreement. Further the Company believes the execution of this agreement is without financial implications, and as such, the Company has not made any adjustment to these consolidated financials related to this matter.

Disposal of Mining Properties

In July and October 2016, the Company elected not to renew leases relating to four projects that were obtained through either the August 2014 acquisition from Energy Fuels Holding Corp. or the acquisition of Black Range Minerals. The decision to not renew the four leases was based upon a number of factors, the most significant of which were the location of the projects, the development stage of each product, and the amount of uranium and vanadium resources within each project. The forfeiture of these leases has no material adverse impact on the fair value of the Company’s mining assets.

On February 16, 2017, the Company’s Boyer Ranch Lease reached its expiration date and the Company elected not to negotiate a renewal.

On January 1, 2018 the Company’s Hansen Ranch Lease reached its expiration date and the Company elected not to negotiate a renewal.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

Other than described below, management is not aware of any material legal proceedings that are pending or that have been threatened against us or our subsidiaries or any of our respective properties, and none of our directors, officers, affiliates or record or beneficial owners of more than 5% of our common shares, or any associate of any such director, officer, affiliate or shareholder, is (i) a party adverse to us or any of our subsidiaries in any legal proceeding or (ii) has an adverse interest to us or any of our subsidiaries in any legal proceeding.

The Company is subject to periodic inspection by certain regulatory agencies for the purpose of determining compliance by the Company with the conditions of its licenses. In the ordinary course of business, minor violations may occur; however, these are not expected to result in material expenditures or have any other material adverse effect on the Company.

A prior owner of the Van 4 Mine had been granted a first Temporary Cessation from reclamation of the mine by the Colorado Mined Land Reclamation Board (“MLRB”) which was set to expire June 23, 2017. Prior to its expiration, PRM formally requested an extension through a second Temporary Cessation. PRM subsequently, participated in a public process which culminated in a hearing on July 26, 2017. Prior to the hearing, three non-profit organizations who pursue environmental and conservation objectives filed a brief objecting to the extension. The MLRB board members voted to grant a second five-year Temporary Cessation for the Van 4 Mine. Thereafter the three objecting parties filed a lawsuit on September 18, 2017. The MLRB was named as the defendant and PRM was named as a party to the case due to the Colorado law requirement that any lawsuit filed after a hearing include all of the parties to the proceeding. The plaintiff organizations are seeking for the court to set aside the board order granting a second five-year Temporary Cessation period to PRM for the Van 4 Mine. The Colorado state Attorney General is defending this action which is pending in the Denver Colorado District Court. As a party to this action neither the Company, nor its wholly owned subsidiary, PRM has taken any action and is monitoring this lawsuit.

ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

Pursuant to Section 1503(a) of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010 (the “Dodd-Frank Act”), issuers that are operators, or that have a subsidiary that is an operator, of a coal or other mine in the United States, and that is subject to regulation by the Federal Mine Safety and Health Administration under the Mine Safety and Health Act of 1977 (“Mine Safety Act”), are required to disclose in their periodic reports filed with the SEC information regarding specified health and safety violations, orders and citations, related assessments and legal actions, and mining-related fatalities. As Western Uranium does not operate any coal or other mines, no such disclosure is required.
ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

Market Information

From March 15, 2016 through May 22, 2016, our common shares traded in the United States on the OTC Pink Open Market under the trading symbol, “WSTRF”. On May 23, 2016, our common shares subsequently commenced trading on the OTCQX Best Market under the same symbol. To date the shares have been thinly traded.

Beginning on November 20, 2014, our common shares have been listed in Canada on the CSE under the symbol "WUC".

The following table sets forth the range of high and low bid information for our common shares for the periods indicated, as quoted on the CSE in Canadian dollars.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Price Range (CAD)</th>
<th>Low</th>
<th>High</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Year ended December 31, 2016</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First Quarter (March 31, 2016)</td>
<td>$1.20</td>
<td>$2.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second Quarter (June 30, 2016)</td>
<td>$1.50</td>
<td>$2.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third Quarter (September 30, 2016)</td>
<td>$1.75</td>
<td>$2.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fourth Quarter (December 31, 2016)</td>
<td>$1.22</td>
<td>$1.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year ended December 31, 2017</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First Quarter (March 31, 2017)</td>
<td>$1.75</td>
<td>$2.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second Quarter (June 30, 2017)</td>
<td>$1.27</td>
<td>$1.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third Quarter (September 30, 2017)</td>
<td>$0.97</td>
<td>$1.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fourth Quarter (December 31, 2017)</td>
<td>$0.85</td>
<td>$1.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year ended December 31, 2017 (through March 29, 2018)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First Quarter</td>
<td>$0.62</td>
<td>$0.88</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The following table sets forth the range of high and low bid information for our common shares for the periods indicated, as quoted on the OTC Markets in United States dollars.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Price Range</th>
<th>Low</th>
<th>High</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Year ended December 31, 2017</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second Quarter (June 30, 2017)</td>
<td>$1.00</td>
<td>$1.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third Quarter (September 30, 2017)</td>
<td>$0.80</td>
<td>$1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fourth Quarter (December 31, 2017)</td>
<td>$0.66</td>
<td>$0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year ended December 31, 2017 (through March 29, 2018)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First Quarter</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Stockholders

According to our transfer agent, as of March 29, 2018 there were approximately 3,487 holders of record of our common shares.

Dividends

We have not declared or paid any dividends on our common shares and do not anticipate paying cash dividends in the foreseeable future. We plan to retain any future earnings for use in our business operations. Any decisions as to future payment of cash dividends will depend on our earnings and financial position and such other factors as the Board deems relevant.
Equity Compensation Plan Information

The Company maintains an Incentive Stock Option Plan (the “Plan”) that permits the granting of stock options as incentive compensation. Shareholders of the Company approved the Plan on June 30, 2008 and amendments to the Plan on June 20, 2013, and the Board of Directors approved additional changes to the Plan on September 12, 2015.

The purpose of the Plan is to attract, retain and motivate directors, management, staff and consultants by providing them with the opportunity, through stock options, to acquire a proprietary interest in the Company and benefit from its growth.

At December 31, 2017 and 2016, a total of 2,146,996 and 1,346,996 stock options, respectively were issued under the plan outstanding.

The Plan provides that the aggregate number of common shares for which stock options may be granted will not exceed 10% of the issued and outstanding common shares at the time stock options are granted. At December 31, 2017, a total of 20,510,500 common shares were outstanding, and at that date the maximum number of stock options eligible for issue under the Plan was 2,051,000. At December 31, 2016, a total of 18,886,497 common shares were outstanding, and at that date the maximum number of stock options eligible for issue under the Plan was 1,888,650 (10% of the issued and outstanding common shares).

A stock option exercise price shall not be less than the most recent share issuance price. The maximum term is five years. There are no specific vesting provisions under the Plan. Options are non-assignable and non-transferable except that stock options may be transferred to the spouse of an optionee or to the registered retirement savings plan or registered pension plan of an optionee.

The Plan provides if the optionee's employment is terminated for any reason, or if the service of a director, senior executive or consultant of the Company who is an optionee is terminated, any vested stock option of such optionee may be exercised during a period of ninety (90) days following the date of termination of such employment or service, as the case may be. In the case of an optionee's death, any vested stock option of such optionee at the time of death may be exercised by his or her heirs or legatees or their liquidator during a period of one year following such optionee's death.

The total number of common shares issuable to any one person during a 12-month period may not exceed ten percent (10%) of the total number of common shares issued and outstanding. Options granted to consultants providing investor relations activities must vest over 12 months in stages of no more than 25% in any three-month period. Also, in any 12-month period, no options exercisable for more than 2% of the Company’s issued and outstanding shares may be awarded to consultants or employees conducting investor relations activities. The Plan provides that where options are cancelled or lapse under the Plan, the associated common shares become available again and new options may be granted in respect thereof in accordance with the provisions of the Plan.

The Board may make any amendment to the Plan, without shareholder approval, except an increase in the number of common shares reserved for issue under the Plan or a reduction of an option exercise price. The terms of any existing option may not be altered, suspended or discontinued without the consent in writing of the Optionee.

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

Not Applicable

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT’S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Overview

General

Western was incorporated in December, 2006 under the Ontario Business Corporations Act. During 2014, the Company acquired 100% of the issued and outstanding shares of PRM, a Delaware limited liability company. The transaction constituted a reverse takeover of Western by PRM. After obtaining appropriate shareholder approvals, the Company subsequently reconstituted its Board of Director and senior management team and changed its name to Western Uranium Corporation.

On September 16, 2015, Western completed its acquisition of Black Range, an Australian company that was listed on the Australian Securities Exchange ("ASX") until the acquisition was completed. Western and Black Range entered into a definitive Merger Implementation Agreement, pursuant to which Western agreed to acquire all of the issued and outstanding shares of Black Range.

Western has registered offices at 330 Bay Street, Suite 1400, Toronto, Ontario, Canada M5H 2S8 and its common shares are listed on the CSE under the symbol "WUC" and trade on the United States OTCQX Best Market under the ticker symbol “WSTRF.” Its principal business activity is the acquisition and development of uranium resource properties principally in the states of Utah and Colorado, in the United States of America.
Recent Developments

March 2017 Private Placement

On March 31, 2017, the Company completed a private placement of 634,424 units at a price of CAD $1.75 (USD $1.35) per unit for gross proceeds of CAD $1,110,263 (USD $835,805) and net proceeds of CAD $1,066,223 (USD $801,160). Each unit consists of one share of the Company’s common stock and a warrant for the purchase of one share of the Company’s common stock. Each warrant is immediately exercisable at a price of CAD $3.25 and expires five years from the date of issuance.

September 2017 Private Placement

On September 15, 2017, the Company completed a private placement of 509,763 units at a price of CAD $0.90 (USD $0.74) per unit for gross proceeds of CAD $458,787 (USD $376,022) and net proceeds of CAD $418,880 (USD $343,105). Each unit consisted of one share of common stock and one warrant. Each warrant is immediately exercisable at a price of CAD $1.40 and expires five years from the date of issuance. The Company also issued broker warrants to purchase 21,751 shares of common stock at a price of CAD $1.40 per common share, which expire two years from the date of issuance.

December 2017 Private Placement

On December 29, 2017, the Company completed a private placement of 426,334 units at a price of CAD $0.90 (USD $0.72) per unit for gross proceeds of CAD $383,071 (USD $305,918) and net proceeds of CAD $367,059 (USD $293,131). Each unit consisted of one share of common stock and a warrant to purchase one half of one share of common stock. Each warrant is immediately exercisable at a price of CAD $1.50 and expires two years from the date of issuance. The Company also issued broker warrants to purchase 9,310 shares of common stock at a price of CAD $1.50 per common share, which expire two years from the date of issuance.

Extension of Short Term Loans

On February 8, 2016, the Company and the lender agreed to further extend the maturity of the Nueco Note to June 2016. In consideration for the extension the Company increased the principal amount by 10% (or $25,384), increased the interest rate to 6% per annum and paid a $5,000 fee that did not reduce the interest or principal. On June 20, 2016, the Company further extended the maturity of the Nueco Note to July 31, 2016. In consideration for the extension, the Company paid a $5,000 fee that did not reduce the interest or principal on the Nueco Note.

On August 8, 2016, accrued interest was paid in the amount of $13,477. On August 16, 2016, the Company further extended the maturity of the Nueco Note to November 16, 2016. In consideration for the extension, the Company paid a fee of $10,000 which did not reduce the interest or principal on the Nueco Note. Further, a principal payment of $90,000 was made on August 23, 2016, which reduced the outstanding principal amount to $185,564. The August 16, 2016 extension was accounted for as a modification, and as such, the extension fees were accounted for as additional debt discount and were amortized over the remaining extended term of the note.

On November 29, 2016, the Company and the lender agreed to further extend the maturity of the Nueco Note to January 31, 2017. In consideration for the extension, the Company paid a $5,000 fee that did not reduce the principal or interest on the Nueco Note. The Company also made a payment of $5,155, which represented interest on the Nueco Note through January 31, 2017.

On February 1, 2017, the Company and lender agreed to further extend the maturity of the Nueco Note to the earlier of (a) five days after the next closing of a private placement; or (b) April 15, 2017. In consideration for the extension, the Company paid to the lender a payment in the amount of $100,000 which represented (i) a principal reduction of $85,564; (ii) $1,186 for a prepayment of interest through April 15, 2017; and (iii) a payment of $13,250 which is a fee which does not reduce the principal or interest on the Nueco note.

On March 31, 2017, the Company repaid the Nueco Note in full.

Dual Market for Shares in the United States

On May 23, 2016, Western Uranium shares began trading on the OTCQX Best Market under the symbol “WSTRF”.

On June 28, 2016, the Company’s Form 10 registration statement became effective and Western became a U.S. reporting issuer. Thereafter, the Company was approved for DTC eligibility through the Depository Trust and Clearing Corporation (DTCC), which facilitates electronic book-entry delivery, settlement and depository services for shares in the United States. By having established dual trading markets for the Company’s shares in both Canada and the United States, Western has comprehensive access to the large and sophisticated North American natural resource investor markets.
Sale of Mortgage through Equal Exchange

In connection with the acquisition of Black Range, Western assumed a mortgage secured by land, building and improvements at 1450 North 7 Mile Road, Casper, Wyoming, with interest payable at 8.00% and payable in monthly payments of $11,085 with the final balance of $1,044,015 due as a balloon payment on January 16, 2016. The Company did not make the final balloon payment as scheduled. On May 26, 2016, the Company executed agreements with the mortgage holder whereby in an equal exchange the mortgage was exchanged for the land, building and improvements with which it was secured, and pursuant to which no future financial consideration is required.

Ablation Licensing

During 2016, Western submitted documentation to the CDPHE for a determination ruling regarding the type of license which may be required for the application of Ablation at the Sunday Mine Complex within the state of Colorado. During May and June of 2016, CDPHE held four public meetings in several cities in Colorado as part of the process. On July 22, 2016 CDPHE closed the comment period. In connection with this matter, the CDPHE consulted with the United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission (“NRC”). In response, the CDPHE received an advisory opinion dated October 16, 2016, which did not contain support for the NRC’s opinion and with which Western’s regulatory counsel does not agree. NRC’s advisory opinion recommends that Ablation should be regulated as a milling operation, but did recognize that there may be exemptions to certain milling regulatory requirements due to the benign nature of the non-uranium bearing sands produced after Ablation is completed on uranium-bearing ores. On December 1, 2016, the CDPHE issued a determination that the proposed ablation operations at the Sunday Mine must be regulated by the CDPHE through a milling license. The 2017 increase in the blended uranium/vanadium price has brought the Company closer to production. Consequently in 2018, Western plans to continue to advance Ablation by seeking a further regulatory determination from the CDPHE and/or the NRC. During 2017, the Company’s regulatory counsel prepared significant documentation in preparation for a prospective submission.

Letter Of Intent with Pinon Ridge Mill

The Company has entered into a letter of intent with Pinon Ridge Corporation for use of its Ablation at the permitted uranium recovery facilities at the Pinon Ridge Mill site. The letter of intent provides for the processing of all of Western’s ore produced by its mines in the region at the mill site to produce U308 and vanadium utilizing both the application of Ablation mining technology and traditional milling techniques, at a cost to be determined in a definitive agreement. The Pinon Ridge Mill license is held by Pinon Ridge Resources Corporation, a wholly owned subsidiary of Pinon Ridge Corporation, which is owned by Mr. George Glasier, our Chief Executive Officer and Messrs. Russell Fryer and Andrew Wilder, both of whom are directors. The letter of intent is subject to the signing of a definitive agreement between the parties the original deadline as extended has passed but both parties will recommit to constituting a relationship in 2018. Discussions were delayed in 2017 when the board of directors was without a director who did not have a conflict of interest from having an ownership interest in the Pinon Ridge Mill. With the Company's appointment of a new director meeting this criteria, during the first quarter of 2018, the prerequisites are now in place to recommence this initiative. The Pinon Ridge Mill is permitted, but at the pre-development stage.

Production Timing Factors

The following represents forward-looking information with respect to the commencement of production of uranium and/or vanadium and serves as an update to previously disclosed expectations. Production may commence at a different time than anticipated herein by management. As conditions and expectations change, Western will continue to provide updates. Western continues to position itself for flexibility with the goal of beginning production as expeditiously as possible once market conditions for production of U308 and/or vanadium are favorable. Currently, before committing resources to a production approach, resources have been and are continuing to be committed toward identifying the optimal regulatory and developmental approach to deploying Ablation. Subsequently, to commence production, management will be required to raise capital for production start-up costs. In order to minimize these costs, the Company plans to commence production at the Sunday Mine Complex where there exists substantial mining infrastructure from years of previous production. Further, the Company will use a contract mining approach utilizing a previous contractor who mined the properties for a former owner. However, permitting and preparation costs will be driven by the approach to the application of Ablation and relevant regulatory requirements.

Company management believes the key production determinant will be in the use and application of Ablation. In December 2016, the issuance of a decision letter by the CDPHE enabled the use of Ablation at the Sunday Mine Complex in the state of Colorado under milling license regulations which also recognized the appropriateness of exemptions to certain milling regulatory requirements. Further, the Company’s attorneys are not fully in agreement with aspects of the decision letter from the CDPHE, thus the Company expects to pursue additional regulatory clarifications which the Company’s management believes would make the application of Ablation potentially more economically advantageous. While resource prices are below target levels, the Company is focusing on improving the regulatory regime which governs the application of Ablation with the goal of minimizing future production costs.
**Disposal of Mining Properties**

In July and October 2016, the Company elected not to renew leases relating to four projects that were obtained through either the August 2014 acquisition from Energy Fuels Holding Corp. or the acquisition of Black Range Minerals. The decision to not renew the four leases was based upon a number of factors, the most significant of which were the location of the projects, the development stage of each product, and the amount of uranium and vanadium resources within each project.

In September 2017, the Company elected not to renew state leases relating to two projects that were obtained through the August 2014 acquisition from Energy Fuels Holding Corp. The decision to not renew the state leases was based upon a number of factors, the most significant of which were the tangential location of those individual leases.

The forfeiture of these leases has no material adverse impact on the fair value of the Company’s mining assets.

**Canceling Alaska Coal Mine Leases**

During the second quarter of 2016, the Company initiated actions to cancel its coal mining leases in Alaska. In connection therewith, the Company notified the state of Alaska of its intent to forfeit the posted bond in satisfaction of the reclamation liabilities at the site. In response to the Company’s notification, the Company received notification that the state of Alaska was initiating forfeiture of the Company’s performance bond for reclamation. However, the notice indicated an additional surety bond of $150,000 in excess of the $210,500 cash bond, which had been posted by the Company upon purchase of the property. The Company and its advisors do not believe that it is obligated for this additional amount of claimed reclamation obligation. The Company is working with its legal counsel and the State of Alaska to resolve this matter. The Company has not recorded an additional $150,000 obligation as the Company does not expect, based on the advice of legal counsel, to be obligated to an amount greater than that presently reflected in the reclamation liability. During the year ended December 31, 2016, the Company adjusted the fair value of its reclamation obligation and for the Alaska mine, accrued $183,510 to bring its reclamation liability to face value. The portion of the reclamation liability related to the Alaska mine, and its related restricted cash are included in current liabilities, and current assets, respectively, at a value of $215,976 and $215,976. On January 20, 2017, the State of Alaska notified the Company that its reclamation bond had been forfeited to be used to satisfy the reclamation obligation. However, no amount had yet been determined in respect to the final cost of the reclamation obligation. On December 31, 2017, the Company wrote off the reclamation liability related to this property and the related bond, as the Company does not expect that the obligation to remediate will be in excess of the value of the bond.

**African Ore Update**

During the first quarter of 2016, the Company received a shipment of African ore for testing to determine how the Ablation process can improve the recovery economics of a large fully developed deposit in Africa. In the second quarter of 2016, the African ore was characterized, logged, ablated and relogged. Subsequently, testing was completed and the results provided on a confidential basis to the owner of the African deposit. The Company has not received any comments back from the owner of the African deposit.

**Incentive Stock Option Plan**

The Company maintains the Plan which permits the granting of stock options as incentive compensation. Shareholders of the Company approved the Plan on June 30, 2008 and amendments to the Plan on June 20, 2013, and the Board of Directors approved additional changes to the Plan on September 12, 2015.

The purpose of the Plan is to attract, retain and motivate directors, management, staff and consultants by providing them with the opportunity, through stock options, to acquire a proprietary interest in the Company and benefit from its growth.

The Plan provides that the aggregate number of common shares for which stock options may be granted will not exceed 10% of the issued and outstanding common shares at the time stock options are granted. At December 31, 2016, a total of 20,510,000 common shares were outstanding, and at that date the maximum number of stock options eligible for issue under the Plan was 2,051,000. At December 31, 2015, a total of 18,886,497 common shares were outstanding, and at that date the maximum number of stock options eligible for issue under the Plan was 1,888,650 (10% of the issued and outstanding common shares).

A stock option exercise price shall not be less than the most recent share issuance price. The maximum term is five years. There are no specific vesting provisions under the Plan. Options are non-assignable and non-transferable except that stock options may be transferred to the spouse of an optionee or to the registered retirement savings plan or registered pension plan of an optionee.

The Plan provides if the optionee's employment is terminated for any reason, or if the service of a director, senior executive or consultant of the Company who is an optionee is terminated, any vested stock option of such optionee may be exercised during a period of ninety (90) days following the date of termination of such employment or service, as the case may be. In the case of an optionee's death, any vested stock option of such optionee at the time of death may be exercised by his or her heirs or legatees or their liquidator during a period of one year following such optionee's death.
The total number of common shares issuable to any one person during a 12-month period may not exceed ten percent (10%) of the total number of common shares issued and outstanding. Options granted to consultants providing investor relations activities must vest over 12 months in stages of no more than 25% in any three-month period. Also, in any 12-month period, no options exercisable for more than 2% of the Company’s issued and outstanding shares may be awarded to consultants or employees conducting investor relations activities. The Plan provides that where options are cancelled or lapse under the Plan, the associated common shares become available again and new options may be granted in respect thereof in accordance with the provisions of the Plan.

The Board may make any amendment to the Plan, without shareholder approval, except an increase in the number of common shares reserved for issue under the Plan or a reduction of an option exercise price. The terms of any existing option may not be altered, suspended or discontinued without the consent in writing of the Optionee.

Grant of Stock Options

On October 4, 2016, the Company granted an aggregate of 1,075,000 options for the purchase of common shares to ten officers, consultants, directors and employees of the Company under the Company's Plan. The options shall have an exercise price of CAD $2.50 vesting equally commencing initially on the effective date of grant of October 4, 2016 and thereafter on October 31, 2016 and March 31, 2017 with a five-year term from the date of grant.

On October 6, 2017, the Company granted options under the Plan for the purchase of an aggregate of 825,000 shares of common stock to five individuals consisting of directors and officers of the Company. The options have a five year term, an exercise price of CAD $1.60 (USD $1.28), and vest equally in thirds commencing initially on the date of grant and thereafter on October 31, 2017, and March 31, 2018.

Appointment of Chief Financial Officer

On October 19, 2016, Robert Klein was appointed to serve as Chief Financial Officer of the Company, replacing Andrew Wilder. Mr. Wilder has continued to serve as a director of the Company. On October 1, 2016, Western entered into a consulting agreement with Bedford Bridge Fund LLC (“Bedford Bridge”) and Robert Klein, pursuant to which Western retained Bedford Bridge to provide financial operating services for the Company and retained Mr. Klein to serve as Chief Financial Officer of the Company, both subject to Board approval. On March 26, 2017, the Company provided notice that it would be cancelling this agreement, effective April 30, 2017. On October 4, 2016, Mr. Klein was granted an option to purchase 100,000 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of CAD $2.50 per share which expires five years from the date of issuance. These options vest in thirds in equal installments on the date of grant, October 31, 2016 and March 31, 2017.

On May 12, 2017, the Company entered into an engagement agreement with Robert Klein to continue his service as the Company’s Chief Financial Officer. The engagement agreement provided for an initial term of May 1, 2017 through June 30, 2017. The May 12, 2017 engagement agreement provided for a base salary of $12,500 per month.

On August 1, 2017, the Company entered into an engagement agreement to extend the initial May 12, 2017 agreement with Mr. Klein. The August 1, 2017 agreement extended the term of the agreement to provide for a term of July 1, 2017 through September 30, 2017 and provided for a base salary of $8,000 per month. This agreement expired on September 30, 2017.

On November 13, 2017, the Company entered into an employment agreement with Mr. Klein. The agreement became effective on October 1, 2017 and expires on September 30, 2018. The agreement may be mutually extended for subsequent annual terms. The agreement provides for compensation of $120,000 per annum and an annual bonus at the discretion of the Board of Directors. Pursuant to the employment agreement, once the Company raises a cumulative USD $1,000,000 subsequent to October 1, 2017, Mr. Klein’s annual base salary shall be increased. If a change of control occurs wherein the consideration in such change of control is more than USD $2.00 per share, the Company is required to pay a lump sum to Mr. Klein in the amount of two and one-half times Mr. Klein’s annual salary.

Appointment of Vice President – Operations

On October 24, 2016, Western appointed Michael Rutter as Vice President Operations for the Company. Mr. Rutter has specific experience in the oversight of the construction, mechanics, electrical and operation of the Ablation production units. Previously, Mr. Rutter was superintendent for Energy Fuels’ Utah, Colorado and Arizona uranium production locations.
### Year Ended December 31, 2017 as Compared to the Year Ended December 31, 2016

The following table presents the Company’s financial results for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>For the Year Ended December 31,</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Revenue</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td>$-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Expenses</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mining expenditures</td>
<td>154,724</td>
<td>389,832</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional fees</td>
<td>529,854</td>
<td>704,837</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General and administrative</td>
<td>723,387</td>
<td>546,607</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consulting fees</td>
<td>320,534</td>
<td>359,026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unrealized foreign exchange gain</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(128,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Operating expenses</strong></td>
<td>1,728,449</td>
<td>1,872,302</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Operating loss</strong></td>
<td>(1,708,499)</td>
<td>(1,872,302)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accretion and interest expense</td>
<td>60,232</td>
<td>301,989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Loss before income taxes</strong></td>
<td>(1,768,731)</td>
<td>(2,174,291)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income tax benefit</td>
<td>(1,354,443)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net loss</strong></td>
<td>$ (414,288)</td>
<td>$ (2,174,291)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other Comprehensive loss</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign exchange gain (loss)</td>
<td>2,406</td>
<td>(34,916)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Comprehensive Loss</strong></td>
<td>$ (411,882)</td>
<td>$ (2,209,207)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net loss per share - basic and diluted</strong></td>
<td>$ (0.02)</td>
<td>$ (0.13)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Summary:

Our consolidated net loss for the year ended December 31, 2017 and 2016 was $414,288 and $2,174,291 or $0.02 and $0.13 per share, respectively. The principal components of these year over year changes are discussed below.

Our comprehensive loss for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016 was $411,882 and $2,209,207, respectively.

### Revenue

During the year ended December 31, 2017, the Company recognized $20,000 in revenue related to a natural gas lease. The Company had no such revenue during the year ended December 31, 2016.

### Mining Expenditures

Mining expenditures for the year ended December 31, 2017 were $154,724 as compared to $389,832 for the year ended December 31, 2016. The decrease in mining expenditures of $235,180, or 60%, was principally attributable to $30,380 in one-time costs incurred in 2016 for compiling data and an aggregate decrease of $224,588 in permitting and maintenance costs of its mines due to the Company having relinquished tangential properties, the completion of maintenance in 2016, and decreased ablation expenditures for both regulatory and technical purposes.

### Professional Fees

Professional fees for the year ended December 31, 2017 were $529,854 as compared to $704,837 for the year ended December 31, 2016. The decrease in professional fees of $174,983, or 25%, was principally due to a decrease of $67,524 in accounting and audit fees and a decrease of $104,086 in legal fees. This was a result of general cost cutting and elevated 2016 costs due to the expenditures required to become a United States reporting company, applying for the Company’s common stock to trade on the OTCQX, and implementing electronic settlement in the United States through the Depository Trust Company.
General and Administrative

General and administrative expenses for the year ended December 31, 2017 were $723,387 as compared to $546,607 for the year ended December 31, 2016. The increase in general and administrative expense of $176,780, or 32% was primarily due to an increase in payroll expense of $188,418, as the Company’s Chief Executive Officer first began receiving a salary in 2017. This increase was partially offset by a decrease in office expenses.

Consulting Fees

Consulting fees for the year ended December 31, 2017 were $320,534 as compared to $359,026 for the year ended December 31, 2016. The decrease in consulting fees of $38,492, or 11% was principally related to the Company's cost cutting initiatives whereby both headcount and consulting fees paid were reduced; this was however offset in part by increased utilization of third-party investor relations firms in the current year.

Interest Expense, net

Accretion and interest expense for the year ended December 31, 2017 was $60,232 as compared to $301,989 for the year ended December 31, 2016. The decrease of interest expense of $241,757, or 80% was primarily attributable to a decrease in interest expense due to the repayment of the Nueco Note and Siebels Note, and the one-time accretion of $215,976 upon the 2016 acceleration of the reclamation expense of the Alaska Jonesville coal mine.

Foreign Exchange

Foreign exchange (loss) gain for the year ended December 31, 2017 was $2,406 as compared to $(34,916) for the year ended December 31, 2016. The increase of the foreign exchange gain of $37,322 is primarily due to the Canadian Dollar strengthening against the U.S. Dollar in 2017.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

The Company’s cash balance as of December 31, 2017 was $427,020. The Company’s cash position is highly dependent on its ability to raise capital through the issuance of debt and equity and its management of expenditures for mining development and for fulfillment of its public reporting responsibilities. The Company expects to require additional capital in order to continue the development of Ablation. Management believes that in order to finance the development of the mining properties and Ablation, the Company will be required to raise significant additional capital by way of debt and/or equity. This outlook is based on the Company’s current financial position and is subject to change if opportunities become available based on current exploration program results and/or external opportunities.

During the year ended December 31, 2017, the Company raised USD $1,437,396 in net proceeds from the issuance of 1,570,521 units in private placements. Each unit contains one common share and a warrant for the purchase of either one or one half common share with exercise prices ranging from CAD $1.40 to CAD $3.25.

Net cash used in operating activities

Net cash used in operating activities was $1,665,811 for the year ended December 31, 2017, as compared with net cash used of $1,938,021 for the year ended December 31, 2016. The increase of $272,210 in net cash used is mainly due to the Company having decreased net loss by $1,760,003 in 2017 due to an increase in our income tax benefit of $1,354,443.

Net cash provided by financing activities

Net cash provided by financing activities for the year ended December 31, 2017 was $1,280,261 as compared to $2,550,269 for the year ended December 31, 2016. For 2017, the cash provided by financing activities consisted principally of the proceeds from four private placements for an aggregate 1,570,521 shares which brought in aggregate proceeds of $1,437,396. This was offset by payments made on the Nueco Note payable as the Company paid down notes payable balances.

Reclamation Liability

The Company’s mines are subject to certain asset retirement obligations, which the Company has recorded as reclamation liabilities. The reclamation liabilities of the United States mines are subject to legal and regulatory requirements, and estimates of the costs of reclamation are reviewed periodically by the applicable regulatory authorities. The reclamation liability represents the Company’s best estimate of the present value of future reclamation costs in connection with the mineral properties. The Company determined the gross reclamation liabilities of the mineral properties at December 31, 2017 and, 2016 to be approximately $1,036,333 and $1,036,333, respectively. During the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016, the accretion of the reclamation liabilities was $9,158 and $183,510, respectively. Except in regard to its Alaska coal mine property (as discussed below), the Company expects to begin incurring the reclamation liability after 2054 and accordingly, has discounted the gross liabilities over their remaining life using a discount rate of 5.4% to a net discounted value as of December 31, 2017 and 2016 of $412,797 and $403,639, respectively. The gross reclamation liabilities as of December 31, 2017 are secured by certificates of deposit in the amount of $1,036,410.
During the second quarter of 2016, the Company initiated actions to cancel its coal mining leases in Alaska. In connection therewith, the Company notified the state of Alaska of its intent to forfeit the posted bond in satisfaction of the reclamation liabilities at the site. In response to the Company’s notification, the Company received notification that the state of Alaska was initiating forfeiture of the Company’s performance bond for reclamation. However, the notice indicated an additional surety bond of $150,000 in excess of the $210,500 cash bond which had been posted by the Company upon purchase of the property. The Company and its advisors do not believe that it is obligated for this additional amount of claimed reclamation obligation. The Company is working with its legal counsel and the State of Alaska to resolve this matter. The Company has not recorded an additional $150,000 obligation as the Company does not expect, based on the advice of legal counsel, to be obligated to an amount greater than that presently reflected in the reclamation liability. On January 20, 2017, the state of Alaska notified the Company that its reclamation bond had been forfeited and that it was unlikely that any additional amount would be due to Alaska pursuant to the Company’s reclamation obligations and since January 20, 2017, the Company has received no further communications. On December 31, 2017, the Company wrote off the reclamation liability related to this property and the related bond, as the Company does not expect that the obligation to remediate will be in excess of the value of the bond.

**Related Party Transactions (including key management compensation)**

The Company has transacted with related parties pursuant to service arrangements in the ordinary course of business, as follows:

Entities controlled by a former member of the Board of Directors earned consulting fees totaling $64,715 and $47,660 for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016, respectively. The same former director earned director fees totaling $8,356 and $3,021 during the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016, respectively. As of December 31, 2017 and 2016, the Company has $1,300 and $0, respectively, in accounts payable and accrued liabilities owing to this director. This director resigned on July 27, 2017.

Pursuant to a consulting agreement, a United States limited liability company owned by a person who is a director (“Seller”) and until October 19, 2016, was the Company’s CFO, entered into a contract with the Company dated January 1, 2016, (“the January 2016 Agreement”) to provide financial and other consulting services at $8,333 per month. On October 19, 2016 the January 2016 Agreement was terminated. On the same date a new agreement was entered into between the Company, a United States limited liability company owned by the same director and Robert Klein (the “October 2016 Agreement”) to provide financial operating services and to have Mr. Klein serve as the Chief Financial Officer. The term of the October 2016 Agreement was to run through July 31, 2017 and has an annual fee of $162,000 payable monthly, starting on October 1, 2016. On March 26, 2017, the Company provided notice that it would be cancelling the October 2016 Agreement, effective April 30, 2017. The acknowledgement of the termination initiated the preparation of Mr. Klein’s engagement agreement as described in Note 8. During the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016, the Company incurred fees of $89,049 and $94,351, respectively, to these companies. At December, 2017 and 2016, the Company had $0 and $0, included in accounts payable and accrued liabilities payable to these companies.

Prior to the acquisition of Black Range, Mr. George Glasier, the Company’s CEO, who is also a director, transferred his interest in a former joint venture with Ablation Technologies, LLC to Black Range. In connection with the transfer, Black Range issued 25 million shares of Black Range common stock to Seller and committed to pay AUD $500,000 (USD $390,350) to Seller within 60 days of the first commercial application of the ablation technology. Western assumed this contingent payment obligation in connection with the acquisition of Black Range. At the date of the acquisition of Black Range, this contingent obligation was determined to be probable. Since the deferred contingent consideration obligation is probable and the amount estimable, the Company recorded the deferred contingent consideration as an assumed liability.

**Going Concern**

The Company has incurred continuing losses from its operations and as of December 31, 2017 the Company had an accumulated deficit of $4,540,143 and a working capital deficiency of $444,125.

Since inception, the Company has met its liquidity requirements principally through the issuance of notes and the sale of its shares of common stock.

The Company’s ability to continue its operations and to pay its obligations when they become due is contingent upon the Company obtaining additional financing. Management’s plans include seeking to procure additional funds through debt and equity financings, to secure regulatory approval to fully utilize its ablation technology and to initiate the processing of ore to generate operating cash flows.
There are no assurances that the Company will be able to raise capital on terms acceptable to the Company or at all, or that cash flows generated from its operations will be sufficient to meet its current operating costs and required debt service. If the Company is unable to obtain sufficient amounts of additional capital, it may be required to reduce the scope of its planned product development, which could harm its financial condition and operating results, or it may not be able to continue to fund its ongoing operations. Such factors raise substantial doubt about the Company’s ability to sustain operations for at least one year from the issuance of these financial statements.

**Off Balance Sheet Arrangements**

As at December 31, 2017, there were no off-balance sheet transactions. The Company has not entered into any specialized financial agreements to minimize its investment risk, currency risk or commodity risk.

**Critical Accounting Estimates and Policies**

The preparation of these consolidated financial statements requires management to make certain estimates, judgments and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the consolidated financial statements and reported amounts of expenses during the reporting period.

Significant assumptions about the future and other sources of estimation uncertainty that management has made at the end of the reporting period, that could result in a material adjustment to the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities, in the event that actual results differ from assumptions made, include, but are not limited to, the following: fair value of transactions involving shares of common stock, assessment of the useful life and evaluation for impairment of intangible assets, valuation and impairment assessments on mineral properties, deferred contingent consideration, the reclamation liability, valuation of stock-based compensation, valuation of available-for-sale securities and valuation of long-term debt, HST and asset retirement obligations. Other areas requiring estimates include allocations of expenditures, depletion and amortization of mineral rights and properties.

**ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK**

Not applicable.

**ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA**

This information appear following Item 15 of this report and is included herein by reference

**ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE**

Not applicable.

**ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES**

**Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures**

As of the end of the period covered by this report, our principal executive officer and principal financial officer evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the “Exchange Act”)). Based on their evaluation of our disclosure controls and procedures, our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, with the participation of the Company’s management, concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were not effective as of December 31, 2017, to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the Company in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is (a) recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC’s rules and forms and (b) accumulated and communicated to management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, as appropriate to allow for timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

**Description of Material Weakness**

Management has concluded that the Company’s disclosure controls and procedures were not effective as of December 31, 2017, due to the lack of segregation of duties and the failure to report disclosures on a timely basis.

**Remediation of Material Weakness**

Management has developed a plan and related timeline for the Company to design a set of control procedures and the related required documentation thereof in order to address this material weakness. Until the Company has the proper staff in place, it likely will not be able to remediate its material weakness.
Management’s Annual Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. Internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company’s internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of the company’s assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

The Company carried out an evaluation, with the participation of its Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of its internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2017. In making this assessment, management used the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (“COSO”) in Internal Control-Integrated 2013 Framework. Management concluded that the Company’s internal control over financial reporting was not effective as of December 31, 2017 because a material weakness in internal control over financial reporting existed as of that date as a result of a lack of segregation of duties. A material weakness is a deficiency or a combination of control deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of annual or interim financial statements will not be prevented or detected on a timely basis.

This annual report does not include an attestation report of our independent registered public accounting firm regarding internal control over financial reporting. Management’s report was not subject to attestation by our independent registered public accounting firm pursuant to a provision under the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act that grants a permanent exemption for non-accelerated filers from complying with Section 404(b) of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

During the fiscal quarter ended December 31, 2017, the Company carried out an internal control process analysis with the goal of solidifying the internal control structure. The Company was endeavoring to implement those recommendation plans in 2017 with the goal of making improvements which will solidify internal controls. However, subsequently Western embarked on cost cutting which had the impact of further concentrating responsibilities to Mr. Robert Klein, the Company’s Chief Financial Officer.

ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION.

None.
## ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

The following table sets forth information regarding the members of our board of directors (the “Board”) and our executive officers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Position(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>George Glasier</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>President, Chief Executive Officer and Director</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert Klein</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>Chief Financial Officer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russell Fryer</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>Executive Chairman and Director</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bryan Murphy</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>Director</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andrew Wilder</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>Director</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Executive Officers

**George Glasier, J.D.**, our Director, President and Chief Executive Officer, founded Western Uranium Corporation on March 10, 2015. He has over thirty years’ experience in the uranium industry in the United States, with extensive experience in sales and marketing; project development and permitting uranium processing facilities. He is the founder of Energy Fuels Inc. (Volcanic Metals Exploration Inc.) and served as its Chief Executive Officer and President from January 2006 to March 2010. He was responsible for assembling a first-class management team, acquiring a portfolio of uranium projects, and leading the successful permitting process that culminated in the licensing of the Piñon Ridge uranium mill; planned for construction in Western Montrose County, Colorado. He began his career in the uranium industry in the late 1970’s with Energy Fuels Nuclear, which built and operated the White Mesa Mill near Blanding, Utah, becoming the largest uranium producer in the United States.

**Robert Klein**, who has served as our Chief Financial Officer since October 19, 2016, previously served as Western’s Vice President-Finance, taking leading roles in reporting, corporate transactions, and the public listing of the stock on both the CSE and OTCQX. This prior role was through the Cross River Advisors LLC (“Cross River”), where Mr. Klein was the Chief Operating Officer, and began on an Operating Partner basis with the formation of Western’s predecessor, Piñon Ridge Mining, LLC in April 2014. Previously, Mr. Klein was a Managing Director at Analytical Research, a hedge fund and hedge fund of funds research firm which he joined in 2010. He has a broad alternative investment background derived from operating and investment roles directly and through Exeter Analytics, a consulting firm he founded. Among these hedge fund and hedge fund of fund roles he served as the CFO of Five Points Capital, a hedge fund spin-out from Soros Fund Management. Earlier in his career, Mr. Klein worked for traditional institutions including the investment bank and private investment firms of Lehman Brothers and William E. Simon & Sons. Mr. Klein holds the Chartered Financial Analyst® designation, received an M.B.A. from the Robert H. Smith School of Business at the University of Maryland and a B.S. in Accounting from George Mason University.

**Russell Fryer** serves as Executive Chairman and Director for Western Uranium Corporation. Mr. Fryer has 25 years’ experience investing in developed and developing markets with a focus on mining and natural resources. With a background in engineering, Mr. Fryer has advised mining companies in pre-production and production stages of mineral output. Mr. Fryer is a director of Ecometals Limited. Previously, Mr. Fryer was a Managing Director at Macquarie Bank. Before Macquarie, Mr. Fryer managed investor capital in the natural resources sector at Baobab and North Sound Capital. Throughout his career, Mr. Fryer has also worked with investment banking firms such as Robert Fleming, HSBC and Deutsche Bank. Mr. Fryer holds a Bachelor of Business Administration degree from Newport University in Johannesburg, South Africa along with an Advance Degree in International Taxation from Rand Afrikaans University, also in Johannesburg, South Africa.

### Non-Employee Directors

**Andrew Wilder** serves as a Director for Western Uranium Corporation and previously served as Western’s Chief Financial Officer until October 19, 2016. He is currently a Managing Member of Inventiv Capital Management, an energy infrastructure private equity business as well as the Founder and Chief Executive Officer of Cross River, a firm that provides capital, strategic business development and operations to alternative asset managers and operating companies. Prior to founding Cross River, Mr. Wilder co-founded and was the Chief Operating Officer for Kiski Group, an advisory firm organized in 2009 to help institutions develop their alternative manager platforms by helping vet managers and offer infrastructure solutions in areas of investment and business risk management. In 2001, Mr. Wilder co-founded and served as Chief Operating Officer and Chief Financial Officer of North Sound Capital LLC, a long/short equity hedge fund manager. North Sound launched with $15 million in July of 2001 and reached $3 billion AUM and 65 employees within 5 years. Mr. Wilder was responsible for building and overseeing all aspects of the business ex-research. In 2003, Mr. Wilder also co-founded Columbus Avenue Consulting, an independent fund administration business with 90 clients and $7 billion in AUA when it was subsequently sold in 2012. Mr. Wilder’s prior career included heading operations for C. Blair Asset Management, a $500 million long/short equity hedge fund, and serving as a Manager in audit of Deloitte & Touche (in their Cayman Islands and Toronto practices). Mr. Wilder received the Chartered Accountant (Canada) designation, holds the CFA designation, and received an MBA from the University of Toronto and a BA from the University of Western Ontario.
Bryan Murphy was appointed to serve as a Director for Western Uranium Corporation on January 31, 2018. Mr. Murphy is a Managing Partner of Quest Partners, a boutique investment bank that focuses on the provision of M&A, corporate finance, and business strategy services. Since founding the firm in 2006, Mr. Murphy has developed extensive international experience and relationships advising high-growth businesses across North America, Europe and the Middle East. In the prior dozen years, Mr. Murphy held senior management roles at Canadian Tire Corporation overseeing divisions and business lines. Additionally, Mr. Murphy was formerly a board member of Covenant House Toronto, one of Canada’s largest homeless youth agencies. Mr. Murphy has an Honours Bachelor of Arts in Business Administration majoring in Finance and an MBA with Distinction from the University of Western Ontario Richard Ivey School of Business.

Involvement of Officers and Directors in Certain Legal Proceedings

None of our officers and directors has filed for bankruptcy, been convicted in a criminal proceeding or been the subject of any order, judgment, or decree permanently, temporarily, or otherwise limiting activities (1) in connection with the sale or purchase of any security or commodity or in connection with any violation of Federal or State securities laws or Federal commodities laws, (2) engaging in any type of business practice, or (3) acting as a futures commission merchant, introducing broker, commodity trading advisor, commodity pool operator, floor broker, leverage transaction merchant, any other person regulated by the Commodity Futures Trading Commission or an associated person of any of the foregoing, or as an investment adviser, underwriter, broker or dealer in securities, or as an affiliated person, director or employee of an investment company, bank, savings and loan association or insurance company, or engaging in or continuing any conduct or practice in connection with such activity.

Family Relationships

There are no family relationships among our directors and executive officers.

Compliance with Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act

Based solely upon a review of Form 3 and 4 reports and amendments thereto furnished to us under Rule 16a-3(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2016 and any Form 5 reports and amendments thereto furnished to us with respect to the fiscal year ended December 31, 2016, as well as any written representation from a reporting person that no Form 5 is required, we are not aware that any officer, director or 10% or greater shareholder failed to file on a timely basis, as disclosed in the aforementioned forms, reports required by Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2016 except as follows: George Glasier filed late one Form 4 reporting one transaction; Robert Klein filed late one Form 4 reporting one transaction; Russell Fryer filed late three Form 4s reporting four transactions; Andrew Wilder filed late four Form 4s reporting five transactions; and Siebels Hard Asset Fund Ltd. filed late one Form 4 reporting one transaction.

Code of Ethics

We have adopted a code of ethics that applies to our officers, directors, employees and consultants. A copy of the code of ethics will be sent, free of charge, to any person who sends a written request for a copy to Western Uranium Corporation, 330 Bay Street, Toronto, Ontario, Canada M5H 2S8.

Audit Committee

Western has a small Board of Directors consisting of only four members. The Company has not established a separately designated audit committee of the Board of Directors. Our Board of Directors as a whole is responsible for all responsibilities generally assigned to board committees of larger public companies, including oversight of audits, corporate governance, board nominations, and executive compensation. The Board has determined that one of its members, Andrew Wilder, who has previously served as Western’s Chief Financial Officer, qualifies as an “audit committee financial expert”. Mr. Wilder is not considered to be an independent director.
ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Summary Compensation Table

The following table sets forth information regarding compensation earned by our named executive officers:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name and Principal Position</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Salary ($)</th>
<th>Bonus ($)</th>
<th>Stock Awards ($)</th>
<th>Option Awards ($)</th>
<th>All Other Compensation ($)</th>
<th>TOTAL ($)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>George Glasier (1)</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$165,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$34,615</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$199,615</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>President and Chief Executive Officer</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$39,852</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$39,852</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert Klein (2)</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$102,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$34,615</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$136,615</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chief Financial Officer</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>$24,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$26,568</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$50,568</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russell Fryer (3)</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$163,321</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$34,615</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$197,936</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Executive Chairman and Director</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>$149,244</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$39,852</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$189,096</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andrew Wilder (4)</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$39,852</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$39,852</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Former Chief Financial Officer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) On October 10, 2017, Mr. Glasier was granted an option to purchase 200,000 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of CAD $1.60 per share which expires five years from the date of issuance. This option vested in thirds in equal installments on the date of grant, October 31, 2017 and March 31, 2018. On October 4, 2016, Mr. Glasier was granted an option to purchase 150,000 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of CAD $2.50 (USD $1.90) per share which expires five years from the date of issuance. This option vested equally in thirds commencing initially on the effective date of grant of October 4, 2016 and thereafter on October 31, 2016, and March 31, 2017.

(2) On October 19, 2016, Mr. Robert Klein was appointed to serve as Chief Financial Officer. On October 1, 2016, Western entered into a consulting agreement with Bedford Bridge Fund LLC ("Bedford Bridge") and Robert Klein, pursuant to which Western retained Bedford Bridge to provide financial operating services for the Company and retained Mr. Klein to serve as Chief Financial Officer of the Company, both subject to Board approval. On March 26, 2017, the Company provided notice that it would be cancelling this agreement, effective April 30, 2017. On October 10, 2017, Mr. Klein was granted an option to purchase 200,000 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of CAD $1.60 per share which expires five years from the date of issuance. This option vested in thirds in equal installments on the date of grant, October 31, 2017 and March 31, 2018. On October 4, 2016, Mr. Klein was granted an option to purchase 100,000 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of CAD $2.50 (USD $1.90) per share which expires five years from the date of issuance. This option vested equally in thirds commencing initially on the effective date of grant of October 4, 2016 and thereafter on October 31, 2016, and March 31, 2017.

(3) Mr. Fryer is the Chief Investment Officer of Baobab. Baobab provides consulting services to the Company. During the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016, the Company incurred $163,321 and $149,244 of consulting fees to Baobab, respectively. On October 10, 2017, Mr. Fryer was granted an option to purchase 200,000 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of CAD $1.60 per share which expires five years from the date of issuance. This option vested in thirds in equal installments on the date of grant, October 31, 2017 and March 31, 2018. On October 4, 2016, Mr. Fryer was granted an option to purchase 150,000 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of CAD $2.50 (USD $1.90) per share which expires five years from the date of issuance. This option vested equally in thirds commencing initially on the effective date of grant of October 4, 2016 and thereafter on October 31, 2016, and March 31, 2017.

(4) Mr. Wilder served as Chief Financial Officer prior to October 19, 2016. Mr. Wilder is the Founder and Chief Executive Officer of Cross River, a Connecticut company that provided accounting and management services to the Company. During the year ended December 31, 2015, the Company incurred consulting fees of $119,500 to Cross River. Mr. Wilder received no compensation from the Company other than fees received through Cross River. On October 4, 2016, Mr. Wilder was granted an option to purchase 150,000 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of CAD $2.50 (USD $1.90) per share which expires five years from the date of issuance. This option vests equally in thirds commencing initially on the effective date of grant of October 4, 2016 and thereafter on October 31, 2016, and March 31, 2017.

Employment Agreements

George Glasier

On February 8, 2017, the Company entered into an employment agreement with George Glasier, its Chief Executive Officer. The employment agreement provides for an initial term of January 1, 2017 through December 31, 2018, with automatic annual renewals unless the Company or the Chief Executive Officer were to provide 90 days written notice of their desire to not renew the agreement. The employment agreement provides for a base salary of $180,000 per annum and a discretionary annual cash bonus to be determined by the Company’s Board of Directors. Pursuant to the employment agreement, if the Company terminates the employment agreement without cause, or if a change of control occurs, the Company is required to pay to the Chief Executive Officer a lump sum payment equal to two and one-half times his annual base salary.
Russell Fryer

On July 28, 2017, Russell Fryer was appointed to serve as the Company's Executive Chairman. On November 13, 2017, the Company entered into a consulting agreement with an affiliate of Mr. Fryer. The agreement became effective on July 28, 2017 and, pursuant to its terms, expires on December 31, 2018. The agreement may be terminated by either party with 90 days notice. The agreement provides for compensation of $15,000 per month and an annual bonus at the discretion of the Board of Directors. Pursuant to the agreement, if a change of control occurs wherein the consideration in such change of control is more than USD $2.00 per share, the Company is required to pay a lump sum in the amount of two and one-half times the entity’s annual fee to this entity. On January 29, 2018, the Company provided the requisite 90-day notification to terminate the consulting agreement, effective April 30, 2018. This was initiated pursuant to a proposed board review and restructuring of the service portion of the consulting agreement outside of the director and board chairman roles. As of March 30, 2018, the proposed board review has not yet been undertaken.

Robert Klein

On October 19, 2016, Robert Klein was appointed to serve as Chief Financial Officer of the Company, replacing Andrew Wilder. Mr. Wilder will continue to serve as a director of the Company. On October 1, 2016, Western entered into a consulting agreement with Bedford Bridge Fund LLC (“Bedford Bridge”) and Robert Klein, pursuant to which Western retained Bedford Bridge to provide financial operating services for the Company and retained Mr. Klein to serve as Chief Financial Officer of the Company, both subject to Board approval. On March 26, 2017, the Company provided notice that it would be cancelling this agreement, effective April 30, 2017. On October 4, 2016, Mr. Klein was granted an option to purchase 100,000 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of CAD $2.50 per share which expires five years from the date of issuance. These options vest in thirds in equal installments on the date of grant, October 31, 2016 and March 31, 2017.

On May 12, 2017, the Company entered into an engagement agreement with Robert Klein to continue his service as the Company’s Chief Financial Officer. The engagement agreement provides for an initial term of May 1, 2017 through June 30, 2017. The May 12, 2017 engagement agreement provided for a base salary of $12,500 per month.

On August 1, 2017, the Company entered into an engagement agreement to extend the initial May 12, 2017 agreement with Mr. Klein. The August 1, 2017 agreement extended the term of the agreement to provide for a term of July 1, 2017 through September 30, 2017 and provided for a base salary of $8,000 per month. This agreement expired on September 30, 2017.

On November 13, 2017, the Company entered into an employment agreement with Mr. Klein. The agreement became effective on October 1, 2017 and expires on September 30, 2018. The agreement may be mutually extended for subsequent annual terms. The agreement provides for compensation of $120,000 per annum and an annual bonus at the discretion of the Board of Directors. Pursuant to the employment agreement, once the Company raises a cumulative USD $1,000,000 subsequent to October 1, 2017, Mr. Klein’s annual base salary shall be increased. If a change of control occurs wherein the consideration in such change of control is more than USD $2.00 per share, the Company is required to pay a lump sum to Mr. Klein in the amount of two and one-half times Mr. Klein’s annual salary.

Other Employee Compensation

On October 4, 2016, the Company granted an aggregate of 1,075,000 options for the purchase of common shares to ten officers, consultants, directors and employees of the Company under the Plan. Of these options, 150,000 were granted to Mr. Glasier and 100,000 were granted to Mr. Klein. The options shall have an exercise price of CAD $2.50 (USD $1.90), vesting equally in thirds commencing initially on the effective date of grant of October 4, 2016 and thereafter on October 31, 2016, and March 31, 2017 with a five-year term.

On October 6, 2017, the Company granted options under the Plan for the purchase of an aggregate of 825,000 shares of common stock to five individuals consisting of directors and officers of the Company. The options have a five year term, an exercise price of CAD $1.60 (USD $1.28), and vest equally in thirds commencing initially on the date of grant and thereafter on October 31, 2017, and March 31, 2018.
Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End

Outstanding Equity Awards Table

The following table sets forth unexercised options, unvested stock and equity incentive plan awards outstanding for our named Executive Officers as of December 31, 2017.

**Outstanding Option Awards at Fiscal Year-End for 2017**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Number of securities underlying unexercised options (#) exercisable</th>
<th>Number of securities underlying unexercised options (#) unexercisable</th>
<th>Option exercise price ($CAD)</th>
<th>Option expiration date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>George Glasier</td>
<td>150,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$2.50</td>
<td>10/4/2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>200,000(1)</td>
<td>133,334</td>
<td>$1.60</td>
<td>10/10/2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert Klein</td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$2.50</td>
<td>10/4/2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>200,000(1)</td>
<td>133,334</td>
<td>$1.60</td>
<td>10/10/2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russell Fryer</td>
<td>150,000</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>$2.50</td>
<td>10/4/2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>200,000(1)</td>
<td>133,334</td>
<td>$1.60</td>
<td>10/10/2022</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) The unvested options vest on March 31, 2018.

**Outstanding Stock Awards at Fiscal Year-End for 2017**

None.

**Director Compensation**

The following tables set forth a summary of the compensation earned by each director who is not a named executive officer and who served on the Board during 2017 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2017.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Fees Earned or Paid in Cash ($)</th>
<th>Stock Awards ($)</th>
<th>Option Awards ($)</th>
<th>Total ($)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Michael Skutezky</td>
<td>$73,071</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$73,071</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andrew Wilder</td>
<td>$89,049</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$256,064</td>
<td>$345,113</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) Mr. Skutezky is the Owner of Rhodes Capital Corporation (“Rhodes Capital”) and Michael R. Skutezky BA LLB. These companies provide consulting services to the Company. During the year ended December 31, 2017, the Company incurred $64,715 to these companies, consisting of $64,715 in consulting fees and $8,356 in director fees for Mr. Skutezky’s services. Mr. Skutezky resigned from the Board on July 27, 2017.

(2) Mr. Wilder is the owner of Bedford Bridge. During the year ended December 31, 2017, the Company incurred $89,049 to Bedford Bridge. On October 10, 2017, Mr. Wilder was granted an option to purchase 200,000 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of CAD $1.60 per share which expires five years from the date of issuance. These options vest in thirds in equal installments on the date of grant, October 31, 2017 and March 31, 2018.

**ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS**

The following table sets forth information with respect to the beneficial ownership of our class of common shares as of March 29, 2018 by:

- each person, or group of affiliated persons, known to us to beneficially own more than 5% of our outstanding common shares;
- each of our directors and executive officers; and
- all of our directors and executive officers as a group.
The amounts and percentages of common shares beneficially owned are reported on the basis of regulations of the SEC governing the determination of beneficial ownership of securities. The information relating to our 5% beneficial owners is based on information we received from such holders. Under the rules of the SEC, a person is deemed to be a “beneficial owner” of a security if that person has or shares voting power, which includes the power to vote or direct the voting of a security, or investment power, which includes the power to dispose of or to direct the disposition of a security. A person is also deemed to be a beneficial owner of any securities of which that person has a right to acquire beneficial ownership within 60 days. Securities that can be so acquired are deemed to be outstanding for purposes of computing such person's ownership percentage, but not for purposes of computing any other person's percentage. Under these rules, more than one person may be deemed a beneficial owner of the same securities and a person may be deemed to be a beneficial owner of securities as to which such person has no economic interest.

Except as otherwise set forth in the footnotes to the table below, the address of persons listed below is c/o Western Uranium Corporation, 330 Bay Street, Suite 1400, Toronto, Ontario, Canada M5H 2S8. Unless otherwise indicated in the footnotes, each of the beneficial owners listed has, to our knowledge, sole voting and investment power with respect to the indicated common shares.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of Beneficial Owner</th>
<th>Number of Common Shares</th>
<th>Percentage of Outstanding Common Shares (1)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5% or Greater Stockholders</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>George Glasier (2)</td>
<td>5,223,333</td>
<td>27.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russell Fryer (3)</td>
<td>2,911,343</td>
<td>15.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baobab Asset Management</td>
<td>2,561,343</td>
<td>13.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Siebels Hard Asset Fund Ltd.</td>
<td>1,884,200</td>
<td>9.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Directors and Named Executive Officers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>George Glasier (2)</td>
<td>5,223,333</td>
<td>27.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russell Fryer (3)</td>
<td>2,911,343</td>
<td>15.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andrew Wilder (4)</td>
<td>350,000</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert Klein (5)</td>
<td>320,000</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All executive officers and directors as a group (5 persons)</td>
<td>8,804,676</td>
<td>43.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Represents holdings of less than 1% of common shares outstanding.

(1) Based on 18,940,285 common shares outstanding on March 29, 2018 and, with respect to each individual holder, rights to acquire our common shares exercisable within 60 days of March 29, 2018.

(2) Consists of 4,873,333 shares of common stock and 350,000 shares of common stock issuable upon the exercise of stock options.

(3) Consists of 2,561,343 common shares registered in the name of Baobab, of which Russell Fryer is the beneficial owner and 350,000 shares of common stock issuable upon the exercise of stock options.

(4) Consists of 350,000 common shares issuable upon the exercise of stock options held by Mr. Wilder.

(5) Consists of 20,000 common shares and 300,000 common shares issuable upon the exercise of stock options held by Mr. Klein.

Equity Compensation Plan Information

The Company maintains an Incentive Stock Option Plan (the “Plan”) that permits the granting of stock options as incentive compensation. Shareholders of the Company approved the Plan on June 30, 2008 and amendments to the Plan on June 20, 2013, and the Board of Directors approved additional changes to the Plan on September 12, 2015.

The purpose of the Plan is to attract, retain and motivate directors, management, staff and consultants by providing them with the opportunity, through stock options, to acquire a proprietary interest in the Company and benefit from its growth.

At December 31, 2017, a total of 1,846,996 stock options issued under the Plan were outstanding.
The Plan provides that the aggregate number of common shares for which stock options may be granted will not exceed 10% of the issued and outstanding common shares at the time stock options are granted. At December 31, 2017, a total of 20,510,194 common shares were outstanding, and at that date the maximum number of stock options eligible for issue under the Plan was 2,051,000. A stock option exercise price shall not be less than the most recent share issuance price. The maximum term is five years. There are no specific vesting provisions under the Plan. Options are non-assignable and non-transferable except that stock options may be transferred to the spouse of an optionee or to the registered retirement savings plan or registered pension plan of an optionee.

The Plan provides that if an optionee's employment is terminated for any reason, or if the service of a director, senior executive or consultant of the Company who is an optionee is terminated, any vested stock option of such optionee may be exercised during a period of ninety (90) days following the date of termination of such employment or service, as the case may be. In the case of an optionee's death, any vested stock option of such optionee at the time of death may be exercised by his or her heirs or legatees or their liquidator during a period of one year following such optionee's death.

The total number of common shares issuable to any one person during a 12-month period may not exceed ten percent (10%) of the total number of common shares issued and outstanding. Options granted to consultants providing investor relations activities must vest over 12 months in stages of no more than 25% in any three-month period. Also, in any 12-month period, no options exercisable for more than 2% of the Company’s issued and outstanding shares may be awarded to consultants or employees conducting investor relations activities. The Plan provides that where options are cancelled or lapse under the Plan, the associated common shares become available again and new options may be granted in respect thereof in accordance with the provisions of the Plan.

The Board may make any amendment to the Plan, without shareholder approval, except an increase in the number of common shares reserved for issue under the Plan or a reduction of an option exercise price. The terms of any existing option may not be altered, suspended or discontinued without the consent in writing of the Optionee.

**Equity Compensation Plan Information**

**As of December 31, 2017**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plan Category</th>
<th>Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights (a)</th>
<th>Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights (b)</th>
<th>Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column (a)) (c)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Equity compensation plans approved by shareholders</td>
<td>1,846,996</td>
<td>$1.92</td>
<td>204,004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equity compensation plans not approved by shareholders</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1,846,996</td>
<td>$1.92</td>
<td>204,004</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE**

**Transactions with Related Persons**

Rhodes Capital Corporation and Michael R. Skutezky BA LLB, entities controlled by Michael Skutezky, a former member of the Board of Directors, earned consulting fees totaling $64,715 and $47,660 for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016, respectively. Mr. Skutezky also earned director fees totaling $8,356 and $3,021 during the years ended December 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016. As of December 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, the Company has $1,300 and $0, respectively, in accounts payable and accrued liabilities owing to this director. Mr. Skutezky resigned from the Board on July 27, 2017.

Pursuant to a consulting agreement, Cross River, a US limited liability company, entered into a contract with the Company effective January 1, 2015 to provide financial and consulting services at an annual consultant fee of $100,000. The contract had a term of one year. On October 21, 2015, the Company entered into an additional agreement with this same company to provide additional services to the Company, for the term of October through December of 2015, for a monthly fee of $6,500. On January 1, 2016, the Company entered into an agreement with Bedford Bridge, a different US limited liability company owned by the same director, to provide financial and other consulting services at $8,333 per month. In October 2016, this contract was cancelled and a new agreement was entered into between the Company, Bedford Bridge and Mr. Robert Klein (the “October 2016 Agreement”) to provide financial operating services and to have Mr. Klein serve as the Chief Financial Officer. The October 2016 Agreement provided for an annual fee of $162,000 payable monthly. On March 26, 2017, the Company provided notice that it would be cancelling the October 2016 Agreement, effective April 30, 2017. During the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016, the Company incurred fees of $89,049 and $94,351, respectively, to these companies. At December 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, the Company had $0 and $0, respectively, included in accounts payable and accrued liabilities payable to these companies.
In connection with the acquisition of Black Range on September 16, 2015, (1) common shares issued to the former shareholders of Black Range included 33,333 common shares issued to George Glasier, our President, Chief Executive Officer and a director, and (2) liabilities assumed in the acquisition of Black Range included the assumption of an obligation in the amount of AUD $500,000 (USD $372,000) also payable to George Glasier, contingent upon the commercialization of the Ablation technology.

Pursuant to a consulting agreement, Baobab, a US limited liability company owned by a person who is a director, entered into a consulting contract with the Company effective April 1, 2016 to provide financial, advisory, and consulting services, including representing the Company to a variety of stakeholders for a six month term ending on September 30, 2016. On October 1, 2016, this agreement was extended to January 31, 2017. Professional fees for the year ended December 31, 2016 were $149,244, related to this agreement. As of December 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, the Company had $0 and $0, respectively, included in accounts payable and accrued expenses payable to this entity.

On November 2, 2016, the Company entered into a letter of intent (“LOI”) with Pinon Ridge for use of its Ablation technology at the permitted uranium recovery facilities at the Pinon Ridge Mill site. The LOI provides for the processing of all of Western’s ore produced by its mines in the region at the mill site to produce U308 and vanadium utilizing both the application of ablation mining technology and traditional milling techniques, at a cost to be determined in a definitive agreement. The Pinon Ridge Mill license is held by Pinon Ridge Resources Corporation, a wholly owned subsidiary of Pinon Ridge. The LOI is subject to the signing of a definitive agreement between the parties, which was contemplated to be completed on or before April 30, 2017. The LOI provided for Western to make a $40,000 deposit payment to Pinon Ridge on or before December 1, 2016, and pay all Pinon Ridge pre-development costs incurred going forward. The terms of the definitive agreement will provide for a formula agreed between the parties to determine how Pinon Ridge will be reimbursed for previously paid pre-development costs incurred prior to the signing of the LOI. All pre-development costs to be paid prior to the signing of a definitive agreement by Western will be restricted to the payment and/or reimbursement of arm’s length transactions paid to third parties subsequent to January 1, 2014, less the initial deposit payment. The terms of the definitive agreement will set out a formula to fairly compensate each party for their respective contributions. Pinon Ridge is a Colorado corporation. George Glasier, who is the President and CEO and a director of Western, is a director, the sole officer, and a principal beneficial owner of Pinon Ridge. Russell Fryer, who is a director of Western, is a director and a principal beneficial owner of Pinon Ridge through Baobab. Andrew Wilder, who is a director of Western, is a beneficial owner of Pinon Ridge through Bedford Bridge.

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES

The following table sets forth the aggregate fees billed by MNP LLP (“MNP”), our independent registered accounting firm for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016. These fees are categorized as audit fees, audit-related fees, tax fees, and all other fees. The nature of the services provided in each category is described in the table below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Audit fees</td>
<td>$ 83,517</td>
<td>$ 63,530</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Audit-related fees</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tax fees</td>
<td>$ 14,017</td>
<td>$ 11,643</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All other fees</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total fees</td>
<td>$ 97,534</td>
<td>$ 75,173</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Audit fees. Consist of fees billed for professional services rendered for the audit of the consolidated financial statements and review of the quarterly interim consolidated financial statements. These fees also include the review of registration statements and the delivery of consents in connection with registration statements.

Audit-related fees. There were no fees billed by MNP for professional services rendered for audit-related services for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016.

Tax fees. Consists of tax preparation fees.

All other fees. There were no fees billed by MNP for professional services rendered for other compliance purposes for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016.

The Company’s Board of Directors has established pre-approval policies and procedures, pursuant to which the Board approved the foregoing audit and audit-related services provided by MNP in 2017 and 2016 consistent with the Board’s responsibility for engaging Western’s independent auditors. The Board also considered whether the non-audit services rendered by our independent registered public accounting firm are compatible with an auditor maintaining independence. The Board has determined that the rendering of such services is compatible with MNP maintaining its independence.
PART IV

ITEM 15. EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

Documents Filed as Part of This Report.

(a) The following financial statements are being filed as part of this Annual Report.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consolidated Financial Statements of Western Uranium Corporation and Subsidiaries</th>
<th>Page No.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</td>
<td>F-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2017 and 2016</td>
<td>F-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consolidated Statements of Operations and Other Comprehensive Loss for the years ended December 31, 2017 and December 2016</td>
<td>F-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity for the years ended December 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016</td>
<td>F-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016</td>
<td>F-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</td>
<td>F-6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(b) The following exhibits are being provided as required by Item 601 of Regulation S-K.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exhibit No.</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.3 (4)</td>
<td>Credit Facility between Western Uranium Corporation and Black Range Minerals Limited, dated March 20, 2015.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.4 (2)</td>
<td>Termination and Liquidation Agreement between Ablation Technologies LLC, Black Range Minerals Ablation Holdings Inc. and Mineral Ablation, LLC dated March 17, 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.1 (4)</td>
<td>Certificate of Incorporation, as amended.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.2 (4)</td>
<td>Amended and Restated By-laws.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.1 (4)</td>
<td>Form of Note payable to The Siebels Hard Asset Fund Ltd., dated September 30, 2015, including Extension Agreement dated December 16, 2015.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.2 (4)</td>
<td>Form of Note payable to The Siebels Hard Asset Fund Ltd., dated February 22, 2016.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.3 (4)</td>
<td>Form of Note payable to Energy Fuel Holdings Corp., dated August 18, 2014.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.5 (4)</td>
<td>Form of WUC Warrant.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.6 (3)</td>
<td>Call Option Agreement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.7 (3)</td>
<td>Consulting Agreement between Cross River Advisors LLC and Western Uranium Corporation dated January 1, 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.8 (3)</td>
<td>Consulting Agreement between Cross River Advisors LLC and Western Uranium Corporation dated October 16, 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.9 (3)</td>
<td>Consulting Agreement between Bedford Bridge Fund LLC and Western Uranium Corporation dated January 1, 2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.10 (3)</td>
<td>Consulting Agreement between Rhodes Capital Corporation and Western Uranium Corporation dated January 1, 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.11</td>
<td>Technology License Agreement between Ablation Technologies LLC and Black Range Mineral Ablation Holdings Inc., dated as of March 17, 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.12</td>
<td>Incentive Stock Option Plan (Rolling 10%), as amended</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.13</td>
<td>Consulting Agreement between Baobab Asset Management LLC and Western Uranium Corporation, effective April 1, 2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.14</td>
<td>Consulting Agreement between Bedford Bridge Fund LLC, Robert Klein and Western Uranium Corporation, dated October 1, 2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.15</td>
<td>Letter of Intent between Pinon Ridge and Western Uranium Corporation, dated November 2, 2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.16</td>
<td>Extension of Letter of Intent between Pinon Ridge and Western Uranium Corporation, dated March 9, 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.17</td>
<td>Extension of the Consulting Agreement between Baobab Asset Management LLC and Western Uranium Corporation, effective October 1, 2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.18</td>
<td>Employment Agreement between George Glasier and Western Uranium Corporation, dated February 8, 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.19</td>
<td>Employment Agreement between Robert Klein and Western Uranium Corporation, dated May 12, 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.20</td>
<td>Consulting Agreement between Baobab Asset Management LLC and Western Uranium Corporation, dated April 1, 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.21</td>
<td>Extension to Engagement Agreement between Robert Klein and Western Uranium Corporation, dated August 1, 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.22</td>
<td>Employment Agreement between Robert Klein and Western Uranium Corporation, dated November 13, 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.1</td>
<td>List of Subsidiaries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31.1</td>
<td>Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification of Chief Executive Officer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31.2</td>
<td>Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification of Chief Financial Officer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32.1</td>
<td>Section 1350 Certifications of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Schedules and exhibits omitted pursuant to Item 601(b)(2) of Regulation S-K. The Company agrees to furnish supplementally a copy of the omitted schedules and exhibits to the SEC upon request.**

* Filed herewith

(1) Incorporated by reference to the Company’s Form 8-K filed on October 12, 2016
(2) Previously filed as an exhibit with Amendment No. 2 to the Company’s Form 10 filed on July 22, 2016
(3) Previously filed as an exhibit with Amendment No. 1 to the Company’s Form 10 filed on June 22, 2016
(4) Previously filed as an exhibit to the Company’s Form 10 filed on April 29, 2016
(5) Previously filed as an exhibit to the Company’s Form 10-K filed on March 31, 2017
(6) Previously filed as an exhibit to the Company’s Form 10-Q filed on May 15, 2017
(7) Previously filed as an exhibit to the Company’s Form 10-Q filed on August 14, 2017

**ITEM 16. FORM 10-K SUMMARY**

Not applicable
Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Western Uranium Corporation

Dated: April 2, 2018
By: /s/ George Glasier
Name: George Glasier
Title: Chief Executive Officer, President and Director
(Principal Executive Officer)

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Dated: April 2, 2018
By: /s/ George Glasier
Name: George Glasier
Title: Chief Executive Officer, President and Director
(Principal Executive Officer)

Dated: April 2, 2018
By: /s/ Robert Klein
Name: Robert Klein
Title: Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)

Dated: April 2, 2018
By: /s/ Russell Fryer
Name: Russell Fryer
Title: Executive Chairman; Director

Dated: April 2, 2018
By: /s/ Bryan Murphy
Name: Bryan Murphy
Title: Director

Dated: April 2, 2018
By: /s/ Andrew Wilder
Name: Andrew Wilder
Title: Director
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REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders of
Western Uranium Corporation

Opinion on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Western Uranium Corporation (the “Company”) as of December 31, 2017 and 2016, and the related consolidated statements of operations and other comprehensive loss, shareholders’ equity and cash flows for the years then ended. In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of Western Uranium Corporation, as of December 31, 2017 and 2016, and the consolidated results of its operations and its cash flows for the years then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

The Company’s Ability to Continue as a Going Concern

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared assuming that the Company will continue as a going concern. As discussed in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements, the Company has incurred continuing losses from operations and is dependent upon future sources of equity or debt financing in order to fund its operations. These conditions raise substantial doubt about the Company’s ability to continue as a going concern. Management’s plans regarding those matters are also described in Note 2. The consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments to reflect the possible effects on the recoverability and classification of assets or the amounts and classification of liabilities that may result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

Basis for Opinion

These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Company’s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audits. We are a public accounting firm registered with the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States) (“PCAOB”) and are required to be independent with respect to the Company in accordance with the U.S. federal securities laws and the applicable rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission and the PCAOB.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the PCAOB. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement, whether due to error or fraud. The Company is not required to have, nor is engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. As part of our audits we are required to obtain an understanding of internal control over financial reporting but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company’s internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion.

Our audits included performing procedures to assess the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to error or fraud, and performing procedures that respond to those risks. Such procedures included examining, on a test basis, evidence regarding the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. Our audits also included evaluating the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

/s/ MNP LLP
Chartered Professional Accountants
Licensed Public Accountants

We have served as the Company’s auditor since April 7, 2015
Mississauga, Ontario
April 2, 2018
WESTERN URANIUM CORPORATION
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
(Stated in $USD)

As of December 31,

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Assets</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Current assets:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash</td>
<td>$427,020</td>
<td>$791,814</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prepaid expenses</td>
<td>190,435</td>
<td>80,734</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marketable securities</td>
<td>3,123</td>
<td>2,976</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restricted cash</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>215,976</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other current assets</td>
<td>64,763</td>
<td>22,047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total current assets</strong></td>
<td>$685,341</td>
<td>$1,113,547</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restricted cash</td>
<td>$820,434</td>
<td>$820,357</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mineral properties</td>
<td>$11,645,218</td>
<td>$11,645,218</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ablation intellectual property</td>
<td>$9,488,051</td>
<td>$9,488,051</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total assets</strong></td>
<td>$22,639,044</td>
<td>$23,067,173</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Liabilities and Shareholders’ Equity</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Liabilities</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current liabilities:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounts payable and accrued liabilities</td>
<td>$602,016</td>
<td>$769,907</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reclamation liability, current</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>215,976</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current portion of notes payable</td>
<td>487,450</td>
<td>183,125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deferred revenue, current portion</td>
<td>40,000</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total current liabilities</strong></td>
<td>$1,129,466</td>
<td>$1,169,008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reclamation liability, net of current portion</td>
<td>196,821</td>
<td>187,663</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deferred tax liability</td>
<td>$2,708,887</td>
<td>$4,063,330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deferred contingent consideration</td>
<td>$390,350</td>
<td>$372,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notes payable, net of discount and current portion</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>468,368</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deferred revenue, net of current portion</td>
<td>60,000</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total liabilities</strong></td>
<td>$4,485,524</td>
<td>$6,260,369</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Commitments</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Shareholders’ Equity</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Common stock, no par value, unlimited authorized shares, 20,510,806 and 18,886,497 shares issued as of December 31, 2017 and 2016, respectively and 20,510,500 and 18,886,497 shares outstanding as of December 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively</td>
<td>22,657,529</td>
<td>20,927,360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treasury shares, 306 and 0 shares held in treasury as of December 31, 2017 and 2016, respectively</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subscription receivable</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(28,429)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accumulated deficit</td>
<td>$4,540,143</td>
<td>$4,125,855</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accumulated other comprehensive income</td>
<td>36,134</td>
<td>33,728</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total shareholders’ equity</strong></td>
<td>$18,153,520</td>
<td>$16,806,804</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total liabilities and shareholders’ equity</strong></td>
<td>$22,639,044</td>
<td>$23,067,173</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The accompanying notes are in integral part of these consolidated financial statements.
WESTERN URANIUM CORPORATION  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS AND OTHER COMPREHENSIVE LOSS  
(Stated in $USD)  

For the Years Ended December 31,  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Revenues</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lease revenue</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Expenses</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mining expenditures</td>
<td>154,724</td>
<td>$389,832</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional fees</td>
<td>529,854</td>
<td>704,837</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General and administrative</td>
<td>723,387</td>
<td>546,607</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consulting fees</td>
<td>320,534</td>
<td>359,026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unrealized foreign exchange gain</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(128,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total operating expenses</strong></td>
<td>1,728,499</td>
<td>1,872,302</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Operating loss</strong></td>
<td>(1,708,499)</td>
<td>(1,872,302)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest expense, net</td>
<td>60,232</td>
<td>301,989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Loss before income taxes</strong></td>
<td>(1,768,731)</td>
<td>(2,174,291)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income tax benefit</td>
<td>(1,354,443)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net loss</strong></td>
<td>(414,288)</td>
<td>(2,174,291)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other comprehensive (loss) gain</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign exchange (loss) gain</td>
<td>2,406</td>
<td>(34,916)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Comprehensive loss</strong></td>
<td>$411,882</td>
<td>$2,209,207</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Loss per share - basic and diluted</strong></td>
<td>$0.02</td>
<td>$(0.13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weighted average shares outstanding, basic and diluted</td>
<td>19,569,504</td>
<td>17,045,568</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Common Shares</th>
<th>Treasury Shares</th>
<th>Subscription Receivable</th>
<th>Accumulated Deficit</th>
<th>Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Shares</td>
<td>Amount</td>
<td>Shares</td>
<td>Amount</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Balance as of January 1, 2016</strong></td>
<td>16,230,733</td>
<td>$17,658,042</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sale of 101,009 common shares on January 4, 2016 in private placement</strong></td>
<td>101,009</td>
<td>216,534</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sale of 465,357 units in April and May of 2016 in private placement</strong></td>
<td>465,347</td>
<td>622,174</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sale of 1,078,458 units on September 2, 2016 in private placement</strong></td>
<td>1,078,458</td>
<td>1,407,841</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sale of 1,010,950 units on December 30, 2016 in private placement</strong></td>
<td>1,010,950</td>
<td>870,447</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(28,429)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Stock based compensation - amortization of stock option expense</strong></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>152,322</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Foreign exchange loss</strong></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net loss</strong></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Balance as of December 31, 2016</strong></td>
<td>18,886,497</td>
<td>$20,927,360</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Issuance of shares to vendors and consultants</strong></td>
<td>53,788</td>
<td>83,338</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Receipt of subscription receivable</strong></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>28,429</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sale of 634,424 units on March 31, 2017 in private placement</strong></td>
<td>634,424</td>
<td>801,160</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sale of 509,763 units on September 15, 2017 in private placement</strong></td>
<td>509,763</td>
<td>343,105</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sale of 426,334 units on December 29, 2017 in private placement</strong></td>
<td>426,334</td>
<td>293,131</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Acquisition of shares into treasury</strong></td>
<td>(306)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>306</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Stock based compensation - stock options</strong></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>209,435</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Foreign exchange gain</strong></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net loss</strong></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Balance as of December 31, 2017</strong></td>
<td>20,510,500</td>
<td>$22,657,529</td>
<td>306</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.
## WESTERN URANIUM CORPORATION
### CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(Stated in $USD)

### For the Years December 31,

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cash Flows From Operating Activities:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net loss</td>
<td>$(414,288)</td>
<td>$(2,174,291)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reconciliation of net loss to cash used in operating activities:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accretion of reclamation liability</td>
<td>9,158</td>
<td>183,510</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amortization of debt discount on notes payable</td>
<td>21,521</td>
<td>51,316</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stock based compensation</td>
<td>209,435</td>
<td>152,322</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in foreign exchange on marketable securities</td>
<td>(147)</td>
<td>(96)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deferred income taxes</td>
<td>(1,354,443)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in operating assets and liabilities:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prepaid expenses and other current assets</td>
<td>(152,494)</td>
<td>32,602</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deferred contingent consideration</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(128,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounts payable and accrued liabilities, net of shares issued for accounts payable</td>
<td>(84,553)</td>
<td>(55,384)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deferred revenue</td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net cash used in operating activities</td>
<td>(1,665,811)</td>
<td>(1,938,021)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Cash Flows From Financing Activities:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Payment of Nueco Note</td>
<td>(185,564)</td>
<td>(90,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payment of Siebels Note</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(350,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proceeds from the sale of common stock in private placements, net of offering costs</td>
<td>1,437,396</td>
<td>2,890,269</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proceeds from Siebels Note</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receipt of subscription receivable</td>
<td>28,429</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net cash provided by financing activities</td>
<td>1,280,261</td>
<td>2,550,269</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Effect of foreign exchange rate on cash

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Net (decrease) increase in cash</td>
<td>(364,794)</td>
<td>577,332</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cash - beginning

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cash - beginning</td>
<td>791,814</td>
<td>214,482</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cash - ending

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cash - ending</td>
<td>$ 427,020</td>
<td>$ 791,814</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:

Cash paid during the period for:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Interest</td>
<td>$ 15,000</td>
<td>$ 15,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Non-cash financing activities:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Shares issued from subscription payable</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exchange of mortgage payable for land &amp; buildings</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shares issued for accounts payable and accrued expenses</td>
<td>$ 83,338</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There were no cash flows from investing activities during the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.
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NOTE 1 – BUSINESS

Nature of operations

Western Uranium Corporation (“Western” or the “Company”) was incorporated in December 2006 under the Ontario Business Corporations Act. On November 20, 2014, the Company completed a listing process on the Canadian Securities Exchange (“CSE”). As part of that process, the Company acquired 100% of the members’ interests of Pinon Ridge Mining LLC (“PRM”), a Delaware limited liability company. The transaction constituted a reverse takeover (“RTO”) of Western by PRM. Subsequent to obtaining appropriate shareholder approvals, the Company reconstituted its Board of Directors and senior management team. Effective September 16, 2015, Western completed its acquisition of Black Range Minerals Limited (“Black Range”) (see Note 5).

The Company has registered offices at 330 Bay Street, Suite 1400, Toronto, Ontario, Canada, M5H 2S8 and its common shares are listed on the CSE under the symbol “WUC.” On April 22, 2016, the Company’s shares of common stock began trading on the OTC Pink Open Market, and on May 23, 2016, the Company’s common stock was approved for the commencement of trading on the OTCQX Best Market. Its principal business activity is the acquisition and development of uranium resource properties in the states of Utah and Colorado in the United States of America (“United States”).

On June 28, 2016, the Company’s registration statement became effective and Western became a United States reporting issuer. Thereafter, the Company was approved for Depository Trust Company eligibility through the Depository Trust and Clearing Corporation, which facilitates electronic book-entry delivery, settlement and depository services for shares in the United States.

NOTE 2 – LIQUIDITY AND GOING CONCERN

The Company has incurred continuing losses from its operations and as of December 31, 2017 the Company had an accumulated deficit of $4,540,143 and a working capital deficiency of $444,125.

Since inception, the Company has met its liquidity requirements principally through the issuance of notes and the sale of its shares of common stock.

The Company’s ability to continue its operations and to pay its obligations when they become due is contingent upon the Company obtaining additional financing. Management’s plans include seeking to procure additional funds through debt and equity financings, to secure regulatory approval to fully utilize its ablation technology and to initiate the processing of ore to generate operating cash flows.

F- 6
NOTE 2 – LIQUIDITY AND GOING CONCERN, CONTINUED

There are no assurances that the Company will be able to raise capital on terms acceptable to the Company or at all, or that cash flows generated from its operations will be sufficient to meet its current operating costs and required debt service. If the Company is unable to obtain sufficient amounts of additional capital, it may be required to reduce the scope of its planned product development, which could harm its financial condition and operating results, or it may not be able to continue to fund its ongoing operations. These conditions raise substantial doubt about the Company’s ability to continue as a going concern to sustain operations for at least one year from the issuance of these financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of these uncertainties.

During the year ended December 31, 2016, the Company raised USD $3,088,567 in net proceeds from the issuance of 2,655,764 units in private placements. Each unit contained one common share and a warrant for the purchase of one common share with exercise prices ranging from CAD $2.60 to CAD $3.50 (USD $2.05 to USD $2.77 as of December 31, 2017). The Company also issued broker warrants to purchase shares of common stock (see Note 10).

During the year ended December 31, 2017, the Company raised USD $1,437,396 in net proceeds from the issuance of 1,570,521 units in private placements. Each unit contains one common share and a warrant for the purchase of one common share with exercise prices ranging from CAD $1.40 to CAD $3.25 (USD $0.95 to USD $2.58 as of December 31, 2017). The Company also issued broker warrants to purchase shares of common stock (see Note 10).

NOTE 3 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Basis of Presentation and Principles of Consolidation

These consolidated financial statements are presented in United States dollars and have been prepared in accordance with United States generally accepted accounting principles (“U.S. GAAP”).

The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Western and its wholly-owned subsidiaries, Western Uranium Corporation (Utah), PRM, Black Range, Black Range Copper Inc., Ranger Resources Inc., Black Range Minerals Inc., Black Range Minerals Colorado LLC, Black Range Minerals Wyoming LLC, Haggerty Resources LLC, Ranger Alaska LLC, Black Range Minerals Utah LLC, Black Range Minerals Ablation Holdings Inc. and Black Range Development Utah LLC. All significant inter-company transactions and balances have been eliminated upon consolidation.

The Company has established the existence of mineralized materials for certain uranium projects. The Company has not established proven or probable reserves, as defined by the United States Securities and Exchange Commission (the “SEC”) under Industry Guide 7, through the completion of a “final” or “bankable” feasibility study for any of its uranium projects.

Exploration Stage

In accordance with U.S. GAAP, expenditures relating to the acquisition of mineral rights are initially capitalized as incurred while exploration and pre-extraction expenditures are expensed as incurred until such time the Company exits the Exploration Stage by establishing proven or probable reserves. Expenditures relating to exploration activities such as drill programs to search for additional mineralized materials are expensed as incurred. Expenditures relating to pre-extraction activities such as the construction of mine wellfields, ion exchange facilities and disposal wells are expensed as incurred until such time proven or probable reserves are established for that uranium project, after which subsequent expenditures relating to mine development activities for that particular project are capitalized as incurred.
NOTE 3 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES, CONTINUED

Exploration Stage, continued

Companies in the Production Stage as defined under Industry Guide 7, having established proven and probable reserves and exited the Exploration Stage, typically capitalize expenditures relating to ongoing development activities, with corresponding depletion calculated over proven and probable reserves using the units-of-production method and allocated to future reporting periods to inventory and, as that inventory is sold, to cost of goods sold. The Company is in the Exploration Stage which has resulted in the Company reporting larger losses than if it had been in the Production Stage due to the expensing, instead of capitalizing, of expenditures relating to ongoing mill and mine development activities. Additionally, there would be no corresponding amortization allocated to future reporting periods of the Company since those costs would have been expensed previously, resulting in both lower inventory costs and cost of goods sold and results of operations with higher gross profits and lower losses than if the Company had been in the Production Stage. Any capitalized costs, such as expenditures relating to the acquisition of mineral rights, are depleted over the estimated extraction life using the straight-line method. As a result, the Company’s consolidated financial statements may not be directly comparable to the financial statements of companies in the Production Stage.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of consolidated financial statements in conformity with U.S. GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amount of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and revenues and expenses during the periods reported. By their nature, these estimates are subject to measurement uncertainty and the effects on the financial statements of changes in such estimates in future periods could be significant. Significant areas requiring management’s estimates and assumptions include determining the fair value of transactions involving common stock, assessment of the useful life and evaluation for impairment of intangible assets, valuation and impairment assessments on mineral properties, deferred contingent consideration, and the reclamation liability, valuation of stock-based compensation, valuation of available-for-sale securities and valuation of long-term debt. Other areas requiring estimates include allocations of expenditures, depletion and amortization of mineral rights and properties. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Foreign Currency Translation

The reporting currency of the Company, including its subsidiaries, is the United States dollar. The financial statements of subsidiaries located outside of the U.S. are measured in their functional currency, which is the local currency. The functional currency of the parent (Western Uranium Corporation (Ontario)) is the Canadian dollar. Monetary assets and liabilities of these subsidiaries are translated at the exchange rates at the balance sheet date. Income and expense items are translated using average monthly exchange rates. Non-monetary assets are translated at their historical exchange rates. Translation adjustments are included in accumulated other comprehensive loss in the consolidated balance sheets.

Segment Information

The Company determines its reporting units in accordance with FASB ASC 280, “Segment Reporting” (“ASC 280”). The Company evaluates a reporting unit by first identifying its operating segments under ASC 280. The Company then evaluates each operating segment to determine if it includes one or more components that constitute a business. If there are components within an operating segment that meet the definition of a business, the Company evaluates those components to determine if they must be aggregated into one or more reporting units. If applicable, when determining if it is appropriate to aggregate different operating segments, the Company determines if the segments are economically similar and, if so, the operating segments are aggregated. The Company has one operating segment and reporting unit. The Company operates in one reportable business segment; the Company is in the business of exploring, developing, mining and the production of its uranium and vanadium resource properties, including the utilization of the Company’s ablation technology in its mining processes. The Company is organized and operated as one business. Management reviews its business as a single operating segment, using financial and other information rendered meaningful only by the fact that such information is presented and reviewed in the aggregate.
NOTE 3 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES, CONTINUED

Cash and Cash Equivalents

The Company considers all highly-liquid instruments with an original maturity of three months or less at the time of issuance to be cash equivalents. As of December 31, 2017 and 2016, the Company had no cash equivalents.

 Marketable Securities

The Company classifies its marketable securities as available-for-sale securities, which are carried at their fair value based on the quoted market prices of the securities with unrealized gains and losses reported as accumulated comprehensive income (loss), a separate component of shareholders’ equity. Realized gains and losses on available-for-sale securities are included in net earnings in the period earned or incurred.

Restricted Cash

Certain cash balances are restricted as they relate to deposits with banks that have been assigned to state reclamation authorities in the United States to secure various reclamation guarantees with respect to mineral properties in Utah, Alaska and Colorado. As these funds are not available for general corporate purposes and secure the long term reclamation liability (see Note 6), they have been separately disclosed and classified as long-term.

Revenue Recognition

The Company leases certain of its mineral properties for the exploration and production of oil and gas reserves. Lease payments received in advance are deferred and recognized on a straight – line basis over the related lease term associated with the prepayment. Royalty payments are recognized as revenues when received.

Fair Values of Financial Instruments

The carrying amounts of cash and cash equivalents, restricted cash, accounts payable, accrued liabilities, and notes payable approximate their fair value due to the short-term nature of these instruments. Marketable securities are adjusted to fair value at each balance sheet date based on quoted prices which are considered level 1 inputs. The reclamation deposits, which are reflected in restricted cash on the consolidated balance sheets, are deposits mainly invested in certificates of deposit at major financial institutions and their fair values were estimated to approximate their carrying values. The Company’s operations and financing activities are conducted primarily in United States dollars and as a result, the Company is not subject to significant exposure to market risks from changes in foreign currency rates. The Company is exposed to credit risk through its cash and restricted cash, but mitigates this risk by keeping these deposits at major financial institutions.

ASC 820 “Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures” provides the framework for measuring fair value. That framework provides a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value. The hierarchy gives the highest priority to unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (level 1 measurements) and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs (level 3 measurements).
**NOTE 3 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES, CONTINUED**

**Fair Values of Financial Instruments, continued**

Fair value is defined as an exit price, representing the amount that would be received upon the sale of an asset or payment to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants. Fair value is a market-based measurement that is determined based on assumptions that market participants would use in pricing an asset or liability. A three-tier fair value hierarchy is used to prioritize the inputs in measuring fair value as follows:

- **Level 1** Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.
- **Level 2** Quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active, or other inputs that are observable, either directly or indirectly.
- **Level 3** Significant unobservable inputs that cannot be corroborated by market data.

The fair value of financial instruments in the Company’s consolidated financial statements at December 31, 2017 and 2016 are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets or Liabilities (Level 1)</th>
<th>Quoted Prices for Similar Assets or Liabilities in Active Markets (Level 2)</th>
<th>Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Marketable securities as of December 31, 2017</td>
<td>$3,123</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marketable securities as of December 31, 2016</td>
<td>$2,976</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Mineral Properties**

Acquisition costs of mineral properties are capitalized as incurred while exploration and pre-extraction expenditures are expensed as incurred until such time the Company exits the Exploration Stage by establishing proven or probable reserves, as defined by the SEC under Industry Guide 7, through the completion of a “final” or “bankable” feasibility study. Expenditures relating to exploration activities are expensed as incurred and expenditures relating to pre-extraction activities are expensed as incurred until such time proven or probable reserves are established for that project, after which subsequent expenditures relating to development activities for that particular project are capitalized as incurred.

Where proven and probable reserves have been established, the project’s capitalized expenditures are depleted over proven and probable reserves upon commencement of production using the units-of-production method. Where proven and probable reserves have not been established, such capitalized expenditures are depleted over the estimated production life upon commencement of extraction using the straight-line method. The Company has not established proven or probable reserves for any of its projects.

The carrying values of the mineral properties are assessed for impairment by management.
NOTE 3 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES, CONTINUED

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets

The Company reviews and evaluates its long-lived assets for impairment when events or changes in circumstances indicate that the related carrying amounts may not be recoverable. Impairment is considered to exist if the total estimated future cash flows on an undiscounted basis are less than the carrying amount of the assets. An impairment loss is measured and recorded based on discounted estimated future cash flows or upon an estimate of fair value that may be received in an exchange transaction. Future cash flows are estimated based on estimated quantities of recoverable minerals, expected U3O8 prices (considering current and historical prices, trends and related factors), production levels, operating costs of production and capital and restoration and reclamation costs, based upon the projected remaining future uranium production from each project. The Company’s long-lived assets (which include its mineral assets and ablation intellectual property) were acquired during the end of 2014 and in 2015 in arms-length transactions. As of December 31, 2017, the Company evaluated the total estimated future cash flows on an undiscounted basis for its mineral properties and ablation intellectual property and determined that no impairment was deemed to exist. Estimates and assumptions used to assess recoverability of the Company’s long-lived assets and measure fair value of our uranium properties are subject to risk uncertainty. Changes in these estimates and assumptions could result in the impairment of its long-lived assets. In estimating future cash flows, assets are grouped at the lowest level for which there are identifiable cash flows that are largely independent of future cash flows from other asset groups.

Income Taxes

The Company utilizes an asset and liability approach for financial accounting and reporting for income taxes. The provision for income taxes is based upon income or loss after adjustment for those permanent items that are not considered in the determination of taxable income. Deferred income taxes represent the tax effects of differences between the financial reporting and tax basis of the Company’s assets and liabilities at the enacted tax rates in effect for the years in which the differences are expected to reverse. The Company evaluates the recoverability of deferred tax assets and establishes a valuation allowance when it is more likely than not that some portion or all the deferred tax assets will not be realized. Management makes judgments as to the interpretation of the tax laws that might be challenged upon an audit and cause changes to previous estimates of tax liability. In management’s opinion, adequate provisions for income taxes have been made. If actual taxable income by tax jurisdiction varies from estimates, additional allowances or reversals of reserves may be necessary.

Tax benefits are recognized only for tax positions that are more likely than not to be sustained upon examination by tax authorities. The amount recognized is measured as the largest amount of benefit that is greater than 50 percent likely to be realized upon settlement. A liability for “unrecognized tax benefits” is recorded for any tax benefits claimed in the Company’s tax returns that do not meet these recognition and measurement standards. As of December 31, 2017 and 2016, no liability for unrecognized tax benefits was required to be reported.

The Company’s policy for recording interest and penalties associated with tax audits is to record such items as a component of general and administrative expense. There were no amounts accrued for penalties and interest for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016. The Company does not expect its uncertain tax position to change during the next twelve months. Management is currently unaware of any issues under review that could result in significant payments, accruals or material deviations from its position.

The Company has identified its federal tax return and its state tax returns in Colorado and Utah as its “major” tax jurisdictions, and such returns for the years 2014 through 2017 remain subject to examination.

The Tax Cuts and Jobs Act (the “Tax Act”) was enacted on December 22, 2017. The Tax Act reduces the U.S. federal corporate tax rate from 35% to 21%. As of the completion of these consolidated financial statements and related disclosures, we have made a reasonable estimate of the effects of the Tax Act. This estimate incorporates assumptions made based upon the Company’s current interpretation of the Tax Act, and may change as the Company may receive additional clarification and implementation guidance and as the interpretation of the Tax Act evolves. In accordance with SEC Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 118, the Company will finalize the accounting for the effects of the Tax Act no later than the fourth quarter of 2018. Future adjustments made to the provisional effects will be reported as a component of income tax expense in the reporting period in which any such adjustments are determined. See Note 12 for additional information. Based on the new tax law that lowers corporate tax rates, the Company revalued its deferred tax assets. Future tax benefits are expected to be lower, with the corresponding one time charge being recorded as a component of income tax expense.
**Restoration and Remediation Costs (Asset Retirement Obligations)**

Various federal and state mining laws and regulations require the Company to reclaim the surface areas and restore underground water quality for its mine projects to the pre-existing mine area average quality after the completion of mining.

Future reclamation and remediation costs, which include extraction equipment removal and environmental remediation, are accrued at the end of each period based on management’s best estimate of the costs expected to be incurred for each project. Such estimates are determined by the Company’s engineering studies which consider the costs of future surface and groundwater activities, current regulations, actual expenses incurred, and technology and industry standards.

In accordance with ASC 410, Asset Retirement and Environmental Obligations, the Company capitalizes the measured fair value of asset retirement obligations to mineral properties. The asset retirement obligations are accreted to an undiscounted value until the time at which they are expected to be settled. The accretion expense is charged to earnings and the actual retirement costs are recorded against the asset retirement obligations when incurred. Any difference between the recorded asset retirement obligations and the actual retirement costs incurred will be recorded as a gain or loss in the period of settlement.

At each reporting period, the Company reviews the assumptions used to estimate the expected cash flows required to settle the asset retirement obligations, including changes in estimated probabilities, amounts and timing of the settlement of the asset retirement obligations, as well as changes in the legal obligation requirements at each of its mineral properties. Changes in any one or more of these assumptions may cause revision of asset retirement obligations for the corresponding assets.

**Deferred Financing Costs**

Deferred financing costs represent costs incurred in connection with the issuance of debt. Once the associated debt instrument is issued, these costs would be recorded as a debt discount and amortized to interest expense using the effective interest method over the term of the related debt instrument. Upon the abandonment of a pending financing transaction, the related deferred financing costs would be charged to general and administrative expense.

The Company may also issue warrants or other equity instruments in connection with the issuance of debt instruments. The equity instruments are recorded at their relative fair market value on the date of issuance which results in a debt discount which is amortized to interest expense using the effective interest method.

**Stock-Based Compensation**

The Company follows ASC 718, Compensation - Stock Compensation, which addresses the accounting for stock-based payment transactions, requiring such transactions to be accounted for using the fair value method. Awards of shares for property or services are recorded at the more readily measurable of the fair value of the stock and the fair value of the service. The Company uses the Black-Scholes option-pricing model to determine the grant date fair value of stock-based awards under ASC 718. The fair value is charged to earnings depending on the terms and conditions of the award, and the nature of the relationship of the recipient of the award to the Company. The Company records the grant date fair value in line with the period over which it was earned. For employees and management, this is typically considered to be the vesting period of the award. For consultants the fair value of the award is recorded over the term of the service period, and unvested amounts are revalued at each reporting period over the service period. The Company estimates the expected forfeitures and updates the valuation accordingly.
NOTE 3 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES, CONTINUED

**Loss per Share**

Basic net loss per share is computed by dividing net loss by the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding during the period. Diluted earnings per share is computed using the weighted average number of common shares and, if dilutive, potential common shares outstanding during the period. Potential common shares consist of the incremental common shares issuable upon the exercise of stock options and warrants (using the treasury stock method). The computation of basic net loss per share for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016 excludes potentially dilutive securities. The computations of net loss per share for each period presented is the same for both basic and fully diluted.

Potentially dilutive securities outlined in the table below have been excluded from the computation of diluted net loss per share because the effect of their inclusion would have been anti-dilutive.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>For the Year Ended December 31,</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Warrants to purchase shares of common stock</td>
<td>4,095,563</td>
<td>2,655,764</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Options to purchase shares of common stock</td>
<td>1,846,996</td>
<td>1,346,996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total potentially dilutive securities</strong></td>
<td><strong>5,942,559</strong></td>
<td><strong>4,002,760</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE 4 – RECENT ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

In May 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2014-09, “Revenue from Contracts with Customers” (Topic 606) (“ASU 2014-09”), which supersedes the revenue recognition requirements in ASC Topic 605, “Revenue Recognition,” and most industry-specific guidance. ASU No. 2014-09 is based on the principle that revenue is recognized to depict the transfer of goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the entity expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods or services. The ASU also requires additional disclosure about the nature, amount, timing and uncertainty of revenue and cash flows arising from customer contracts, including significant judgments and changes in judgments, and assets recognized from costs incurred to obtain or fulfill a contract. The amendments in the ASU must be applied using one of two retrospective methods and are effective for annual and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2016. On July 9, 2015, the FASB modified ASU 2014-09 to be effective for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2017, including interim periods within that reporting period. As modified, the FASB permits the adoption of the new revenue standard to all interim reporting periods within the year of adoption. In April 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-10 “Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606)”, “Identifying Performance Obligations and Licensing” (“ASU 2016-10”). ASU 2016-10 clarifies the following two aspects of Topic 606: identifying performance obligations and the licensing implementation guidance, while retaining the related principles for those areas. The provisions of this update are effective for annual and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2017, with early application permitted. In May 2016, the FASB issued Topic ASU No. 2016-12 “Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606)”, “Narrow-Scope Improvements and Practical Expedients” (“ASU 2016-12”). The core principal of ASU 2016-12 is the recognition of revenue to depict the transfer of promised goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the entity expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods or services. In December 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-20. “Technical Corrections and Improvements to Topic 606. Revenue from Contracts with Customers”. This update is a comprehensive revenue recognition standard that applies to all entities that have contracts with customers, except for those that fall within the scope of other standards, such as insurance contracts. The amendment also clarifies narrow aspects of ASC 606 or corrects unintended application of the guidance. The update is now effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2017. The Company has minimal revenues. The Company expects to implement ASU 2014-09 on January 1, 2018, pursuant to which it will utilize the modified retrospective approach. The Company does not believe that ASU 2014-09 will have a material impact on its consolidated financial position and results of operations.
NOTE 4 – RECENT ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS, CONTINUED

On February 25, 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-02, Leases (Topic 842). This update will require organizations that lease assets to recognize on the balance sheet the assets and liabilities for the rights and obligations created by those leases. The new guidance will also require additional disclosures about the amount, timing and uncertainty of cash flows arising from leases. The provisions of this update are effective for annual and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2018. The Company is currently evaluating the impact the adoption of this ASU will have on the Company’s consolidated financial position and results of operations.

In June 2016 the FASB issued Topic ASU No. 2016-13 “Financial Instruments – Credit Losses: Measurement of Credit Losses on Financial Instruments (Topic 326)” (“ASU 2016-13”). ASU 2016-13 changes the impairment model for most financial assets. The new model uses a forward-looking expected loss method, which will generally result in earlier recognition of allowances for losses. ASU 2016-13 is effective for annual and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2019 and early adoption is permitted for annual and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2018. The Company is currently evaluating the impact the adoption of this ASU will have on the Company’s consolidated financial position and results of operations.

In August 2016 the FASB issued Topic ASU No. 2016-15 “Statement of Cash Flows (Topic 230) – Classification of Certain Cash Receipts and Cash Payments” (“ASU 2016-15”). ASU 2016-15 clarifies diversity in practice in how certain cash receipts and cash payments are presented and classified in the statement of cash flows. The update to the standard is effective for the Company beginning January 1, 2018, with early application permitted. The Company expects to adopt this ASU on January 1, 2018 and it does not expect it to have a material impact on its consolidated financial position and results of operations.

In November 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-18, “Statement of Cash Flows (Topic 230): Restricted Cash” (“ ASU 2016-18”). ASU 2016-18 amends the classification and presentation of changes in restricted cash or restricted cash equivalents in the statement of cash flows. ASU 2016-18 is effective for the Company’s fiscal year beginning January 1, 2018. Early adoption is permitted. The Company expects to adopt this ASU on January 1, 2018 and it does not expect it to have a material impact on its consolidated financial position and results of operations.

In December 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-20. “Technical Corrections and Improvements to Topic 606. Revenue from Contracts with Customers”. This update is a comprehensive revenue recognition standard that applies to all entities that have contracts with customers, except for those that fall within the scope of other standards, such as insurance contracts. The amendment also clarifies narrow aspects of ASC 606 or corrects unintended application of the guidance. The update is now effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2017.
NOTE 4 – RECENT ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS, CONTINUED

In January 2017, the FASB issued ASU No. 2017-01. “Business Combinations (Topic 805): Clarifying the Definition of a Business (“ASU 2017-01”). ASU 2017-01 provides a more robust framework to use in determining when a set of assets and activities is a business. Also the amendments provide more consistency in applying the guidance, reducing the costs of application, and make the definition of a business more operable. The guidance is effective for public companies for annual periods beginning after December 15, 2017, including interim periods within those periods. The Company expects to implement ASU 2017-01 on January 1, 2018, it expects that such implementation will not have a material impact on the Company’s consolidated financial position and results of operations.

In January 2017, the FASB issued ASU No. 2017-04. “Intangibles – Goodwill and Other (Topic 350): Simplifying the Test for Goodwill Impairment. The guidance removes Step 2 of the goodwill impairment test, which requires a hypothetical purchase price allocation. A goodwill impairment will now be the amount by which a reporting unit’s carrying value exceeds its fair value, not to exceed the carrying amount of goodwill. The ASU is effective for annual and interim impairment tests performed in periods beginning after December 15, 2019. Early adoption is permitted for annual and interim goodwill impairment testing dates after January 1, 2017. ASU No. 2017-04 will be effective for the Company as of January 1, 2020. The Company is currently evaluating the impact that the adoption of this ASU will have on the Company’s consolidated financial statements and whether it may be early adopted prior to the effective date.

In May 2017, the FASB issued ASU No. 2017-09. “Compensation – Stock Compensation (Topic 718): Scope of Modification Accounting. The guidance provides clarity and reduces both (1) diversity in practice and (2) cost and complexity when applying the guidance in Topic 718, Compensation – Stock Compensation, to a change to the terms or conditions of a share-based payment award. The ASU is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2017 and interim periods within those fiscal years, with early adoption permitted. The Company expects to implement ASU 2017-01 on January 1, 2018, it expects that such implementation will not have a material impact on the Company’s consolidated financial statements.

NOTE 5 - MINERAL ASSETS, ABLATION INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY AND OTHER PROPERTY

The Company’s mining properties acquired on August 18, 2014 that the Company retains as of December 31 2017, include: San Rafael Uranium Project located in Emery County, Utah; The Sunday Mine Complex located in western San Miguel County, Colorado; The Van 4 Mine located in western Monro 1990 located in Colorado; The Sage Mine project located in San Juan County, Utah, and San Miguel County, Colorado. These mining properties include leased land in the states of Colorado and Utah. None of these mining properties were operational at the date of acquisition.

The Company’s mining properties acquired on September 16, 2015 that the Company retains as of December 31, 2017, include Hansen, North Hansen, High Park, Hansen Picnic Tree, and Taylor Ranch, located in Fremont and Teller Counties, Colorado. The Company also acquired the Keota project located in Weld County, Wyoming and Ferris Haggerty located in Carbon County Wyoming. These mining assets include both owned and leased land in the states of Utah, Colorado and Wyoming. All of the mining assets represent properties which have previously been mined to different degrees for uranium.

As the Company has not formally established proven or probable reserves on any of its properties, there is inherent uncertainty as to whether or not any mineralized material can be economically extracted as originally planned and anticipated.

On February 16, 2017, the Company’s Boyer Ranch lease reached its expiration date and the Company elected not to renew the lease. The forfeiture of this lease has no material adverse impact on the fair value of the Company’s mineral properties or its future plans.

On September 16, 2015, in connection with the Company’s acquisition of Black Range, the Company assumed an option and exploration agreement (the “Option and Exploration Agreement”) with STB Minerals, LLC, a Colorado limited liability company (“STB”). The Option and Exploration Agreement gives the Company the right to purchase 51% of the mineral rights of specific areas of the Hansen and Picnic Tree deposits (for which the Company already holds 49% of the rights). If the Company were to exercise its option under the Option and Exploration Agreement, it would require the Company to (a) make a cash payment of $2,500,000 immediately upon exercise; (b) issue shares of common stock to STB amounting to a value of $3,750,000 immediately upon exercise; and (c) issue shares of common stock to STB amounting to a value of $3,750,000 on the date that is 180 days following exercise. The Option and Exploration Agreement was scheduled to expire by its terms on July 28, 2017 if not exercised.
The Option and Exploration Agreement provided an extension for an “event of force majeure”. Under this clause, the Company would receive an extension of the period during which it could exercise its option if it experiences an unreasonable delay outside its control that prevents it from exercising the option. On May 10, 2017, the Company provided to STB a notice that it was exercising the force majeure clause due to the delay by government regulators in licensing the Company’s ablation technology and permitting mining at the Hansen property. STB has contested the Company’s finding that an event of force majeure has occurred. Ongoing negotiations continued until September 21, 2017 when the Company and STB agreed to settle the matter through the pre-established arbitration mechanism. Prior to the commencement of arbitration, a settlement was agreed to on February 28, 2018 through the execution of an Amendment of Option and Exploration Agreement. As consideration, the Company paid STB a $20,000 extension payment and granted STB the right to seek a bona fide written offer over the remaining term, and agreed to the removal of the force majeure clause from the agreement. The Company received an extension until July 28, 2019 and a right of first refusal to match any bona fide written offer. Hence the Company already owns 49% of the resource property and retains an option to purchase the 51% of the resource property that the Company does not already own for the duration of the agreement. Further the Company believes the execution of this agreement is without financial implications, and as such, the Company has not made any adjustment to these consolidated financials related to this matter.

A prior owner of the Van 4 Mine had been granted a first Temporary Cessation from reclamation of the mine by the Colorado Mined Land Reclamation Board (“MLRB”) which was set to expire June 23, 2017. Prior to its expiration, PRM formally requested an extension through a second Temporary Cessation. PRM subsequently, participated in a public process which culminated in a hearing on July 26, 2017. Prior to the hearing, three non-profit organizations who pursue environmental and conservation objectives filed a brief objecting to the extension. The MLRB board members voted to grant a second five-year Temporary Cessation for the Van 4 Mine. Thereafter the three objecting parties filed a lawsuit on September 18, 2017. The MLRB was named as the defendant and PRM was named as a party to the case due to the Colorado law requirement that any lawsuit filed after a hearing include all of the parties to the proceeding. The plaintiff organizations are seeking for the court to set aside the board order granting a second five-year Temporary Cessation period to PRM for the Van 4 Mine. The Colorado state Attorney General is defending this action which is pending in the Denver Colorado District Court. As a party to this action neither the Company, nor its wholly owned subsidiary, PRM has taken any action and is monitoring this lawsuit.

The Company’s mineral properties and ablation intellectual property are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>As of December 31,</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mineral properties</td>
<td>$11,645,218</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ablation intellectual property</td>
<td>$9,488,051</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Oil and Gas Lease**

On July 18, 2017, an oil and gas lease became effective with respect to minerals and mineral rights owned by of the Company of approximately 160 surface acres of the Company’s property in Colorado. As consideration for entering into the lease, the Company received $120,000 during the third quarter of 2017. The lease will be in force for an initial term of three years and may be extended by the lessee at 150% of the initial rate. The lessee has also agreed to pay the Company a royalty of 18.75% of the lessee’s revenue attributed to oil and gas produced, saved, and sold attributable to the net mineral interest.

The $120,000 will be recognized as revenue incrementally over the term of the lease. The Company recognized $20,000 and $0 of revenue during the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016, respectively.
Reclamation Liabilities

The Company’s mines are subject to certain asset retirement obligations, which the Company has recorded as reclamation liabilities. The reclamation liabilities of the United States mines are subject to legal and regulatory requirements, and estimates of the costs of reclamation are reviewed periodically by the applicable regulatory authorities. The reclamation liability represents the Company’s best estimate of the present value of future reclamation costs in connection with the mineral properties. The Company determined the gross reclamation liabilities of the mineral properties as of December 31, 2017 and, 2016 to be approximately $1,036,333. During the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016, the accretion of the reclamation liabilities was $9,158 and $183,510, respectively. The Company expects to begin incurring the reclamation liability after 2054 and accordingly, has discounted the gross liabilities over their remaining lives using a discount rate of 5.4% to net discounted aggregated values as of December 31, 2017 and 2016 of $196,821 and $403,639, respectively. The gross reclamation liabilities as of December 31, 2017 are secured by certificates of deposit in the amount of $1,036,410.

During the second quarter of 2016, the Company initiated actions to cancel its coal mining leases in Alaska. In connection therewith, the Company notified the state of Alaska of its intent to forfeit the posted bond in satisfaction of the reclamation liabilities at the site. In response to the Company’s notification, the Company received notification that the state of Alaska was initiating forfeiture of the Company’s performance bond for reclamation. However, the notice indicated an additional surety bond of $150,000 in excess of the $210,500 cash bond which had been posted by the Company upon purchase of the property. The Company and its advisors do not believe that it is obligated for this additional amount of claimed reclamation obligation. The Company is working with its legal counsel and the State of Alaska to resolve this matter. The Company has not recorded an additional $150,000 obligation as the Company does not expect, based on the advice of legal counsel, to be obligated to an amount greater than that presently reflected in the reclamation liability. On January 20, 2017, the state of Alaska notified the Company that its reclamation bond had been forfeited and that it was unlikely that any additional amount would be due to Alaska pursuant to the Company’s reclamation obligations and since January 20, 2017, the Company has received no further communications. On December 31, 2017, the Company wrote off the reclamation liability related to this property and the related bond, as the Company does not expect that the obligation to remediate will be in excess of the value of the bond.

Reclamation liability activity consists of:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>For the years ended December 31,</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beginning balance</td>
<td>$403,639</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accretion</td>
<td>9,158</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Write-off of Alaska reclamation liability</td>
<td>(215,976)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ending Balance</td>
<td>$196,821</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Less current portion            | -       | 215,976  |
Non-current portion              | $196,821 | $187,663 |
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NOTE 6 - ACCOUNTS PAYABLE AND ACCRUED LIABILITIES

Accounts payable and accrued liabilities consisted of:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>As of December 31,</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trade accounts payable</td>
<td>$453,618</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accrued liabilities</td>
<td>148,398</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$602,016</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE 7 - NOTES PAYABLE

**EFHC Note**

On August 18, 2014, in connection with the purchase of certain of the mineral properties, the Company entered into a note payable with Energy Fuels Holding Corporation (“EFHC”) (the “EFHC Note”) for $500,000. The EFHC Note bears interest at a rate of 3.0% per annum and is secured by a first priority interest in certain of the Company’s mineral properties. On the date of the purchase, the Company recorded the EFHC Note net of a discount for interest of $73,971 at a rate of 4% per annum, resulting in a total effective interest rate of 7% per annum. The discount is being amortized using the effective interest method over the life of the loan. All principal on the EFHC Note is due and payable on August 18, 2018 and interest on the EFHC Note is due and payable annually beginning August 18, 2015.

**Nueco Note**

On August 18, 2014, also in connection with the purchase of the mining properties, the Company entered into a Note Assumption Agreement with EFHC and Nuclear Energy Corporation (“Nueco”), whereby the Company assumed all of the obligations of EFHC under its note payable with Nueco (the “Nueco Note”). The Nueco Note bears no stated interest rate and is secured by certain of the Company’s mining assets. On the date of the purchase, the Company recorded the Nueco Note net of a discount for interest of $23,724 at a rate of 7% per annum. The discount is being amortized using the effective interest method over the life of the loan. The Nueco Note payment due on December 20, 2014 in the amount of $250,180 was made on January 5, 2015 without penalty other than additional interest at 6% per annum. As of December 31, 2015, the Nueco Note had a remaining obligation outstanding of $250,180, the due date of which was extended to January 13, 2016. In connection with the extension, the Company agreed to add interest from the date of October 13, 2015 until the date paid at the annual rate of one percent (1%) per annum.

On February 8, 2016, the Company and the lender agreed to further extend the maturity of the Nueco Note to June 2016. In consideration for the extension the Company increased the principal amount by 10% (or $25,384), increased the interest rate to 6% per annum and paid a $5,000 fee that did not reduce the interest or principal. On June 20, 2016, the Company further extended the maturity of the Nueco Note to July 31, 2016. In consideration for the extension, the Company paid a $5,000 fee that did not reduce the interest or principal on the Nueco Note.

On August 8, 2016, accrued interest was paid in the amount of $13,477. On August 16, 2016, the Company further extended the maturity of the Nueco Note to November 16, 2016. In consideration for the extension, the Company paid a fee of $10,000 which did not reduce the interest or principal on the Nueco Note. Further, a principal payment of $90,000 was made on August 23, 2016, which reduced the outstanding principal amount to $185,564. The August 16, 2016 extension was accounted for as a modification, and as such, the extension fees were accounted for as additional debt discount and were amortized over the remaining extended term of the note.

On November 29, 2016, the Company and the lender agreed to further extend the maturity of the Nueco Note to January 31, 2017. In consideration for the extension, the Company paid a $5,000 fee that did not reduce the principal or interest on the Nueco Note. The Company also made a payment of $5,155, which represented interest on the Nueco Note through January 31, 2017.
Note 7 - Notes Payable, Continued

Nueco Note, Continued

On February 1, 2017, the Company and lender agreed to further extend the maturity of the Nueco Note to the earlier of (a) five days after the next closing of a private placement; or (b) April 15, 2017. In consideration for the extension, the Company paid to the lender a payment in the amount of $100,000 which represented (i) a principal reduction of $85,564; (ii) $1,186 for a prepayment of interest through April 15, 2017; and (iii) a payment of $13,250 which is a fee which does not reduce the principal or interest on the Nueco Note.

On March 31, 2017, the Company repaid the Nueco Note in full.

Siebels Note

On February 22, 2016, the Company entered into a note payable with Siebels Hard Assets Fund, Ltd. for $100,000. The note bore interest at a rate of 18.0% per annum and matured on April 22, 2016. On April 28, 2016, the Company repaid this note in full.

Notes Payable Summary

Notes payable consisted of:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Note</th>
<th>Principal</th>
<th>Discount</th>
<th>Balance, Net of Discount</th>
<th>Current</th>
<th>Non-Current</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EFHC Note</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
<td>$12,550</td>
<td>$487,450</td>
<td>$487,450</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
<td>$12,550</td>
<td>$487,450</td>
<td>$487,450</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Note</th>
<th>Principal</th>
<th>Discount</th>
<th>Balance, Net of Discount</th>
<th>Current</th>
<th>Non-Current</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EFHC Note</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
<td>$31,632</td>
<td>$468,368</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$468,368</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nueco Note</td>
<td>$185,564</td>
<td>2,439</td>
<td>$183,125</td>
<td>$183,125</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$685,564</td>
<td>$34,071</td>
<td>$651,493</td>
<td>$183,125</td>
<td>$468,368</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Company’s total interest expense, net, consisted of:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Interest expense, notes payable</td>
<td>$17,162</td>
<td>$71,301</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amortization of discount on notes payable</td>
<td>34,771</td>
<td>51,316</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accretion of reclamation liabilities</td>
<td>9,158</td>
<td>183,510</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other interest expense</td>
<td>1,074</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest income</td>
<td>(1,933)</td>
<td>(4,138)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest expense, net</td>
<td>$60,232</td>
<td>$301,989</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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NOTE 8 - MORTGAGE

In connection with the acquisition of Black Range, Western assumed a mortgage secured by land, building and improvements at 1450 North 7 Mile Road, Casper, Wyoming, with interest payable at 8.00% and payable in monthly payments of $11,085 with the final balance of $1,044,015 due as a balloon payment on January 16, 2016. The Company did not make the final balloon payment as scheduled. On May 26, 2016, the Company executed agreements with the mortgage holder whereby in an equal exchange the mortgage was exchanged for the land, building and improvements with which it was secured, and pursuant to which no future financial consideration is required.

NOTE 9 – COMMITMENTS

George Glasier

On February 8, 2017, the Company entered into an employment agreement with George Glasier, its Chief Executive Officer. The employment agreement provides for an initial term of January 1, 2017 through December 31, 2018, with automatic annual renewals unless the Company or the Chief Executive Officer were to provide 90 days written notice of their desire to not renew the agreement. The employment agreement provides for a base salary of $180,000 per annum and a discretionary annual cash bonus to be determined by the Company’s Board of Directors. Pursuant to the employment agreement, if the Company terminates the employment agreement without cause, or if a change of control occurs, the Company is required to pay to the Chief Executive Officer a lump sum payment equal to two and one-half times his annual base salary.

Russell Fryer

On July 28, 2017, Russell Fryer was appointed the Company’s Executive Chairman. On November 13, 2017, the Company entered into a consulting agreement with an affiliate of Mr. Fryer. The agreement became effective on July 28, 2017 and, pursuant to its terms, expires on December 31, 2018. The agreement may be terminated by either party with 90 days’ notice. The agreement provides for compensation of $15,000 per month and an annual bonus at the discretion of the Board of Directors. Pursuant to the agreement, if a change of control occurs wherein the consideration in such change of control is more than USD $2.00 per share, the Company is required to pay a lump sum in the amount of two and one-half times the entity’s annual fee to this entity. On January 29, 2018, the Company provided the requisite 90-day notification to terminate the consulting agreement, effective April 30, 2018. This was initiated pursuant to a proposed board review and restructuring of the service portion of the consulting agreement outside of the director and board chairman roles. As of March 30, 2018, the proposed board review has not yet been undertaken.

Robert Klein

On October 19, 2016, Robert Klein was appointed to serve as Chief Financial Officer of the Company, replacing Andrew Wilder. Mr. Wilder has continued to serve as a director of the Company. On October 1, 2016, Western entered into a consulting agreement with Bedford Bridge Fund LLC (“Bedford Bridge”) and Robert Klein, pursuant to which Western retained Bedford Bridge to provide financial operating services for the Company and retained Mr. Klein to serve as Chief Financial Officer of the Company, both subject to Board approval. On March 26, 2017, the Company provided notice that it would be cancelling this agreement, effective April 30, 2017. On October 4, 2016, Mr. Klein was granted an option to purchase 100,000 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of CAD $2.50 per share which expires five years from the date of issuance. These options vest in thirds in equal installments on the date of grant, October 31, 2016 and March 31, 2017.

On May 12, 2017, the Company entered into an engagement agreement with Robert Klein to continue his service as the Company’s Chief Financial Officer. The engagement agreement provided for an initial term of May 1, 2017 through June 30, 2017. The May 12, 2017 engagement agreement provided for a base salary of $12,500 per month.

On August 1, 2017, the Company entered into an engagement agreement to extend the initial May 12, 2017 agreement with Mr. Klein. The August 1, 2017 agreement extended the term of the agreement to provide for a term of July 1, 2017 through September 30, 2017 and provided for a base salary of $8,000 per month. This agreement expired on September 30, 2017.
NOTE 9 – COMMITMENTS, CONTINUED

Robert Klein, continued

On November 13, 2017, the Company entered into an employment agreement with Mr. Klein. The agreement became effective on October 1, 2017 and expires on September 30, 2018. The agreement may be mutually extended for subsequent annual terms. The agreement provides for compensation of $120,000 per annum and an annual bonus at the discretion of the Board of Directors. Pursuant to the employment agreement, once the Company raises a cumulative USD $1,000,000 subsequent to October 1, 2017, Mr. Klein’s annual base salary shall be increased. If a change of control occurs wherein the consideration in such change of control is more than USD $2.00 per share, the Company is required to pay a lump sum to Mr. Klein in the amount of two and one-half times Mr. Klein’s annual salary.

NOTE 10 - SHARE CAPITAL AND OTHER EQUITY INSTRUMENTS

Authorized Capital

The holders of the Company’s common stock are entitled to one vote per share. Holders of common stock are entitled to receive ratably such dividends, if any, as may be declared by the board of directors out of legally available funds. Upon the liquidation, dissolution, or winding up of the Company, holders of common stock are entitled to share ratably in all assets of the Company that are legally available for distribution. As of December 31, 2017 and 2016, an unlimited number of common shares were authorized for issuance.

Shares Issued for Accounts Payable

On February 7, 2017, the Company issued 53,788 shares of its common stock in exchange for approximately $83,338 of its accounts payable outstanding with certain creditors.

Private Placements

On January 4, 2016, the Company completed a private placement raising gross proceeds of CAD $300,000 (USD $216,534) through the subscription for 101,009 common shares at a price of CAD $2.97 (USD $2.14) per common share, and warrants to purchase an aggregate of 101,009 common shares at an exercise price of CAD $3.50 (USD $2.60 as of December 31, 2016). The warrants are exercisable immediately upon issuance and have a term of five years. Of the total amount received, CAD $275,000 (USD $198,298) was received in December of 2015 while the remainder CAD $25,000 (USD $18,236) was received in the three months ended March 31, 2016. As of December 31, 2015, the Company accounted for the proceeds of $198,298 as subscriptions payable.

During April 2016, the Company initiated a private placement offering for the sale of units of its securities for a price per unit of CAD $1.70 (USD $1.34). Each unit consisted of one share of the Company’s common stock and one warrant to purchase a share of common stock at CAD $2.60 (USD $1.93 as of December 31, 2016) per share, with a term of five years. During April and May 2016 the Company raised gross and net proceeds of CAD $791,090 (USD $622,174) through the issuance of 465,347 units.

On September 2, 2016 the Company completed a private placement issuing 1,078,458 units at CAD $1.70 (USD $1.32) per unit for total gross proceeds of CAD $1,833,378 (USD $1,423,618) and net proceeds of CAD $1,830,029 (USD $1,407,841). Each unit consisted of one common share of the Company and one warrant at an exercise price of CAD $2.80 (USD $2.08 as of December 31, 2016) which expire five years after the date of issuance.

During December 2016, the Company completed a private placement and issued 1,010,950 units at CAD $1.20 (USD $0.90) per unit for total gross proceeds of CAD $1,213,140 (USD $909,855) and total net proceeds of CAD $1,129,922 (USD $870,447). Each unit consisted of one common share of the Company and one warrant at an exercise price of CAD $2.80 (USD $2.08 as of December 31, 2016) which expires five years after the date of issuance. In connection with this private placement, the Company issued 40,276 broker warrants with identical terms to the warrants included in the units issued in the private placement.
NOTE 10 - SHARE CAPITAL AND OTHER EQUITY INSTRUMENTS, CONTINUED

Private Placements, continued

On March 31, 2017, the Company completed a private placement of 634,424 units at a price of CAD $1.75 (USD $1.35) per unit for gross proceeds of CAD $1,110,263 (USD $835,805) and net proceeds of CAD $1,066,223 (USD $801,160). Each unit consisted of one share of the Company’s common stock and a warrant for the purchase of one share of the Company’s common stock. Each warrant is immediately exercisable at a price of CAD $3.25 and expires five years from the date of issuance.

On September 15, 2017, the Company completed a private placement of 509,763 units at a price of CAD $0.90 (USD $0.74) per unit for gross proceeds of CAD $458,787 (USD $376,022) and net proceeds of CAD $418,880 (USD $343,105). Each unit consisted of one share of common stock and one warrant. Each warrant is immediately exercisable at a price of CAD $1.40 and expires five years from the date of issuance. The Company also issued broker warrants to purchase 21,751 shares of common stock at a price of CAD $1.40 per common share, which expire two years from the date of issuance.

On December 29, 2017, the Company completed a private placement of 426,334 units at a price of CAD $0.90 (USD $0.72) per unit for gross proceeds of CAD $383,071 (USD $305,918) and net proceeds of CAD $367,059 (USD $293,131). Each unit consisted of one share of common stock and a warrant to purchase one half of one share of common stock. Each warrant is immediately exercisable at a price of CAD $1.50 and expires two years from the date of issuance. The Company also issued broker warrants to purchase 9,310 shares of common stock at a price of CAD $1.50 per common share, which expire two years from the date of issuance.

Incentive Stock Option Plan

The Company maintains an Incentive Stock Option Plan (the “Plan”) that permits the granting of stock options as incentive compensation. Shareholders of the Company approved the Plan on June 30, 2008 and amendments to the Plan on June 20, 2013, and the Board of Directors approved additional changes to the Plan on September 12, 2015.

The purpose of the Plan is to attract, retain and motivate directors, management, staff and consultants by providing them with the opportunity, through stock options, to acquire a proprietary interest in the Company and benefit from its growth.

The Plan provides that the aggregate number of common shares for which stock options may be granted will not exceed 10% of the issued and outstanding common shares at the time stock options are granted. As of December 31, 2017, a total of 20,510,000 common shares were outstanding, and at that date the maximum number of stock options eligible for issue under the Plan was 2,051,000. At December 31, 2016, a total of 18,886,497 common shares were outstanding, and at that date the maximum number of stock options eligible for issue under the Plan was 1,888,650 (10% of the issued and outstanding common shares).

Acquisition of Common Shares

During the three months ended September 30, 2017, the Company received into treasury an aggregate of 306 common shares from two shareholders for no consideration. The Company has included these shares in Treasury Shares on its consolidated balance sheets.
NOTE 10 - SHARE CAPITAL AND OTHER EQUITY INSTRUMENTS, CONTINUED

Stock Option Grants

On October 4, 2016, the Company granted options under the Plan for the purchase of an aggregate of 1,075,000 shares of common stock to ten individuals consisting of officers, consultants, directors and employees of the Company. The options have a five year term, an exercise price of CAD $2.50 (USD $1.97 as of December 31, 2017), and vest equally in thirds commencing initially on the date of grant and thereafter on October 31, 2016, and March 31, 2017.

On October 6, 2017, the Company granted options under the Plan for the purchase of an aggregate of 825,000 shares of common stock to five individuals consisting of directors and officers of the Company. The options have a five year term, an exercise price of CAD $1.60 (USD $1.26 as of December 31, 2017), and vest equally in thirds commencing initially on the date of grant and thereafter on October 31, 2017, and March 31, 2018.

Stock Options

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Number of Shares</th>
<th>Weighted Average Exercise Price</th>
<th>Weighted Average Contractual Life (years)</th>
<th>Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value</th>
<th>Intrinsic Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Outstanding - January 1, 2017</td>
<td>1,346,996</td>
<td>$2.37</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Granted</td>
<td>825,000</td>
<td>$1.28</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expired, forfeited, or cancelled</td>
<td>(325,000)</td>
<td>$1.90</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exercised</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outstanding December 31, 2017</td>
<td>1,846,996</td>
<td>$1.92</td>
<td>4.11</td>
<td>$0.42</td>
<td>$-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exercisable, December 31, 2017</td>
<td>1,571,996</td>
<td>$2.06</td>
<td>4.11</td>
<td>$0.46</td>
<td>$-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Company’s stock based compensation expense related to stock options for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016 was $209,435 and $152,322, respectively. As of December 31, 2017, the Company had $19,038 in unamortized stock option expense, which will be amortized over a period of 0.25 years.

The Company utilized the Black-Scholes option pricing model to determine the fair value of these stock options, using the assumptions as outlined below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stock Price</td>
<td>$1.05</td>
<td>$1.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exercise Price</td>
<td>$1.60</td>
<td>$1.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Options Granted</td>
<td>825,000</td>
<td>1,075,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dividend Yield</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expected Volatility</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Weighted Average Risk-Free Interest Rate | 1.64%| 1.22%
| Expected life (in years)     | 2.59 | 2.59 |

Warrants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Number of Shares</th>
<th>Weighted Average Exercise Price</th>
<th>Weighted Average Contractual Life (years)</th>
<th>Intrinsic Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Outstanding, January 1, 2017</td>
<td>2,696,040</td>
<td>$2.08</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Issued</td>
<td>1,399,523</td>
<td>$1.80</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outstanding, December 31, 2017</td>
<td>4,095,563</td>
<td>$2.27</td>
<td>3.70</td>
<td>$-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exercisable, December 31, 2017</td>
<td>4,095,563</td>
<td>$2.27</td>
<td>3.70</td>
<td>$-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
NOTE 11 - MINING EXPENDITURES

For the Year Ended December 31,

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Permits</td>
<td>$132,183</td>
<td>$127,430</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintenance</td>
<td>8,706</td>
<td>238,047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contract Labor</td>
<td>8,575</td>
<td>7,805</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Royalties</td>
<td>5,260</td>
<td>16,550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>$154,724</td>
<td>$389,832</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE 12 - INCOME TAXES

The tax effects of temporary differences that give rise to significant portions of the deferred tax assets and deferred tax liabilities are as follows:

Deferred tax assets:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Net operating loss carryovers</td>
<td>$3,651,732</td>
<td>$4,729,737</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marketable securities</td>
<td>15,471</td>
<td>23,473</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accrued expenses</td>
<td>44,059</td>
<td>91,925</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Deferred tax assets, gross</strong></td>
<td>3,711,262</td>
<td>4,845,135</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Less: valuation allowance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Deferred tax assets, net</td>
<td>(1,512,585)</td>
<td>(1,578,784)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Deferred tax liabilities:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Property and equipment</td>
<td>(4,907,564)</td>
<td>(7,329,681)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Deferred tax assets (liabilities), net

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Deferred tax assets (liabilities), net</strong></td>
<td>$ (2,708,887)</td>
<td>$ (4,063,330)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The change in the Company’s valuation allowance is as follows:

For the Years Ended December 31,

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Beginning of year</td>
<td>$1,578,784</td>
<td>$531,983</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Decrease) increase in valuation allowance</td>
<td>(66,199)</td>
<td>1,046,801</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End of year</td>
<td>$1,512,585</td>
<td>$1,578,784</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A reconciliation of the provision for income taxes with the amounts computed by applying the statutory Federal income tax rate to income from operations before the provision for income taxes is as follows:

For the Years Ended December 31,

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>U.S. federal statutory rate</td>
<td>(34.0)%</td>
<td>(34.0)%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State and foreign taxes</td>
<td>(3.2)%</td>
<td>(3.2)%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permanent differences</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-deductible expenses</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valuation allowance</td>
<td>(3.7)%</td>
<td>48.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in federal tax rate</td>
<td>(33.3)%</td>
<td>-%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>True-up of prior year deferred tax assets</td>
<td>(4.1)%</td>
<td>(13.6)%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effective income tax rate</td>
<td>(75.4)%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
NOTE 12 - INCOME TAXES, CONTINUED

The Company has net operating loss carryovers of approximately $14,724,726 for federal and state income tax purposes, which begin to expire in 2026. The ultimate realization of the net operating loss is dependent upon future taxable income, if any, of the Company. Based on losses from inception, the Company determined that as of December 31, 2017 it is more likely than not that the Company will not realize benefits from the deferred tax assets. The Company will not record income tax benefits in the financial statements until it is determined that it is more likely than not that the Company will generate sufficient taxable income to realize the deferred income tax assets. As a result of the analysis, the Company determined that a valuation allowance against the deferred tax assets was required of $1,512,585 and $1,578,784 as of December 31, 2017 and 2016, respectively.

Internal Revenue Code (“IRC”) Section 382 imposes limitations on the use of net operating loss carryovers when the stock ownership of one or more 5% stockholders (stockholders owning 5% or more of the Company’s outstanding capital stock) has increased on a cumulative basis over a period of three years by more than 50 percentage points. Management cannot control the ownership changes occurring. Accordingly, there is a risk of an ownership change beyond the control of the Company that could trigger a limitation of the use of the loss carryover. The Company has analyzed the issuances of shares of common stock during the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016 and does not believe such change of control occurred. If such ownership change under IRC section 382 had occurred, such change would substantially limit the Company’s ability in the future to utilize its net operating loss carryforwards.

NOTE 13 - RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS (INCLUDING KEY MANAGEMENT COMPENSATION)

The Company has transacted with related parties pursuant to service arrangements in the ordinary course of business, as follows:

Entities controlled by a former member of the Board of Directors earned consulting fees totaling $64,715 and $47,660 for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016, respectively. The same former director earned director fees totaling $8,356 and $3,021 during the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016, respectively. As of December 31, 2017 and 2016, the Company has $1,300 and $0, respectively, in accounts payable and accrued liabilities owing to this director. This director resigned on July 27, 2017.

Pursuant to a consulting agreement, a United States limited liability company owned by a person who is a director and until October 19, 2016, was the Company’s CFO, entered into a contract with the Company dated January 1, 2016, (“the January 2016 Agreement”) to provide financial and other consulting services at $8,333 per month. On October 19, 2016 the January 2016 Agreement was terminated. On the same date a new agreement was entered into between the Company, a United States limited liability company owned by the same director and Robert Klein (the “October 2016 Agreement”) to provide financial operating services and to have Mr. Klein serve as the Chief Financial Officer. The term of the October 2016 Agreement was to run through July 31, 2017 and has an annual fee of $162,000 payable monthly, starting on October 1, 2016. On March 26, 2017, the Company provided notice that it would be cancelling the October 2016 Agreement, effective April 30, 2017. The acknowledgement of the termination initiated the preparation of Mr. Klein’s engagement agreement as described in Note 8. During the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016, the Company incurred fees of $89,049 and $94,351, respectively, to these companies. At December, 2017 and 2016, the Company had $0 and $0, included in accounts payable and accrued liabilities payable to these companies.

Prior to the acquisition of Black Range, Mr. George Glasier, the Company’s CEO, who is also a director, transferred his interest in a former joint venture with Ablation Technologies, LLC to Black Range. In connection with the transfer, Black Range issued 25 million shares of Black Range common stock to Seller and committed to pay AUD $500,000 (USD $390,350) to Seller within 60 days of the first commercial application of the ablation technology. Western assumed this contingent payment obligation in connection with the acquisition of Black Range. At the date of the acquisition of Black Range, this contingent obligation was determined to be probable. Since the deferred contingent consideration obligation is probable and the amount estimable, the Company recorded the deferred contingent consideration as an assumed liability in the amount of $390,350 and $372,000 as of December 31, 2017 and 2016, respectively.
EMPLOYMENT AGREEMENT

THIS EMPLOYMENT AGREEMENT (the “Agreement”) is executed this __ day of November 2017, and effective as of the 1st day of October 2017 (the “Effective Date”), by and between Black Range Minerals LLC, a Colorado limited liability company as the employer (“Black Range”), Western Uranium Corporation, an Ontario, Canada corporation (“WUC” and together with Black Range, separately and collectively herein referred to as the “Company”) and Robert R. Klein (“Klein” or “Executive”).

WHEREFORE, Black Range is a wholly-owned subsidiary of WUC;

WHEREFORE, the Company desires to engage the services of Klein as Chief Financial Officer of each of WUC and the Company to perform the duties set forth on Exhibit A – “Scope of Services,” attached hereto and incorporated into this Agreement (the “Services”); and

WHEREFORE, Klein is willing to accept this engagement and perform his duties for the Company under the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreement.

NOW THEREFORE, in consideration of the above recitals and the mutual promises, covenants, undertakings, and other consideration recited herein, the Parties agree as follows:

1. Duties and Services.

a. Duties. Klein shall report directly to the George E. Glasier Chief Executive Officer of WUC (the “CEO”) and Klein shall devote substantially all of his business time and attention to the business and affairs of the Company and its subsidiaries. Notwithstanding the foregoing, Klein (i) may engage in charitable, civic, fraternal and community affairs, educational and professional and/or trade industry association activities, (ii) manage his personal passive investments, (iii) with prior written notice to the Board of Directors of WUC (the “Board”), serve on the boards of directors of non-profit organizations and (iv) with the prior written approval of the Board, serve on the boards of directors of for-profit companies; provided that such activities, do not interfere, either individually or in the aggregate, in any material respect, with the performance of Executive’s duties under this Agreement or create a potential business or fiduciary conflict. As notice is provided, Klein has continued economic interests owed as set forth on Exhibit B – “Economic Interests”, attached hereto and incorporated into this Agreement and by execution of this Agreement, the Board approves his continued efforts toward realizing such Economic Interests.

b. Services. Klein shall perform the Services as detailed in Exhibit A. Klein shall exercise independent judgment regarding the manner in which Klein performs the Services while exercising reasonable best efforts to comply satisfactorily with the terms of this Agreement. Klein agrees to comply with all ordinances, laws, orders, rules, and regulations related and/or applicable to the Services.
2. **Compensation.**

   a. **Base Salary.** During the Employment Term, Executive’s base salary shall be One Hundred Twenty Thousand Dollars (US$120,000.00) per year (the “Base Salary”), which Base Salary shall be reviewed not less frequently than annually on or about the fifteenth (15th) day of December in each year during the Employment Term. The Base Salary shall be payable in regular monthly installments on the last day of each month, or otherwise in accordance with the Company’s general payroll practices. All payments made to or on behalf of Executive under the terms of this Agreement, including all payments of Base Salary and any Annual Bonuses or Severance Payments, shall be (i) made by Black Range, as the employing entity, and (ii) subject to all withholding required or permitted by law (such as income and payroll taxes) and such additional withholding as may be agreed upon by the Executive. Upon the Company successfully raising a cumulative USD $1,000,000 subsequent to October 1, 2017, the Company shall raise Klein’s Base Salary to a market rate, but in no event less than an annual rate of $150,000.

   b. **Annual Cash Bonus.** During the Employment Term, Executive shall be eligible to receive an annual bonus following the end of each calendar year (the “Annual Bonus”) or such earlier date as the Board may in its discretion determine in an amount determined and approved by the Board (or Compensation Committee should the Board delegate such determination) in its sole discretion. Executive must be employed at the end of the calendar year for which such Annual Bonus is considered. The Annual Bonus shall be determined and paid by the 15th day of January following the end of each calendar year during the Employment Term.

   c. **Stock Options Plan.** During the Employment Term, Executive shall be eligible to receive Stock Options grants under the Company’s Incentive Stock Option Plan when granted each calendar year (“Stock Options”) in an amount determined and approved by the Board (or Compensation Committee should the Board delegate such determination) in its sole discretion. Executive must be employed on the date that Stock Options are granted in order to participate. Stock Options have previously been awarded Executive for calendar year 2016.

   d. **Expenses.** The Company will reimburse Executive for all reasonable travel and other business expenses incurred by Executive during the Employment Term in connection with the performance of Executive’s duties and obligations under this Agreement.

   e. **Other Benefits.** During the Employment Term, Executive shall be entitled to participate in any employee benefit plan that the Company or its Affiliates has adopted or may adopt, maintain or contribute to for the benefit of its similarly-situated, U.S.-based executive employees generally, subject to the terms and conditions of the applicable plan and satisfying the applicable eligibility requirements. Nothing in this Agreement will preclude the Company from amending or terminating any of the plans or programs applicable to similarly situated executives of the Company as long as such amendment or termination is applicable to similarly situated executives of the Company, as the case may be. In addition to holidays that are provided in general to executive employees of the Company, Executive shall be entitled to four (4) weeks of paid vacation each calendar year (accruing on a pro rata daily basis throughout the calendar year), none of which may be carried over to the following calendar year. Finally, Klein will be entitled to reimbursement of the cost, to the extent not covered by Klein’s primary health insurance coverage, of an annual comprehensive physical exam, provided that the parties understand and agree that such reimbursement may be taxable to Klein under U.S. federal income tax laws. Klein shall not be required to obtain such comprehensive physical exam unless the Company is willing to offset any taxable liability to Klein.
3. **Term, Termination and Severance Pay.**

   a. **Term.** The term of this Agreement shall commence on the Effective Date and continue until September 30, 2018 (the “**Initial Term**”), unless earlier terminated pursuant to the provisions of this **Section 3.** On the expiration of the Initial Term, and on each annual anniversary thereafter, this Agreement shall automatically renew for a one (1) year period (each, a “**Successive Term**”), unless either party provides written notice to the other party at least ninety (90) days prior to the expiration of the Initial Term or the Successive Term, as applicable, of its intention not to renew the Agreement (a “**Non-Renewal**”). Notwithstanding the foregoing, the Company and Executive agree that Executive is an “at-will” employee and that Executive’s employment hereunder may be terminated at any time in accordance with, and subject to, the provisions of this Section 3. The period of time between the Effective Date and the termination of Executive’s employment hereunder shall be referred to herein as the “Employment Term.”

   b. **Termination.**

   (i) **General.** In addition to a termination of the Employment Term due to a Non-Renewal pursuant to **Section 3(a),** Executive’s employment may be terminated as follows: (a) the Company may terminate Executive’s employment with Cause (in accordance with the time limits and other conditions as set forth in the Cause definition herein) at any time, (b) Executive may terminate Executive’s employment by providing the Company with ninety (90) days’ prior written notice, (c) the Company may terminate Executive’s employment without Cause effective upon written notice to Executive, or (d) Executive’s employment shall automatically terminate upon Executive’s death or upon Executive’s Disability. Termination of Executive’s employment at the conclusion of the Initial Term or Successive Term, as applicable, due to the Company’s Non-Renewal shall not be treated as a termination without Cause. Executive’s last day of employment shall be referred to herein as the “Termination Date.”

   (ii) **Termination on Account of Change in Control.** Termination on account of “Change of Control” shall, for the purposes of this Agreement, be deemed to occur: (a) in the event any person or more than one such person acting as a group, is or becomes the beneficial owner directly or indirectly, of the securities of WUC or the share of the Company in a transaction or series of transactions, representing fifty percent (50%) or more of the combined voting power of either WUC or the Company, as the case may be, of the then outstanding securities ordinarily having the right to vote for the election of directors of WUC or the Company as the case may be; (b) WUC or the Company sells or otherwise disposes of all or substantially all of their respective assets; or (c) WUC or the Company participates in a merger or consolidation and, immediately following the consummation of such merger or consolidation, WUC or the Company stockholders prior to such merger or consolidation do not own 80% or more of the voting shares of stock of the surviving successor corporation or either of them. In the event of a Change of Control where the transaction consideration is above USD$2.00 per share, Klein shall be paid an amount equal to the amount payable in accordance with Section 3(b)(iv) below. Such amount shall be paid by the Company or the Company’s successor within thirty (30) days of the date of the Change of Control.
(iii) **Accrued Benefits.** If the Employment Term or Executive’s employment is terminated for any reason, the Company’s obligation to make payments or provide any other benefits under this Agreement shall cease as of the Termination Date, except as provided in this Section 3 or by law. Upon any termination of Executive’s employment, Executive shall be entitled to receive (a) all earned or accrued but unpaid Base Salary through the Termination Date, (b) amounts for any accrued but unused vacation through the Termination Date, (c) reimbursement of expenses incurred by Executive prior to the Termination Date, and (d) all amounts or benefits to which Executive is entitled under any applicable compensation plan, employee benefit plan or other arrangement of the Company in which Executive was a participant during Executive’s employment with the Company, in accordance with the terms of such plan or arrangement (collectively 3(b)(iii)(a) – 3(b)(iii)(d)), the “Accrued Benefits.”

(iv) **Additional Payments upon a Termination without Cause or Change of Control.** If the Employment Term is terminated by the Company without Cause as provided in subparagraph 3(b)(i) above or for a Change of Control provided in subparagraph 3(b)(ii) above, then in addition to the Accrued Benefits, Executive shall be entitled to a lump sum payment equal to two and one-half times Executive’s annual Base Salary as in effect immediately prior to the Termination Date or Change of Control Date, to be paid in a lump sum within five (5) days after the Release Effective Date or the Change of Control Date, with regards to a Change of Control.

(v) **Conditions.** Notwithstanding anything to the contrary, except with regards to a Change of Control, the Company’s obligation to provide the Severance Payment or any other benefit beyond the Accrued Benefits pursuant to this Section 3 shall be subject to Executive’s delivery of an executed release of all employment-related claims in favor of Black Range, WUC and its and their subsidiaries, Affiliates, officers, directors, employees, principals, shareholders and attorneys (and in the case of an asset sale, also the purchaser and its subsidiaries, Affiliates, officers, directors, employees, principals, shareholders and attorneys) in the form attached hereto as Exhibit C (the “General Release”), and that such General Release is effective and no longer subject to revocation within sixty (60) days following the Termination Date. The date the General Release becomes effective and no longer subject to revocation shall be referred to as the “Release Effective Date.”

(vi) **Other Benefits.** Except as required by law (such as ERISA or COBRA) or as specifically provided in this Section 3, Black Range’s obligation to make any payments or provide any other benefits hereunder shall terminate automatically as of the Termination Date. Payments and benefits provided in this Section 3 shall be in lieu of any termination or severance payments or benefits for which Executive may be eligible under any of the plans, policies or programs of the Company or under the Worker Adjustment Retraining Notification Act of 1988 or any similar state statute or regulation.
4. Confidential Information.

a. For purposes of this Agreement, “Confidential Information” means (i) any and all trade secrets, as defined by any applicable state, federal or international law, concerning the business and affairs of the Company, including, without limitation, operational and product specifications, plans of operation, resource, exploration, development and processing data, information and plans, water, air, or other environmental and community assessment plans and studies, reclamation studies, estimates and data, know-how, training, formulae, compositions, processes, designs, sketches, photographs, maps, topological, hydrological, geological, metallurgical, and geographic studies and data, graphs, drawings, samples, inventions, past, current, and planned exploration, research and development, including programs and budgets, current and anticipated customers and customer requirements, including the terms and conditions of customer relationships, price lists, market studies, business plans, current and anticipated suppliers and the terms and conditions of supplier relationships, computer software and programs, database technologies, systems, structures, architectures, processes, improvements, devices, discoveries, concepts, methods, and other information, however documented, of the Company that is a trade secret within the meaning of applicable law; (ii) any and all information concerning the business and affairs of the Company (which includes historical financial statements, financial projections and budgets, historical and projected sales, capital spending budgets and plans, the names and backgrounds of key personnel, contractors, agents, customers, drivers, suppliers and potential suppliers, personnel training and techniques and materials, and purchasing methods and techniques, however documented); and (iii) any and all notes, analyses, compilations, studies, summaries, and other material prepared by or for the Company containing or based, in whole or in part, upon any information included in the foregoing.

b. The term Confidential Information does not include any information which (i) is published by the Company or otherwise is or becomes generally available and known to the public (other than as a result of a disclosure directly or indirectly by Klein or any person or entity acting for, through or on behalf of Klein, (ii) is or becomes available to Klein on a non-confidential basis from a source other than the Company or its advisors, provided that such source is not and was not bound by a confidentiality agreement with, or other obligation of secrecy to, the Company, or (iii) has already been independently acquired or developed by Klein without violating any confidentiality agreement with, or other obligation of secrecy to, the Company.

c. With regard to any Confidential Information, Klein agrees to: (i) hold such information in absolute confidence and absolutely secret; (ii) use such information solely for the purpose of performing his duties under this Agreement and solely for the benefit of the Company; (iii) not use any such information for any other purpose not directly and expressly authorized by this Agreement; (iv) not to use any such information for his own account or benefit or for the use, account or benefit of others besides the Company and (v) refrain from disclosing, and take all reasonable steps to prevent the disclosure of, such information to any person or entity not authorized to receive it.

d. The parties hereto irrevocably stipulate and agree that: (i) the protections afforded to Confidential Information hereunder are necessary, fundamental, and required for the protection of the Company’s business; and (ii) a breach of any of the provisions of this Agreement and any disclosure of any of the Confidential Information in violation of the terms hereof will result in irreparable harm and damage to the Company which harm and damage cannot be completely and adequately determined nor compensated by any monetary award. Accordingly, it is expressly agreed that, in addition to all other remedies which may be available at law for any breach hereof, the Company shall be entitled to the immediate issuance of a temporary restraining order, temporary or permanent injunction, or other equitable relief prohibiting any threatened or actual violation of this Agreement or requiring the remediation thereof or specifically enforcing the provisions hereof. Klein hereby consents to the issuance of any such order ex parte. Such equitable remedies shall not be the exclusive remedy for any breach of this Agreement but shall be in addition to all other remedies available at law or equity.
e. Nothing contained herein shall prevent a party from disclosing Confidential Information pursuant to a subpoena or order of any court or governmental agency or from disclosing Confidential Information to any governmental agency if required to do so by law; provided however that, before disclosing information under any such circumstances, Klein agrees to provide the Company a copy of such subpoena, order or other requirement for disclosure at least three days prior to disclosing any Confidential Information in order to provide the Company an opportunity to contest any requirement for such disclosure.

f. In the event of any legal action taken to enforce the confidentiality provisions of this Agreement, the prevailing party shall be entitled to recover all costs and expenses associated with such action or litigation, including but not limited to, attorney fees, witness fees, expert witness fees, travel and other expenses, copying, telephone and all other charges and expenses.

g. Klein also acknowledges that he has provided and will continue to provide services to the Company in a senior, executive personnel capacity and that he has and will continue to acquire Confidential Information that he did not previously possess.

h. Klein acknowledges that upon termination of his employment with the Company, the Company may deem it advisable to, and shall be entitled to, serve notice on Klein’s new employer that Klein has been exposed to certain Confidential Information and that he has continuing obligations under the terms of this Agreement not to disclose such information. Notwithstanding the foregoing, it is understood that, at all such times, Klein is free to use information that is generally known in the trade or industry, which is not gained as result of a breach of this Section 4, and Klein’s own, skill, knowledge, know-how and experience to whatever extent and in whichever way he wishes. The provisions of this Section 4 shall survive the termination or expiration of the Employment Term and Klein’s employment, irrespective of the reason therefor.

i. In any event, Klein will be permitted to disclose any Confidential Information to any third party who has executed a nondisclosure agreement. Such disclosure may be necessary for Klein to pursue merger or acquisition as required in Exhibit A.

5. Non-Compete; Non-Solicitation

a. Non-Competition. For so long as Executive is employed by the Company or one of its Affiliates, and for six (6) months after a termination of Executive’s employment for any reason, Executive shall not own any interest in, provide any financing to, manage, control, participate in, consult with, render services for, or otherwise engage in or assist any other Person with engaging in, the Company’s Business in the Applicable Area; provided, that nothing in this Section 5 will prohibit Executive from being an owner of less than ten percent (10%) in the aggregate of any class of capital stock or equity of any Person if such stock or equity is publicly traded and listed on any national or regional stock exchange or any percent of ownership if such ownership results from a merger or acquisition.
b. **Non-Solicitation.** For so long as Executive is employed by the Company or its Affiliates and for twelve (12) months after a termination of Executive’s employment for any reason, Executive shall not, directly or indirectly:

   (i) solicit, induce or attempt to induce any employee or individual retained as an independent contractor of the Company or its Affiliates (the “Related Companies” and each a “Related Company”) to terminate his employment or contracting relationship with such entity, or to become an employee or independent contractor of any other Person; provided that, the employment of any employee or individual retained as an independent contractor of a Related Company that results from such employee’s or contractor’s independent and unprompted response to general advertising of open positions in newspapers, on websites, or at job fairs, or other forms of soliciting candidates for employment, which are general in nature and not directed to or at an employee or contractor of any Related Parties, shall not be construed as a violation of this Section 5(b); or

   ii. solicit, induce or attempt to induce any Customer, supplier or other business relation of a Related Company to cease doing business with such entity or in any way interfere with the relationship between any such Customer, supplier or other business relation and such entity.

6. **Non-Disparagement.**

   a. Executive agrees not to make negative comments or otherwise disparage the Company or its officers, directors, employees, shareholders, agents or products, including, without limitation, by engaging in any disparaging communication with any Customer or prospective Customer of the Company, other than in the good faith performance of Executive’s duties to the Company while Executive is employed by the Company and thereafter. The foregoing shall not be violated by (i) responding publicly to incorrect, disparaging or derogatory public statements to the extent reasonably necessary to correct or refute such public statement or (ii) truthful statements in response to legal process, required governmental testimony or filings, or administrative or arbitral proceedings (including, without limitation, depositions in connection with such proceedings) with apparent or actual jurisdiction to order such person to disclose or make accessible such information.

   b. The Company agrees to instruct its officers and directors to not make any negative, derogatory or disparaging remarks about Executive, and to enforce such instructions if it becomes aware of any violation thereof.
7. Work Product. Subject to the provisions of applicable law, Executive acknowledges and agrees that all discoveries, concepts, ideas, inventions, innovations, improvements, developments, methods, designs, analyses, drawings, reports, works of authorship, mask works and intellectual property (whether or not including any confidential information), all other proprietary information and all similar or related materials, documents, work product or information (whether or not patentable) which have relevance to the current or contemplated businesses in which the Company is engaged and which are conceived, developed or made by Executive (whether alone or jointly with others) while employed by any Related Company (collectively the “Work Product”), shall be the sole, exclusive and absolute property of such Related Company, and Executive hereby does irrevocably assign, transfer and convey (to the extent permitted by applicable law) all rights, including intellectual property rights, therein on a worldwide basis to the applicable Related Company or such other entity as such Related Company shall designate, to the extent ownership of any such rights does not vest originally in such Related Company and waives any moral rights therein to the fullest extent permitted under applicable law. Executive will promptly disclose any such Work Product to the applicable Related Company (except where it is lawfully protected from disclosure as the trade secret of a third party or by any other lawful bar to such disclosure) and will, at the applicable Related Company’s request (and, during the Employment Term, without additional compensation), perform all actions reasonably requested by any Related Company to establish and confirm such ownership, including execute any patent, trademark or copyright papers covering such Work Product as well as any papers which may be considered necessary or helpful by the applicable Related Company in the prosecution of applications for patents thereon or which may relate to any litigation or controversy in connection therewith, with applicable Related Company bearing all expenses of performing such actions (including expenses incident to the filing of such application, the prosecution thereof and the conduct of any such litigation).

8. Enforcement. The parties hereto acknowledge and agree that Executive’s services are unique and Executive has access to Confidential Information and Work Product, that the provisions of Sections 4, 5, 6 or 7 are necessary, reasonable and appropriate for the protection of the legitimate business interests of the Company and its Affiliates, that irreparable injury will result to the Company and its Affiliates if Executive breaches any of the provisions of Sections 4, 5, 6 or 7 and that money damages would not be an adequate remedy for any breach by Executive of this Agreement and that the Company will not have any adequate remedy at law for any such breach. Therefore, in the event of a breach or threatened breach of this Agreement, the Company or any of its successors or assigns, in addition to other rights and remedies existing in their favor, shall be entitled to specific performance and/or immediate injunctive or other equitable relief from any court of competent jurisdiction in order to enforce or prevent any violations of the provisions hereof (without the necessity of showing actual money damages, or posting a bond or other security). Nothing contained herein shall be construed as prohibiting the Company or any of its successors or assigns from pursuing any other remedies available to it for such breach or threatened breach, including the recovery of damages.

9. Tolling. In the event of any violation of the provisions of Sections 4, 5, 6 or 7 hereof, Executive acknowledges and agrees that the post-termination restrictions contained in Sections 4, 5, 6 or 7 hereof shall be extended by a period of time equal to the period of such violation, it being the intention of the parties hereto that the running of the applicable post-termination restriction period shall be tolled during any period of such violation.

10. Representations and Acknowledgements.

   a. Executive hereby represents and warrants to the Company that (i) the execution, delivery and performance of this Agreement by Executive does not and shall not conflict with, breach, violate or cause a default under any contract, agreement, instrument, order, judgment or decree to which Executive is a party or by which he is bound and that Executive is not a party to any agreement or understanding, written or oral, and is not subject to any restriction, which, in either case, could prevent Executive from entering into this Agreement or impair Executive’s ability to perform all of Executive’s duties and obligations hereunder, (ii) Executive is not a party to or bound by any employment agreement, non-competition agreement or confidentiality agreement with any other Person, except for the Original Agreement, (iii) Executive shall not use any confidential information or trade secrets of any third party in connection with the performance of his duties hereunder, and (iv) this Agreement constitutes the valid and binding obligation of Executive, enforceable against Executive in accordance with its terms. Executive also hereby acknowledges and represents that he has consulted with independent legal counsel regarding Executive’s rights and obligations under this Agreement and that Executive fully understands the terms and conditions contained herein and intends for such terms and conditions to be binding on and enforceable against Executive. Executive acknowledges and agrees that the provisions of Sections 4, 5, 6 or 7 are in consideration of: (i) Executive’s employment by the Company and (ii) additional good and valuable consideration as set forth in this Agreement, the receipt and sufficiency of which are hereby acknowledged. Executive expressly agrees and acknowledges that the restrictions contained Sections 4, 5, 6 or 7 do not preclude Executive from earning a livelihood, nor do they unreasonably impose limitations on Executive’s ability to earn a living, and that such provisions shall survive the expiration of the Employment Term and the termination of Executive’s employment hereunder for any reason in accordance with their terms. In addition, Executive agrees and acknowledges that the potential harm to the Company of its non-enforcement outweighs any harm to Executive of its enforcement by injunction or otherwise. Executive acknowledges that Executive has carefully read this Agreement and has given careful consideration to the restraints imposed upon Executive by this Agreement, and is in full accord as to their necessity for the reasonable and proper protection of the Confidential Information. Executive expressly acknowledges and agrees that each and every restraint imposed by this Agreement is reasonable with respect to subject matter, time period and geographical area.
b. Each of WUC and Black Range hereby represent and warrant to Executive that (i) the execution, delivery and performance of this Agreement by such entity does not and shall not conflict with, breach, violate or cause a default under any contract, agreement, instrument, order, judgment or decree to which Affiliate is a party or by which it or any Affiliate is bound and that no Affiliate is a party to any agreement or understanding, written or oral, or subject to any restriction, which, in either case, could prevent such entity from entering into this Agreement or impair such entity’s ability to perform all of its duties and obligations hereunder, and (ii) this Agreement constitutes the valid and binding obligation of each of WUC and Black Range, enforceable against each such entity in accordance with its terms.

11. Definitions.

“Affiliate” means, with respect to any Person, any Person controlling, controlled by or under common control with such Person. For avoidance of doubt, WUC is an Affiliate of Black Range, and vice versa.

“Applicable Area” means the geographic area encompassing Colorado, Utah, New Mexico, Arizona and Wyoming.

“Cause” means (a) Executive’s commission of an act of fraud or embezzlement against the Company or any of its Affiliates or a breach of Executive’s fiduciary duty to the Company; (b) any conviction of, or plea of guilty or nolo contendere by, Executive with respect to a felony (other than a traffic violation); or (c) Executive’s material breach of the terms of this Agreement or any other agreement between Executive and the Company or of a material written policy or code of conduct of the Company, or any of its Affiliates; provided, however, that Cause shall not be deemed to exist under clause (d), unless Executive has first been given reasonably detailed written notice of the grounds for such Cause and Executive has not contested such grounds and in the event Executive contests such grounds, the final determination of such issue by a court.
“Company Business” means the acquisition and development of uranium and vanadium resource properties.

“Customer” means any Person who: (a) purchased products or services from any Related Company prior to or during the Employment Term or, after the Employment Term, within 12 months prior to the Termination Date; or (b) was called upon or solicited by a Related Company or any of their predecessors prior to or during the Employment Term or, after the Employment Term, within 6 months prior to the Termination Date, so long as Executive had direct or indirect contact with such Person as an employee of any Related Company or learned or became aware of such Person during the Employment Term.

“Disability” means that Executive has a mental or physical disability or other incapacity that renders Executive unable regularly to perform the essential functions of his job duties for ninety (90) or more days in any consecutive twelve (12) month period.

“Person” means an individual, a partnership, a limited liability company, a corporation, an association, a joint stock company, a trust, a joint venture, an unincorporated organization and a governmental entity or any department, agency or political subdivision thereof.

12. Litigation. This Agreement has been negotiated within the State of Colorado and the rights and obligations of the Parties to this Agreement shall be construed and enforced in accordance with, and governed by, the laws of the State of Colorado without regard to any jurisdiction’s principles of conflict of laws. Any legal suit, action or proceeding arising out of or relating to this Agreement shall be instituted in the State court in Mesa County, Colorado, and each party irrevocably submits to the exclusive jurisdiction of such courts in any such suit, action or proceeding. The parties irrevocably and unconditionally waive any objection to the laying of venue of any suit, action or proceeding in such courts and irrevocably waive and agree not to plead or claim in any such court that any such suit, action or proceeding brought in any such court has been brought in an inconvenient forum. Each party acknowledges and agrees that any controversy which may arise under this Agreement is likely to involve complicated and difficult issues and, therefore, each such party irrevocably and unconditionally waives any right it may have to a trial by jury in respect of any legal action arising out of or relating to this Agreement.

13. No Strict Construction. The language used in this Agreement shall be deemed to be the language chosen by the parties hereto to express their mutual intent, and no rule of strict construction shall be applied against any party.

14. Effect of Partial Invalidity. Whenever possible, each provision of this Agreement shall be interpreted in a manner so as to be effective and valid under applicable law, but if any provision of this Agreement is held to be invalid, illegal or unenforceable in any respect under any applicable law or rule in any jurisdiction, such invalidity, illegality or unenforceability shall not affect any other provision or any other jurisdiction, but this Agreement shall be reformed, construed and enforced in such jurisdiction as if such invalid, illegal or unenforceable provision had never been contained herein.
15. **Counterparts.** This Agreement may be executed in two or more counterparts, each of which will be deemed an original and all of which taken together shall constitute one and the same agreement.

16. **Modification of Agreement; Waiver.** This Agreement may be amended, waived, changed, modified, extended, or rescinded only by a writing signed by WUC, Black Range and Executive. The failure of any party to this Agreement to insist upon performance of any of the terms and conditions of this Agreement, or the waiver of any breach of any of the terms and conditions of this Agreement, shall not be construed as thereafter waiving any such terms and conditions, but the same shall continue and remain in full force and effect as if no such forbearance or waiver occurred.

17. **Complete Agreement.** This Agreement and the exhibits hereto contain the complete agreement and understanding of the parties and shall, as of the Effective Date, supersede all other prior understandings, agreements or representations by or between the parties, written or oral, which may have related to the subject matter hereof in any way.

18. **Governing Law.** All issues and questions concerning the construction, validity, enforcement and interpretation of this Agreement and the exhibits and schedules hereto shall be governed by and construed by the parties, courts and arbitrators in accordance with the laws of the State of Colorado, without regard to conflicts-of-laws principles that would require the application of any other law.

19. **Notices.** Any notice required pursuant to this Agreement shall be in writing and shall be deemed delivered (a) on the day of delivery if delivered in person, (b) three (3) business days after mailing by registered or certified mail, return receipt requested; (c) on the date sent by facsimile, email or other form of electronic delivery provided however that a copy is also sent by first class mail; or (d) one (1) business day after deposit with an overnight delivery courier service; and in each case fully prepaid and properly posted and addressed as follows:

To the Company:

Black Range Minerals LLC and Western Uranium Corporation  
Attn: Chief Executive Officer  
31127 Hwy 90  
P.O. Box 98  
Nucla, Colorado, 81424-0098  
silverhawk1@aol.com

To Klein:

Robert Klein  
7 Brentwood Court  
Warren, NJ 07059  
robkein01@yahoo.com
or such other address or to the attention of such other Person as the recipient party shall have specified by prior written notice to the sending party.

20. Expenses. The Company and Executive will each pay their own costs and expenses incurred in connection with the negotiation and execution of this Agreement and the agreements contemplated hereby, unless otherwise agreed in writing between the Company and Executive.

21. Successors and Assigns: Third Party Beneficiary. Except as otherwise provided herein, this Agreement shall bind and inure to the benefit of and be enforceable by Executive, WUC, Black Range, and their respective successors and assigns, including any entity with which WUC or Black Range may merge or consolidate or to which all or substantially all of its equity may be sold or its assets may be transferred; provided, that the rights and obligations of Executive under this Agreement shall not be assignable. As used in this Agreement, “Company” include any successor to all or substantially all of the business and/or assets of WUC or Black Range, which assumes and agrees to perform the duties and obligations of the Company under this Agreement by operation of law or otherwise.

22. Business Days. If any time period for giving notice or taking action hereunder expires on a day which is a Saturday, Sunday or legal holiday in the State of Colorado, the time period shall be automatically extended to the business day immediately following such Saturday, Sunday or holiday.

23. Section 409A Compliance.

a. The intent of the parties is that payments and benefits under this Agreement be exempt from or comply with Internal Revenue Code Section 409A and the regulations and guidance promulgated thereunder (collectively “Code Section 409A”) and, accordingly, to the maximum extent permitted, this Agreement shall be interpreted to be in compliance therewith. To the extent that any provision hereof is modified in order to comply with Code Section 409A, such modification shall be made in good faith and shall, to the maximum extent reasonably possible, maintain the original intent and economic benefit to Executive and the Company of the applicable provision without violating the provisions of Code Section 409A.

b. A termination of employment shall not be deemed to have occurred for purposes of any provision of this Agreement providing for the payment of any amount or benefit that constitutes “nonqualified deferred compensation” upon or following a termination of employment unless such termination is also a “separation from service” within the meaning of Code Section 409A and, for purposes of any such provision of this Agreement, references to a “termination,” “termination of employment” or like terms shall mean “separation from service.” Notwithstanding anything to the contrary in this Agreement, if Executive is deemed on the date of termination to be a “specified employee” within the meaning of that term under Code Section 409A(a)(2)(B), then with regard to any payment or the provision of any benefit that is considered “nonqualified deferred compensation” under Code Section 409A payable on account of a “separation from service,” such payment or benefit shall not be made or provided until the date which is the earlier of (i) the expiration of the six (6)-month period measured from the date of such “separation from service” of Executive, and (ii) the date of Executive’s death, to the extent required under Code Section 409A. Upon the expiration of the foregoing delay period, all payments and benefits delayed pursuant to this Section 23 (whether they would have otherwise been payable in a single sum or in installments in the absence of such delay) shall be paid or reimbursed to Executive in a lump sum, and all remaining payments and benefits due under this Agreement shall be paid or provided in accordance with the normal payment dates specified for them herein.
c. To the extent that reimbursements or other in-kind benefits under this Agreement constitute “nonqualified deferred compensation” for purposes of Code Section 409A, (i) all expense or other reimbursements hereunder shall be made on or prior to the last day of the taxable year following the taxable year in which such expenses were incurred by Executive, (ii) any right to reimbursement or in-kind benefits shall not be subject to liquidation or exchange for another benefit, and (iii) no such reimbursement, expenses eligible for reimbursement, or in-kind benefits provided in any taxable year shall in any way affect the expenses eligible for reimbursement, or in-kind benefits to be provided, in any other taxable year.

d. For purposes of Code Section 409A, Executive’s right to receive installment payments pursuant to this Agreement shall be treated as a right to receive a series of separate and distinct payments. Whenever a payment under this Agreement specifies a payment period with reference to a number of days, the actual date of payment within the specified period shall be within the sole discretion of the Company.

Notwithstanding any other provision of this Agreement to the contrary, in no event shall any payment or benefit under this Agreement that constitutes “nonqualified deferred compensation” for purposes of Code Section 409A be subject to offset by any other amount unless otherwise permitted by Code Section 409A.

24. Indemnification and Directors and Officers Insurance. In Executive’s capacity as a director, officer, or employee of the Company or serving or having served any other entity as a director, officer, or employee at the Company’s request, Executive shall be indemnified and held harmless by the Company to the fullest extent allowed by law, the Company’s Certificate of Incorporation and Bylaws, from and against any and all losses, claims, damages, liabilities, expenses (including legal fees and expenses), judgments, fines, settlements and other amounts arising from any and all claims, demands, actions, suits or proceedings, civil, criminal, administrative or investigative, in which Executive may be involved, or threatened to be involved, as a party or otherwise by reason of Executive’s status, which relate to or arise out of the Company and such other entities, their assets, business or affairs, if in each of the foregoing cases, (a) Executive acted in good faith and in a manner Executive believed to be in the best interests of the Company, and, with respect to any criminal proceeding, had no reasonable cause to believe Executive’s conduct was unlawful, and (b) Executive’s conduct did not constitute gross negligence or willful or wanton misconduct. The Company shall advance all reasonable expenses incurred by Executive in connection with the investigation, defense, settlement or appeal of any civil or criminal action or proceeding referenced in this Section, including but not necessarily limited to, reasonable fees of legal counsel, expert witnesses or other litigation related expenses. Company agrees to maintain adequate directors and officers insurance coverage.
The parties hereto have executed this Employment Agreement as of the first day and year written above.

BLACK RANGE MINERALS LLC
By: /s/ George E. Glasier
Its: President and CEO
Date: November 11, 2017

WESTERN URANIUM CORPORATION
By: /s/ George E. Glasier
Its: President and CEO
Date: November 11, 2017

ROBERT R. KLEIN
By: /s/ Robert R. Klein
Robert R. Klein
Date: November 11, 2017
EXHIBIT A

to that certain Employment Agreement
between
Black Range Minerals LLC,
Western Uranium Corporation
and
Robert R. Klein
effective as of 1st October 2017

Klein will provide the following Services to the Company:

**Function and Accountabilities:** as Chief Financial Officer of each of WUC and Black Range, Klein will, under the broad operating guidelines set by the Board, assume full responsibility for the management of each of WUC and Black Range including:

1. As requested by the CEO, contributing to the development and achievement of strategic objectives for the Company.
2. As requested by the CEO, playing a role in the Company’s investor relations activities.
3. As requested by the CEO, assisting the CEO with the identification, negotiating and execution of M&A and/or similar transactions.
4. As requested by the CEO, playing a key role in executing public and private market capital raising initiatives.
5. Playing an integral role along with the CEO in developing and maintaining relationships with investment banking firms.
6. Assisting CEO in financial decision making through preparation of requisite financial analysis.
7. Advising CEO, from a financial risk management perspective.
8. Overseeing the preparation of financial statements and MD&A and providing certification as required by applicable securities laws.
9. Overseeing the accounting function and maintenance of books and records in accordance with governing regulations.
10. Reorganizing business finances, accounts, and systems to improve efficiency.
11. Implementing and improving internal controls to comply with both regulations and best practice.
12. Overseeing the multi-national tax preparation and filing process.
13. Overseeing the financial planning, budgeting and forecasting processes for the organization.
14. Overseeing relationships with the multi-national group of vendors and creditors and cost management.
15. Managing financial relationships of the company with banks and potential lenders.
17. Facilitating and assisting the Independent Chairman, Corporate Secretary, and outside counsel on regulatory compliance matters.
18. Assisting the Independent Chairman on projects and initiatives on an as time permits basis.
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EXHIBIT B

to that certain Employment Agreement
between
Black Range Minerals LLC,
Western Uranium Corporation
and
Robert R. Klein
effective as of 1st October 2017

As of the Effective Date of this Agreement, Klein has residual Economic Interests, which he wishes to maintain, owed by plaintiffs which are partially dependent upon resolution of the following lawsuit:

Cross River Initiatives LLC, Cross River Advisors LLC, and Bedford Bridge Fund LLC (plaintiff) versus Log Storm Security Inc. Dale Cline, and Inglesino, Webster, Wyciskala & Taylor, LLC (defendants)
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EXHIBIT C

to that certain Employment Agreement

between

Black Range Minerals LLC,
Western Uranium Corporation

and

Robert R. Klein

effective as of 1st October 2017

General Release

I, ___________________, in consideration of and subject to the performance by Black Range Minerals LLC, a Colorado limited liability company and Western Uranium Corporation, an Ontario, Canada corporation (together, the “Company”), of its obligations under the Employment Agreement by and between the Company and myself, dated effective as of January 1, 2017 (the “Agreement”), do hereby release and forever discharge as of the date hereof, Black Range, WUC, and their respective affiliates and all present and former managers, directors, officers, agents, representatives, employees, successors and assigns of the Company, WUC and their respective affiliates, and all direct or indirect equity holders of the Company (collectively, the “Released Parties”) to the extent provided below.

1. I understand that any payments or benefits paid or granted to me beyond the Accrued Benefits under Section 3 of the Agreement represent, in part, consideration for signing this General Release and are not salary, wages or benefits to which I was already entitled. I understand and agree that I will not receive the payments and benefits specified in Section 3 of the Agreement other than the Accrued Benefits unless I execute this General Release and do not revoke this General Release within the time period permitted hereafter or breach this General Release. I also acknowledge and represent that I have received all payments and benefits, including the Accrued Benefits, that I am entitled to receive (as of the date hereof) by virtue of any employment by Black Range or my appointment as President and Chief Executive Officer of Black Range or WUC.

2. Except as provided in paragraph 4 below, I knowingly and voluntarily (for myself, my heirs, executors, administrators and assigns) release and forever discharge the Company and the other Released Parties from any and all claims, suits, controversies, actions, causes of action, cross-claims, counter-claims, demands, debts, compensatory damages, liquidated damages, punitive or exemplary damages, other damages, claims for costs and attorneys’ fees, or liabilities of any nature whatsoever in law and in equity, both past and present (but only through the date this General Release becomes effective and enforceable) and whether known, unknown, suspected or claimed against the Company or any of the Released Parties which I, my spouse, or any of my heirs, executors, administrators or assigns, may have, which arise out of or are connected with my employment with, or my separation or termination from, the Company (including, but not limited to, any allegation, claim or violation, arising under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended, the Civil Rights Act of 1991, the Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967, as amended (including the Older Workers Benefit Protection Act), the Equal Pay Act of 1963, as amended, the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, the Family and Medical Leave Act of 1993, the Worker Adjustment Retraining and Notification Act, the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, any applicable Executive Order Programs, the Fair Labor Standards Act, or their state or local counterparts, or under any other federal, state or local civil or human rights law, or under any other local, state, or federal law, regulation or ordinance, or under any public policy, contract or tort, or under common law, or arising under any policies, practices or procedures of the Company, or any claim for wrongful discharge, breach of contract, infliction of emotional distress or defamation, or any claim for costs, fees, or other expenses, including attorneys’ fees incurred in these matters) (all of the foregoing collectively referred to herein as the “Claims”). Notwithstanding the foregoing, I am not waiving and none of the following shall be deemed to be Claims: (i) any right to any Severance Payment to which I am entitled under the Agreement (assuming due execution and delivery of this Release by me), (ii) any claim relating to directors’ and officers’ liability insurance coverage or any right of indemnification under the Company’s organizational documents or otherwise, or (iii) my rights as an equity or security holder in WUC, the Company or their respective affiliates.
3. I represent that I have made no assignment or transfer of any right, claim, demand, cause of action, or other matter covered by paragraph 2 above.

4. I agree that this General Release does not waive or release any rights or claims that I may have under the Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967 which arise after the date I execute this General Release. I acknowledge and agree that my separation from employment with the Company in compliance with the terms of the Agreement shall not serve as the basis for any claim or action (including, without limitation, any claim under the Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967).

5. In signing this General Release, I acknowledge and intend that it shall be effective as a bar to each and every one of the Claims. I expressly consent that this General Release shall be given full force and effect according to each and all of its express terms and provisions, including those relating to unknown and unsuspected Claims (notwithstanding any state statute that expressly limits the effectiveness of a general release of unknown, unsuspected and unanticipated Claims), if any, as well as those relating to any other Claims. I acknowledge and agree that this waiver is an essential and material term of this General Release and that without such waiver the Company would not have agreed to the terms of the Agreement. I further agree that in the event I should bring a Claim seeking damages against the Company, or in the event I should seek to recover against the Company in any Claim brought by a governmental agency on my behalf, this General Release shall serve as a complete defense to such Claims. I further agree that I am not aware of any pending Claim as of the execution of this General Release.

6. I agree that neither this General Release, nor the furnishing of the consideration for this General Release, shall be deemed or construed at any time to be an admission by the Company, any Released Party or myself of any improper or unlawful conduct.

7. I agree that I will forfeit all amounts that are payable by the Company pursuant to the Agreement (other than the Accrued Benefits) if I challenge the validity of this General Release. I also agree that if I violate this General Release by suing the Company or the other Released Parties with respect to any of the Claims, I will pay all costs and expenses of defending against the suit incurred by the Released Parties, including all reasonable attorneys’ fees, and return all payments received by me pursuant to the Agreement.
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8. I agree that this General Release is confidential and agree not to disclose any information regarding the terms of this General Release, except to my immediate family and any tax, legal or other counsel I have consulted regarding the meaning or effect hereof or as required by law, and I will instruct each of the foregoing not to disclose the same to anyone.

9. I agree that as of the date hereof, I have returned to the Company any and all property, tangible or intangible, relating to its business, which I possessed or had control over at any time (including, but not limited to, company-provided credit cards, building or office access cards, keys, computer equipment, manuals, files, documents, records, software, customer data base and other data) and that I shall not retain any copies, compilations, extracts, excerpts, summaries or other notes of any such manuals, files, documents, records, software, customer data base or other data.

10. Notwithstanding anything in this General Release to the contrary, this General Release shall not relinquish, diminish, or in any way affect any rights or claims arising out of any breach by the Company or by any Released Party of the Agreement after the date hereof.

11. Whenever possible, each provision of this General Release shall be interpreted in such manner as to be effective and valid under applicable law, but if any provision of this General Release is held to be invalid, illegal or unenforceable in any respect under any applicable law or rule in any jurisdiction, such invalidity, illegality or unenforceability shall not affect any other provision or any other jurisdiction, but this General Release shall be reformed, construed and enforced in such jurisdiction as if such invalid, illegal or unenforceable provision had never been contained herein.

BY SIGNING THIS GENERAL RELEASE, I REPRESENT AND AGREE AS FOLLOWS:

A. I HAVE READ THIS GENERAL RELEASE CAREFULLY;


C. I VOLUNTARILY CONSENT TO EVERYTHING IN THIS GENERAL RELEASE.

D. HAVE BEEN AND AM HEREBY BEING ADVISED TO CONSULT WITH AN ATTORNEY BEFORE EXECUTING THIS GENERAL RELEASE AND I HAVE DONE SO OR, AFTER CAREFUL READING AND CONSIDERATION I HAVE CHOSEN NOT TO DO SO OF MY OWN VOLITION;
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E. I HAVE HAD AT LEAST [21/45] 1 DAYS FROM THE DATE OF MY RECEIPT OF THIS RELEASE TO CONSIDER IT AND THE CHANGES MADE SINCE MY RECEIPT OF THIS RELEASE ARE NOT MATERIAL AND WILL NOT RESTART THE REQUIRED [21/45] 2 DAY PERIOD;

F. I UNDERSTAND THAT I HAVE SEVEN (7) DAYS AFTER THE EXECUTION OF THIS RELEASE TO REVOKE IT AND THAT THIS GENERAL RELEASE SHALL NOT BECOME EFFECTIVE, OR ENFORCEABLE UNTIL THE REVOCATION PERIOD HAS EXPIRED;

G. I HAVE SIGNED THIS GENERAL RELEASE KNOWINGLY AND VOLUNTARILY AND WITH THE ADVICE OF ANY COUNSEL RETAINED TO ADVISE ME WITH RESPECT HERETO; AND

H. I AGREE THAT THE PROVISIONS OF THIS GENERAL RELEASE MAY NOT BE AMENDED, WAIVED, CHANGED OR MODIFIED EXCEPT BY AN INSTRUMENT IN WRITING SIGNED BY AN AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE OF THE COMPANY AND BY ME.

SIGNED: ___________________________ DATED: ________________

---

1 NTD: To be determined at the time of termination in accordance with applicable law.
2 NTD: To be determined at the time of termination in accordance with applicable law.

Ex. C-4
CERTIFICATION
PURSUANT TO RULE 13a-14 AND 15d-14
UNDER THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934, AS AMENDED

I, George Glasier, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this annual report on Form 10-K of Western Uranium Corporation;

2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;

3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;

4. The registrant’s other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:

   (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;

   (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of consolidated interim financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;

   (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant’s disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and

   (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant’s internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant’s most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant’s fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant’s internal control over financial reporting; and

5. The registrant’s other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant’s auditors and the audit committee of the registrant’s board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):

   (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant’s ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and

   (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant’s internal control over financial reporting.

Date: April 2, 2018

By:  /s/ George Glasier
Name: George Glasier
Title: Chief Executive Officer, President, and Director
(Principal Executive Officer)
CERTIFICATION
PURSUANT TO RULE 13a-14 AND 15d-14
UNDER THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934, AS AMENDED

I, Robert Klein, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this annual report on Form 10-K of Western Uranium Corporation;

2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;

3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;

4. The registrant’s other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:

(a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;

(b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of consolidated interim financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;

(c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant’s disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and

(d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant’s internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant’s most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant’s fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant’s internal control over financial reporting; and

5. The registrant’s other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant’s auditors and the audit committee of the registrant’s board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):

(a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant’s ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and

(b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant’s internal control over financial reporting.

Date: April 2, 2018

By: /s/ Robert Klein
Name: Robert Klein
Title: Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)
CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO
18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350
AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO
SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

In connection with the Annual Report of Western Uranium Corp. on Form 10-K for the annual period ended December 31, 2017 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the “Report”), the undersigned, in the capacities and on the dates indicated below, hereby certify pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

1. The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and

2. The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operation of the Company.

Dated: April 2, 2018

By: /s/ George Glasier
Name: George Glasier
Title: Chief Executive Officer
(Principal Executive Officer)

Dated: April 2, 2018

By: /s/ Robert Klein
Name: Robert Klein
Title: Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)